POLARIMETRIC SCATTERING THEORY FOR HIGH SLOPE ROUGH SURFACES


1. Introduction

Electromagnetic scattering by rough surfaces is important in several disciplines including geophysical remote sensing, ocean acoustics, surface optics, and ultrasound imaging of biological media [1–24]. For surfaces with small rms height, the conventional perturbation theory is applicable while for surfaces with large radii of curvature, Kirchhoff theory gives good solutions. In recent years, several improved theories have been proposed to extend the range of validity of surface parameters, and numerical simulation studies have been reported [5–24]. This paper presents a theory based on the first and second Kirchhoff approx-
imations with angular and propagation shadowing [16–21]. Its range of validity is considerably larger than most of the previous theories using Kirchhoff approximations, and it is applicable to rough surfaces with high slopes of order unity. There are two important points in the theory [17,18]. The first is that the Green’s function is expressed by the Fourier transform in the $y$-$z$ plane transverse to the propagation direction $x$, rather than the usual Fourier transform in the $x$-$y$ plane parallel to the average rough surface. The wave on the surface is then divided into the positive traveling and the negative traveling waves. The advantage of this technique is that there is no longer a need for the absolute values $|z_1 - z_2|$ of the difference heights $(z_1 - z_2)$, and therefore large height variations and large slopes can be incorporated into the statistical moment calculations. The second important point is that for second-order scattering we make use of angular and propagation shadowing functions. This, in essence, takes care of the multiple scattering beyond second-order scattering. These two features, the Fourier expansion in the $y$-$z$ plane and the shadowing corrections, make possible the expansion of the range of validity of the theory beyond those for conventional techniques.

The theory gives an analytical expression for the complete $4 \times 4$ cross section Mueller matrix. It consists of the first-order Kirchhoff term which has been obtained previously and the second-order Kirchhoff terms including shadowing corrections, which we obtained recently. The second-order terms include the ladder term and the cyclic term, and the cyclic term gives rise to enhanced backscattering.

The second-order terms are given by quadruple integrals. These integrals are then reduced to numerically manageable double integrals. Numerical examples are shown for the cases of $(\sigma = 1\lambda, \ l = 4\lambda)$, $(\sigma = 1\lambda, \ l = 3\lambda)$, $(\sigma = 1\lambda, \ l = 2\lambda)$, and $(\sigma = 1\lambda, \ l = 1.4\lambda)$ where $\sigma$ is the rms height and $l$ is the correlation distance. Co-polarized and cross-polarized components are calculated and compared with millimeter wave experimental data, showing good agreement.

The range of validity of the present theory may be seen in Fig. 1. The present theory includes the first- and second-order Kirchhoff approximations with shadowing corrections and is applicable to the region (E) where backscattering enhancement takes place. There have been many attempts to extend the region of validity of conventional
perturbation theory (FP) and Kirchhoff (KA) theory. For example, phase perturbation theory (PP) attempts to bridge the region between the perturbation and Kirchhoff theories. More recent work [14] extends the region of validity of (PP) with computational advantages, while the unified perturbation method (UPM) [24] covers a wider range of slopes than the conventional Kirchhoff approximation. The present theory is directed to the region of high slopes on the order of 0.5 to 1.5 which is not covered by existing theories.

Figure 1. Range of validity of the present theory. The present theory is valid in the region (E) where backscattering enhancement takes place. (KA) and (FP) are where Kirchhoff approximation and the field perturbation theory are valid, respectively. (PP) is where phase perturbation theory is valid.
2. Formulation of the Mueller Matrix \([M]\) and the Cross Section Mueller Matrix \([\sigma]\)

Let us consider the wave scattered from two-dimensional rough surfaces between two media, Figure 2. The scattered wave at the observation point \(\vec{r}\) is given by

\[
\vec{E}(\vec{r}) = \nabla \times \nabla \times \pi + i\omega \mu \nabla \times \pi_m
\]  

(1)

where

\[
\pi = \frac{i}{\omega \epsilon_0} \int \hat{n}_1 \times \overline{H}_1 gdS_1
\]

\[
\pi_m = \frac{i}{\omega \mu} \int \overline{E}_1 \times \hat{n}_1 gdS_1
\]

\[
g = \frac{\exp[ik|\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|]}{4\pi|\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|}
\]

\(\overline{E}_1\) and \(\overline{H}_1\) are the surface fields at \(\vec{r}_1\). If we write

\[
\overline{E}_1 = \overline{E}_{1i} + \overline{E}_{1s}
\]

\[
\overline{H}_1 = \overline{H}_{1i} + \overline{H}_{1s}
\]

(2)

where \((\overline{E}_{1i}, \overline{H}_{1i})\) are the incident fields at \(\vec{r}_1\), then the contribution to (1) from \((\overline{E}_{1i}, \overline{H}_{1i})\) is zero, and therefore we can write (1) using \(\overline{E}_{1s}\) and \(\overline{H}_{1s}\) in place of \(\overline{E}_1\) and \(\overline{H}_1\).

Figure 2. Rough surface is given by \(z = f_1(x_1, y_1)\), and the surface element \(dS\) is at \(\vec{r}_1 = x_1\hat{x} + y_1\hat{y} + f_1(x_1, y_1)\hat{z}\). \(\vec{K}_i = k\hat{i}\) and \(\vec{K} = k\hat{o}\).
The first-order Kirchhoff approximation (KA-1) for $E_{1s}$ is obtained by using the incident wave for $E_{1i}(E_{1i} = E_1)$ and calculating $E_{1s}$ from the local reflection coefficient. The second-order Kirchhoff approximation (KA-2) is obtained by using the Kirchhoff approximating for the scattered wave from the surface. If we consider the very rough surfaces, we can make further approximations using the geometric optics approximation and choosing the normal vectors $\hat{n}_1$ and $\hat{n}_2$ at the stationary phase points. See Figure 3.

Let us now consider the far-field scattering; we approximate $g$ in (1) by

$$g = \exp\left[\frac{ikR - i\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}_1}{4\pi R}\right], \quad \mathbf{K} = k\mathbf{\hat{o}}$$

where $R$ is the range from the surface to the receiver. Also note that $\nabla = i\mathbf{K}$, and thus we can write (1) as

$$E = \frac{e^{ikR}}{R} \mathcal{F}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F} = (i\mathbf{K}) \times (i\mathbf{K}) \times \frac{i}{4\pi\omega\varepsilon_0} \int \hat{n}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_{1s} e^{-i\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}_1} dS_1$$

$$-\frac{(i\mathbf{K})}{4\pi} \times \int E_{1s} \times \hat{n}_1 e^{-i\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}_1} dS_1$$

Figure 3. First-and second-order Kirchhoff approximations. The dotted lines are for the first-order, and the solid lines are for the second-order Kirchhoff approximations. $\hat{n}_1$ and $\hat{n}_2$ are chosen to be at the stationary phase points.
If we rewrite this as
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
E_\theta \\
E_\phi
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{e^{ikR}}{R} \begin{bmatrix}
f_{11} & f_{12} \\
f_{21} & f_{22}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
E_{i\theta} \\
E_{i\phi}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
then we can express the 4x4 Mueller matrix \[M\] relating the scattered specific intensity \[I_s\] to the incident specific intensity \[I_i\].
\[
[I_s] = \frac{1}{R^2} [M][I_i]
\] (6)
where
\[
[I_s] = \begin{bmatrix}
\langle |E_\theta|^2 \rangle \\
\langle |E_\phi|^2 \rangle \\
2Re\langle E_\theta E_\phi^* \rangle \\
2Im\langle E_\theta E_\phi^* \rangle
\end{bmatrix},
[I_i] = \begin{bmatrix}
\langle |E_{i\theta}|^2 \rangle \\
\langle |E_{i\phi}|^2 \rangle \\
2Re\langle E_{i\theta} E_{i\phi}^* \rangle \\
2Im\langle E_{i\theta} E_{i\phi}^* \rangle
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
[M] = \begin{bmatrix}
\langle |f_{11}|^2 \rangle & \langle |f_{12}|^2 \rangle & \text{Re}\langle f_{11}f_{12}^* \rangle \\
\langle |f_{21}|^2 \rangle & \langle |f_{22}|^2 \rangle & \text{Re}\langle f_{21}f_{22}^* \rangle \\
2\text{Re}\langle f_{11}f_{21}^* \rangle & 2\text{Re}\langle f_{12}f_{22}^* \rangle & \text{Re}\langle f_{11}f_{22}^* + f_{12}f_{21}^* \rangle \\
2\text{Im}\langle f_{11}f_{21}^* \rangle & 2\text{Im}\langle f_{12}f_{22}^* \rangle & \text{Im}\langle f_{11}f_{22}^* + f_{12}f_{21}^* \rangle
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The corresponding 4 × 4 cross section Mueller matrix per unit area is then given by
\[
[\sigma] = \frac{4\pi}{A} [M]
\] (7)
where \[A\] is the illuminated surface area.

3. **First-Order Kirchhoff and Geometric Optics Approximation**

The first-order Kirchhoff approximation has been studied extensively in the past. Here we give a brief summary using matrix notation.
which will be useful for the second-order Kirchhoff approximation to be described in the following section.

The incident wave is given by $E_i = \mathbf{e}^i \exp [i \mathbf{K}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_1]$ and $H_i = \mathbf{h}_i \exp [i \mathbf{K}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_1]$ with $\mathbf{K}_i = k \hat{i}$. Thus writing the scattered wave as $E_{1s} = \mathbf{e}_{1s} \exp [i \mathbf{K}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_1]$ and $H_{1s} = \mathbf{h}_{1s} \exp [i \mathbf{K}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_1]$, we get

$$E_{KAI} = \frac{e^{ikR}}{R} F_1$$

$$F_1 = (i \mathbf{K}) \times (i \mathbf{K}) \times \frac{i}{4\pi\omega\varepsilon_0} \int \hat{n}_1 \times \hat{h}_{1s} e^{-i(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{K}_i) \cdot \mathbf{r}_1} dS_1$$

$$- (i \mathbf{K}) \times \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \hat{\tau}_{1s} \times \hat{n}_1 e^{-i(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{K}_i) \cdot \mathbf{r}_1} dS_1$$

Since this is the Kirchhoff approximation, $\mathbf{e}_{1s}$ and $\mathbf{h}_{1s}$ are zero in shadow regions and will be taken care of by the shadowing function later.

Let us first examine $\mathbf{e}_{1s}$. This is the field locally reflected by the surface, and the reflection coefficients are different depending on the polarization. The reflection coefficient for the polarization (p-pol) parallel to the plane of incidence is given by (Figure 4)

$$R_\parallel = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \cos \theta_1} - \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \cos \theta_0}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \cos \theta_1} + \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \cos \theta_0}}$$

For perpendicular polarization (s-pol), we have

$$R_\perp = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \cos \theta_0} - \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \cos \theta_1}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \cos \theta_0} + \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \cos \theta_1}}$$

where $\cos \theta_0 = \hat{i} \cdot \hat{n}_1$ and $\sqrt{\varepsilon_0} \sin \theta_0 = \sqrt{\varepsilon_1} \sin \theta_1$. Using the unit vectors $\hat{p}$ and $\hat{q}$ in the directions parallel to and perpendicular to the plane of incidence, respectively, and using $\hat{p}_r$ for the reflected wave for parallel polarization, we write (Figure 4).

$$\mathbf{e}_{1s} = R_\parallel \hat{p}_r (\hat{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}_i) + R_\perp \hat{q} (\hat{q} \cdot \mathbf{e}_i)$$

where

$$\hat{q} = \frac{\hat{i} \times \hat{n}_1}{|\hat{i} \times \hat{n}_1|}, \quad \hat{p} = \hat{q} \times \hat{i},$$

$$\hat{p}_r = -\hat{q} \times \hat{r}, \quad \hat{r} = \hat{i} - 2\hat{n}_1(\hat{n}_1 \cdot \hat{i})$$
For the magnetic field $\vec{h}_1$, we get

$$\vec{h}_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\mu_0}} \hat{r} \times \vec{e}_{1s} \quad (12)$$

We also note that under the geometric optics approximation, $\hat{n}_1$ is constant and equal to its value at the stationary phase point.

$$\hat{n}_1 = \frac{\vec{K} - \vec{K}_i}{|\vec{K} - \vec{K}_i|} \quad (13)$$

Thus equation (8) is given by

$$F_1 = \frac{i}{4\pi} \left( -(\vec{K} \times \hat{n}_1 \times \hat{r} \times \vec{e}_{1s}) \frac{1}{k} + (\vec{K} \times \hat{n}_1 \times \vec{e}_{1s}) \right) \times \int e^{-i(\vec{K} - \vec{K}_i) \cdot \vec{r}} dS_1 \quad (14)$$

where it is understood that the surface integral is over the illuminated area.

Figure 4. Reflection coefficients.

The cross product in (14) can be expressed using the antisymmetric matrix in the Cartesian coordinate system. For example $\overline{B} \times \overline{C}$ is expressed by

$$[\overline{B}] [C] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -B_z & B_y \\ B_z & 0 & -B_x \\ -B_y & B_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_x \\ C_y \\ C_z \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$
Also we can express $A \times (B \times C)$ by $[A] [B] [C]$ where $[A]$ is the antisymmetric matrix as shown in (15). Note that $A \times (B \times C)$ is not associative; $A \times (B \times C) \neq (A \times B) \times C$. However with the antisymmetric tensor matrix, the product is associative,

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} B \end{bmatrix} [C] \right) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B \end{bmatrix} \right) [C]$$

Using the antisymmetric matrix, we can express (14) in a more compact matrix form. We get

$$\begin{align*}
&f_{11} = [\theta]^\dagger [H] [\theta_1] J_1 = H_{11} J_1 \\
&f_{12} = [\theta]^\dagger [H] [\phi_1] J_1 = H_{12} J_1 \\
&f_{21} = [\phi]^\dagger [H] [\theta_1] J_1 = H_{21} J_1 \\
&f_{22} = [\phi]^\dagger [H] [\phi_1] J_1 = H_{22} J_1
\end{align*}$$

where $[\theta]^\dagger$ is the transpose of $[\theta]$ , $[\phi]^\dagger$ is the transpose of $[\phi]$ , and

$$[H] = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( -i \begin{bmatrix} K \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K' \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{k} + \begin{bmatrix} K \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K' \end{bmatrix} \right) [e_{1s}]$$

$$[e_{1s}] = R_{||}[p_r][p]^\dagger + R_{\perp}[q][q]^\dagger$$

Also note that

$$[q] = \frac{[\bar{i}][n_1]}{\sqrt{1 - ([i]^\dagger [n_1])^2}}$$

$$[p] = \bar{[i]} [i]$$

$$[p_r] = \bar{[i]} [q]$$

$$[r] = [i] - 2[n_1] \left( [n_1]^\dagger [i] \right)$$

$$J_1 = \int e^{-i(K - K_i) \cdot r_1} dS_1$$

$$[n_1] = \frac{[o] - [i]}{\sqrt{([o] - [i])^\dagger ([o] - [i])}}$$

(18)

If the incident wave is in the $x - z$ plane, we have, in Cartesian system,

$$[\theta] = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \cos \phi \\ \cos \theta \sin \phi \\ -\sin \theta \end{bmatrix} , \quad [\theta_1] = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos \theta_1 \\ 0 \\ -\sin \theta_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
\[
[\phi] = \begin{bmatrix}
-\sin \phi \\
\cos \phi \\
0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad
[\phi_i] = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
[K] = k[o], \quad [K_i] = k[i],
\]

\[
[o] = \begin{bmatrix}
\sin \theta \cos \phi, \\
\sin \theta \sin \phi \\
\cos \theta
\end{bmatrix}, \quad
[i] = \begin{bmatrix}
\sin \theta_i \\
0 \\
-\cos \theta_i
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The cross sections per unit area of the rough surface are then given by

\[
\sigma_{\theta\theta} = \sigma_{vv} = \frac{4\pi}{A} |H_{11}|^2 I^{(1)}
\]

\[
\sigma_{\theta\phi} = \sigma_{vh} = \frac{4\pi}{A} |H_{12}|^2 I^{(1)}
\]

\[
\sigma_{\phi\theta} = \sigma_{hv} = \frac{4\pi}{A} |H_{21}|^2 I^{(1)}
\]

\[
\sigma_{\phi\phi} = \sigma_{hh} = \frac{4\pi}{A} |H_{22}|^2 I^{(1)}
\]

(19)

where

\[
I^{(1)} = (\langle J_1 J_1^* \rangle - \langle J_1 \rangle \langle J_1^* \rangle)
\]

Note that the coherent component \(\langle J_1 \rangle\) is negligibly small for very rough surfaces. The complete cross section Mueller matrix is obtained using (16) in (6) and (7).

4. Evaluation of \(\langle J_1 J_1^* \rangle\) in the Geometric Optics Approximation

In the geometric optics approximation, \(\langle J_1 \rangle\) is negligibly small. \(\langle J_1 \rangle\) is given by

\[
\langle J_1 \rangle = \int \langle e^{-i(\Phi - \Phi_i)} \rangle dS_1
\]

(20)

Letting

\[
\Phi = \varphi + f(x, y) \hat{z} \quad \text{on the surface},
\]
\[ dS_1 = \frac{d\vec{x}}{N_z}, d\vec{x} = dxdy, \]

\[ N_z = \hat{n}_1 \cdot \hat{z} = \frac{K_z - K_{iz}}{|K - K_i|} = \frac{\cos \theta + \cos \theta_i}{\sqrt{2 (1 + \cos \theta \cos \theta_i - \sin \theta \cos \phi \sin \theta_i)}} \]

\[ \overline{K} - \overline{K}_i = \overline{v} + v_z \hat{z}, \]

\[ \overline{K} = \overline{\kappa} + K_z \hat{z}, \quad \overline{K}_i = \overline{\kappa}_i + K_{iz} \hat{z}, \]

\[ \overline{v} = \overline{\kappa} - \overline{\kappa}_i, \quad v_z = K_z - K_{iz} \]

we get

\[ \langle J_1 \rangle = \int e^{-iv \cdot x} \langle e^{-iv_z f} \rangle \frac{d\vec{x}}{N_z} \quad (21) \]

This integral is over the illuminated region and therefore can be expressed by the following integral over the entire surface using the shadowing correction \( S_c \).

\[ \langle J_1 \rangle = \int e^{-i\overline{v} \cdot \overline{\kappa}} \langle e^{-iv_z f} \rangle \frac{d\overline{\kappa}}{N_z} S_c \quad (22) \]

The shadowing correction \( S_c \) represents the probability that the surface is illuminated by the incident wave. If \( f \) is a Gaussian random variable, then

\[ \langle e^{-ivf} \rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2}v^2 \sigma^2}, \sigma^2 = \langle f^2 \rangle \quad (23) \]

Also note

\[ \int e^{-i(\overline{\kappa} - \overline{\kappa}_i) \cdot \overline{\kappa}} d\overline{\kappa} = (2\pi)^2 \delta(\overline{\kappa} - \overline{\kappa}_i) \quad (24) \]

Thus we get

\[ \langle J_1 \rangle = (2\pi)^2 \delta(\overline{\kappa} - \overline{\kappa}_i) \frac{e^{-2k^2 \sigma^2 \cos^2 \theta_i}}{N_z} S_c \quad (25) \]

where \( S_c^2 = S(\theta_i, \theta_i) \), and \( S(\theta_i, \theta) \) is the shadowing function to be described later. It is clear that \( \langle J_1 \rangle \) is negligibly small for large \( k \sigma \cos \theta_i \).
Now consider $I^{(1)} = \langle J_1 J_1^* \rangle$; we get

$$I^{(1)} = \int \int \frac{d\vec{x}_1 d\vec{x}'_1}{N_z^2} e^{-i\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}_d} \langle e^{-iv_z f_1 + iv_z f_1'} \rangle$$

(26)

where $\vec{v} = \vec{\kappa} - \vec{\kappa}_i$, $\vec{x}_d = \vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}'_1$, $f_1 = f(\vec{x}_1)$, $f_1' = f(\vec{x}'_1)$, and $v_z = K_z - K_{iz}$. Now, for Gaussian variables $f_1$ and $f_2$, we have

$$\langle \exp -iv_1 f_1 - iv_2 f_2 \rangle = \exp -\frac{1}{2} \left( v_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + 2v_1 v_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 C + v_2^2 \sigma_2^2 \right)$$

(27)

where $\sigma_1^2 = \langle f_1^2 \rangle$, $\sigma_2^2 = \langle f_2^2 \rangle$, $C = \langle f_1 f_2 \rangle / \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rangle$, and $v_1 = -v_2 = v_z$. For very rough surfaces, $v_z^2 \sigma_2^2 \gg 1$, and therefore we expand $C$ in a series of powers of $|x_1 - x'_1|$ and keep the first two terms,

$$C \approx 1 - \frac{|\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}'_1|^2}{l^2}$$

(28)

where $l$ is the correlation distance. Thus we get

$$\langle e^{-iv_z f_1 + iv_z f_2} \rangle = \exp \left[ -v_z^2 \sigma_2^2 \frac{x_d^2}{l^2} \right]$$

(29)

where $x_d^2 = |\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}'_1|^2$. Substituting this into (26), we get

$$I^{(1)} = \frac{A}{N_z^2} \frac{\pi l^2}{v_z^2 \sigma_2^2} \exp \left[ -\frac{v^2 l^2}{4v_z^2 \sigma_2^2} \right] S$$

(30)

where

$$v_z^2 = k^2 (\cos \theta + \cos \theta_i)^2$$

$$v = |\vec{\kappa} - \vec{\kappa}_i| = k \sqrt{\sin^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta_i - 2 \sin \theta \sin \theta_i \cos \phi}$$

The shadowing function by Wagner is given by the following [25]: In the back direction, $\phi = \pi$ and $\phi_i = 0$,

$$S = S(\theta_1) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < \theta < \theta_i$$

(31)

$$S = S(\theta_2) \quad \text{for} \quad \theta_i < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$$

(32)
In all other directions, \( S \) is approximately given by

\[
S = S(\theta_1, \theta_2) \tag{33}
\]

The functions \( S(\theta_1), S(\theta_2) \) and \( S(\theta_1, \theta_2) \) are given by

\[
S(\theta_k) = (1 + \text{erf} [v_k]) \left( 1 - e^{-F_k} \right) \frac{1}{2F_k} \tag{34}
\]

\[
S(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \left( 1 - e^{-(F_1 + F_2)} \right) \frac{\text{erf} [v_1] + \text{erf} [v_2]}{2(F_1 + F_2)} \tag{35}
\]

where

\[
\theta_1 = \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i, \quad \theta_2 = \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta,
\]

\[
v_k = |\tan \theta_k| \frac{2}{2\sigma / l}, \quad k = 1, 2,
\]

\[
F_k = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{e^{-9v_k^2 / 8}}{\sqrt{3\pi v_k}} + \frac{e^{-v_k^2}}{\sqrt{\pi v_k}} - (1 - \text{erf} [v_k]) \right)
\]

Figure 5. Anisotropic rough surface with the correlation distance \( l_x \) in the \( x' \) direction and \( l_y \) in the \( y' \) direction.

If the rough surface is anisotropic such that the correlation distance is \( l_x \) in the \( x' \) direction and \( l_y \) in the \( y' \) direction (Figure 5), then \( I^{(1)} \) in (30) should be modified to the following:

\[
I^{(1)} = \frac{A}{N_z^2 v_z^2 \sigma^2} \frac{\pi l_x l_y}{\exp \left[ -\frac{[(\bar{\kappa} - \bar{\kappa}_i) \cdot \hat{x}']^2 l_x^2}{4v_z^2 \sigma^2} - \frac{[(\bar{\kappa} - \bar{\kappa}_i) \cdot \hat{y}']^2 l_y^2}{4v_z^2 \sigma^2} \right]} S \tag{36}
\]
For the shadowing function in (36), the correlation distance $l$ should be modified to

$$l^2 = \left( l_x \left( \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{x}'}{v} \right) \right)^2 + \left( l_y \left( \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{y}'}{v} \right) \right)^2 \quad (37)$$

5. Second-Order Kirchhoff Approximation

The field at $\mathbf{r}_1$ consists of the first- and second-order Kirchhoff approximations, and we have already discussed the first-order case. The second-order Kirchhoff field at $\mathbf{r}_1$ is obtained using the first-order Kirchhoff approximation at $\mathbf{r}_2$ and propagation from $\mathbf{r}_2$ to $\mathbf{r}_1$.

The field $\mathbf{E}_1(\mathbf{r}_1)$ at $\mathbf{r}_1$ due to second-order Kirchhoff is given by

$$\mathbf{E}_1(\mathbf{r}_1) = \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{\pi} + i \omega \mu \nabla \times \mathbf{\pi}_m \quad (38)$$

$$\mathbf{\pi} = i \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} \int \hat{n}_2 \times \overline{\mathbf{H}}_2 g_2 dS_2$$

$$\mathbf{\pi}_m = i \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} \int \mathbf{E}_2 \times \hat{n}_2 g_2 dS_2 \quad (39)$$

where

$$g_2 = \frac{e^{ik|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|}}{4\pi|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|}.$$ 

$\mathbf{E}_2$ and $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_2$ are the fields at $\mathbf{r}_2$ which are found using the Kirchhoff approximation. We also use real rays from $\mathbf{r}_2$ to $\mathbf{r}_1$, neglecting evanescent waves, which is consistent with the geometric optics approximation. Green’s function $g_2$ is now expressed in Weyl’s integral in the $y$-$z$ plane.

$$g_2 = \frac{ik}{8\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\beta \int_C \sin \alpha \, d\alpha \, e^f \quad (40)$$

$$f = i \left( k \sin \alpha \cos \beta \right) (y_1 - y_2)$$

$$+ i \left( k \sin \alpha \sin \beta \right) (z_1 - z_2)$$

$$+ i \left( k \cos \alpha \right) |x_1 - x_2|$$
where $C$ is the contour from $\alpha = 0$ to $\pi/2$ to $-i\infty$. However, to be consistent with the geometric optics approximation, we use only the real ray from $\alpha = 0$ to $\pi/2$ and ignore the evanescent wave. For convenience we use the angles $\alpha_0$ and $\psi_0$ in Figure 6 and get

$$g_2(\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2) = \frac{ik}{8\pi^2} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\psi_0 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos \alpha_0 d\alpha_0 e^{i\vec{K}_1 \cdot (\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2)} \quad (41)$$

where

$$\vec{K}_1 = \vec{K}_{1+} = k \cos \alpha_0 \cos \psi_0 \hat{x} + k \cos \alpha_0 \sin \psi_0 \hat{y} + k \sin \alpha_0 \hat{z} \quad \text{for} \quad x_1 > x_2$$

$$\vec{K}_1 = \vec{K}_{1-} = -\vec{K}_{1+} \quad \text{for} \quad x_1 < x_2$$

Note that $\vec{K}_{1-}$ is obtained from $\vec{K}_{1+}$ by changing $\alpha_0 \rightarrow -\alpha_0$ and $\psi_0 \rightarrow \psi_0 + \pi$.

Figure 6. The wave number vector $\vec{K}_1$ in real space for the Green's function $g_2$

The field $\vec{E}_1$ at $\vec{r}_1$ can be expressed using the 3x3 matrix $[H]$ in (17). The matrix $[H]$ is a function of $\vec{K}$ and $\vec{K}_i$ for the first-order Kirchhoff approximation. For second-order scattering, we use $[H]_2$ which is a function of $\vec{K}_1$ and $\vec{K}_i$ to represent the Kirchhoff approximation at $\vec{r}_2$ and propagation from $\vec{r}_2$ to $\vec{r}_1$, and $[H]_1$ which is a function of $\vec{K}$ and $\vec{K}_1$ to represent the scattering at $\vec{r}_1$ in the direction of $\vec{K}$. Thus, we get

$$[F_{KA2}] = \mathcal{L}[H]^{(2)}J_2$$

$$[H]^{(2)} = [H]_1[H]_2 \quad (42)$$
where

\[ L = \frac{ik}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\psi_0 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\alpha_0 \cos \alpha_0 \]

\[ \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos \alpha_0 d\alpha_0 \]

\[ J_2 = \int \int e^{-i(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{K}_1) \cdot r_1} - e^{-i(\mathbf{K}_1 - \mathbf{K}_i) \cdot r_2} dS_1 dS_2 \]

The cross section Mueller matrix per unit area of the rough surface for the second-order Kirchhoff approximation is then given by

\[ \sigma_{\theta\theta} = \sigma_{vv} = \frac{4\pi}{A} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}^{*} H_{11}^{(2)} H_{11}^{(2)*} I^{(2)} \]

\[ \sigma_{\theta\phi} = \sigma_{vh} = \frac{4\pi}{A} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}^{*} H_{12}^{(2)} H_{12}^{(2)*} I^{(2)} \]

\[ \sigma_{\phi\theta} = \sigma_{hv} = \frac{4\pi}{A} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}^{*} H_{21}^{(2)} H_{21}^{(2)*} I^{(2)} \]

\[ \sigma_{\phi\phi} = \sigma_{hh} = \frac{4\pi}{A} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}^{*} H_{22}^{(2)} H_{22}^{(2)*} I^{(2)} \]

(43)

where

\[ I^{(2)} = \langle J_2 J_2^* \rangle - \langle J_2 \rangle \langle J_2^* \rangle \]

\[ H_{11}^{(2)} = [\theta]^\dagger [H]^{(2)} [\theta]_1 \]

\[ H_{12}^{(2)} = [\theta]^\dagger [H]^{(2)} [\phi]_1 \]

\[ H_{21}^{(2)} = [\phi]^\dagger [H]^{(2)} [\theta]_1 \]

\[ H_{22}^{(2)} = [\phi]^\dagger [H]^{(2)} [\phi]_1 \]

(44)

6. Evaluation of the Ladder Term \( \langle J_2 J_2^* \rangle \)

The expression for \( J_2 \) is given in (42). However, as stated in (41), \( J_2 \) consists of the term \( J_{2+} \) for \( x_1 > x_2 \) and the term \( J_{2-} \) for \( x_1 < x_2 \).

\[ J_2 = J_{2+} + J_{2-} \]

(45)
We can therefore write

\[ \langle J_2 J_2^* \rangle = \langle J_{2+} J_{2+}^* \rangle + \langle J_{2-} J_{2-}^* \rangle + 2 \text{Re} \langle J_{2+} J_{2-}^* \rangle \]  
\hspace{1cm} (46)

The first two terms of (46) represent the ladder term, and the last one represents the cross term which gives rise to enhanced backscattering.

Let us first consider \( \langle J_{2+} J_{2+}^* \rangle \). Here we use \( \overline{K}_1 = K_{1+} \). On the surface, \( \overline{r}_1 = \overline{x}_1 + \overline{f}_1 \hat{z} \) and \( \overline{r}_2 = \overline{x}_2 + \overline{f}_2 \hat{z} \). We also use

\[
\begin{align*}
\overline{K} &= \overline{\kappa} + K \hat{z} \\
\overline{K}_1 &= \overline{\kappa}_1 + K_{1z} \hat{z} \\
\overline{K}_i &= \overline{\kappa}_i + K_{iz} \hat{z}
\end{align*}
\]  
\hspace{1cm} (47)

\[
\begin{align*}
dS_1 &= \frac{d\overline{x}_1}{N_{z1}}, \quad dS_2 = \frac{d\overline{x}_2}{N_{z2}}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
N_{z1}^2 &= \frac{(\cos \theta - \sin \alpha_0)^2}{2(1 - \sin \theta \cos \alpha_0 \cos(\phi - \psi_0) - \cos \theta \sin \alpha_0)} \\
N_{z2}^2 &= \frac{(\sin \alpha_0 + \cos \theta_i)^2}{2(1 - (\cos \alpha_0 \sin \theta_i \cos \psi_0 + \sin \alpha_0 \cos \theta_i))}
\end{align*}
\]
We also use the following change of variables from $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_2'$ to $\bar{x}_{1d}, \bar{x}_{1c}, \bar{x}_{2d}, \bar{x}_{2c}$, see Figure 7.

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{x}_{1d} &= \bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_1' \\
\bar{x}_{1c} &= (\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_1') / 2 \\
\bar{x}_{2d} &= \bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_2' \\
\bar{x}_{2c} &= (\bar{x}_2 + \bar{x}_2') / 2
\end{align*}
\]

Furthermore, we use

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{x}_d &= \bar{x}_{1c} - \bar{x}_{2c} \\
\bar{x}_c &= (\bar{x}_{1c} + \bar{x}_{2c}) / 2
\end{align*}
\]

Also note that

\[
e^{-i\bar{\sigma}_1 \bar{x}_1 + i\bar{\sigma}_2 \bar{x}_2} = e^{-i\bar{\sigma}_d - i\bar{\sigma}_c}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{\sigma} &= (\bar{a} + \bar{b}) / 2, & \bar{d} &= \bar{a} - \bar{b}, \\
\bar{x}_c &= (\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2) / 2, & \bar{x}_d &= \bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2
\end{align*}
\]

It is also reasonable to use the approximation that $f_1$ and $f_2$ are uncorrelated. Thus, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle J_2 + J_2^+ \rangle &= \frac{A}{(N_{z1}N_{z2})(N_{z1}'N_{z2}')} \phi_1 \phi_2 F_d S_2
\end{align*}
\]
where

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{v}_1 &= \bar{r} - \bar{r}_1, \quad \bar{v}_1' = \bar{r} - \bar{r}_1' \\
\bar{v}_2 &= \bar{r}_1 - \bar{r}_i, \quad \bar{v}_2' = \bar{r}_1' - \bar{r}_i \\
\bar{r} &= k \sin \theta \cos \phi \hat{x} + k \sin \theta \sin \phi \hat{y} \\
\bar{r}_i &= k \sin \theta_i \hat{x} \\
\bar{r}_1 &= k \cos \alpha_0 \cos \psi_0 \hat{x} + k \cos \alpha_0 \sin \psi_0 \hat{y} \\
\bar{r}_1' &= k \cos \alpha_0' \cos \psi_0' \hat{x} + k \cos \alpha_0' \sin \psi_0' \hat{y} \\
v_{1z} &= K_z - K_{1z} = k \cos \theta - k \sin \alpha_0 \\
v_{1z}' &= K_z - K_{1z}' = k \cos \theta - k \sin \alpha_0' \\
v_{2z} &= K_{1z} - K_{i z} = k \sin \alpha_0 + k \cos \theta_i \\
v_{2z}' &= K_{1z}' - K_{i z} = k \sin \alpha_0' + k \cos \theta_i \\
\bar{v}_1c &= \left( \bar{v}_1 + \bar{v}_1' \right) / 2 \\
\bar{v}_2c &= \left( \bar{v}_2 + \bar{v}_2' \right) / 2
\end{align*}
\]

\(F_d\) represents the propagation from \(\bar{r}_2\) to \(\bar{r}_1\).

\[
F_d = \int e^{-i(\bar{r}_1' - \bar{r}_1) \cdot \bar{r}_d} S_p(x_d) \, d\bar{r}_d \tag{52}
\]

\(S_p(x_d)\) is the propagation shadowing function, and \(S_2\) is the angular shadowing function for the second-order Kirchhoff approximation.

Let us first consider \(B_1\). We assume that the height \(f_1\) is normally distributed, and therefore we use

\[
\langle e^{-iv_1 f_1 - iv_2 f_2} \rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + 2v_1 v_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 C \right)} \tag{53}
\]

where \(\sigma_1^2 = \langle f_1^2 \rangle\), \(\sigma_2^2 = \langle f_2^2 \rangle\) and \(C = \langle f_1 f_2 \rangle / (\sigma_1 \sigma_2)\). For our problem in (51), we get

\[
B_1(x_{1d}) = \exp \left[ -\frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left( v_{1z}^2 + v_{1z}'^2 - 2 v_{1z} v_{1z}' C(x_{1d}) \right) \right] \tag{54}
\]

We also use the geometric optics approximation, (28), and obtain

\[
\phi_1 = e^{-\frac{\pi t^2}{\lambda} (v_{1z} - v_{1z}')^2} \left( \frac{\pi t^2}{\sigma^2 v_{1z} v_{1z}'} \right) \exp \left[ -\frac{v_{1z}^2 t^2}{4 \sigma^2 v_{1z} v_{1z}'} \right] \tag{55}
\]
where \( v_{1c} = |\overline{v}_{1c}| = (\overline{v}_1 + \overline{v}_1') / 2 \). Similarly, we get

\[
\phi_2 = e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{\sigma^2}(v_{2z}-v_{2z}')^2} \left( \frac{\pi l^2}{\sigma^2 v_{2z} v_{2z}'} \right) \exp \left[ -\frac{v_{2z}^2 l^2}{4\sigma^2 v_{2z} v_{2z}'} \right]
\] (56)

where \( v_{2c} = |\overline{v}_{2c}|, \quad \overline{v}_{2c} = (\overline{v}_2 + \overline{v}_2') / 2 \).

Now consider the propagation shadowing function \( S_p(x_d) \). As shown in [17] and [18], we note that the second-order wave propagates only over the distance until it is intersected by the surface. Thus we write \( S_p(x_d) \) as

\[
S_p(x_d) = e^{-x_d^2/D^2}
\] (57a)

believe where \( D \) is the mean distance the wave propagates without being interrupted by the surface. For \( D \), we use the mean duration of a fade at the level \( h_0 = \sqrt{2}\sigma \) given by

\[
D(h_0) = \frac{\pi}{\Omega} \frac{e^{h_0^2/(2\sigma^2)}}{1 + \text{erf} \left( \frac{h_0}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right)}
\] (57b)

where \( \Omega = \sqrt{2}/l \). We then get \( D = 11.13l \) [17]. The integral for \( F_d \) in (51) can now be evaluated for \( x_1 > x_2 \). We have

\[
\int d\bar{x}_d = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_d \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_d \int_0^{\infty} dx_d
\]

This integral can be expressed using error functions, but noting that \( \bar{\kappa}_1 \equiv \bar{\kappa}_1' \), we get

\[
F_d \approx \frac{\pi D^2}{2} \exp \left[ -\left| \bar{\kappa}_1 - \bar{\kappa}_1' \right|^2 D^2/4 \right]
\] (58)

where

\[
\left| \bar{\kappa}_1 - \bar{\kappa}_1' \right|^2 = k^2 \left( \cos^2 \alpha_0 + \cos^2 \alpha_0' - 2 \cos \alpha_0 \cos \alpha_0' \cos(\psi_0 - \psi_0') \right).
\]

We conducted a study on how sensitive equation (51) is to the value of the propagation distance \( D \). We also studied numerically the sensitivity of the power conservation to \( D \). We concluded that the results are not sensitive to the value of \( D \) for the examples we studied.
The shadowing function $S_2$ in (51) includes shadowing for the incident wave at $\theta_i$, for the scattered wave at $\theta$, and for propagation at the angles $\alpha_0$ and $\alpha_0'$. Thus we write

$$S_2 = S \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i \right) S \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta \right) (1 - S(\alpha_0)) (1 - S(\alpha_0')) \quad (59)$$

7. Evaluation of the Cross Section for the Ladder Term

Let us first find the second-order Kirchhoff approximation for the cross section $\alpha_{\theta\theta}^{2+}$ arising from $\langle J_{2+}J_{2+}^* \rangle$. We have from (43)

$$\alpha_{\theta\theta}^{2+} = \frac{4\pi}{A} L^* H_{11}^{(2)} H_{11}^{(2)*} I_+^{(2)} \quad (60)$$

$$I_+^{(2)} = \langle J_{2+}J_{2+}^* \rangle$$

We note, first of all, that $K_1 \approx K_1'$, and therefore we can let

$$H_{11}^{(2)} H_{11}^{(2)*} = |H_{11}^{(2)}|^2 \quad (61)$$

$$(N_{z1}N_{z2})(N_{z1}'N_{z2}') = (N_{z1}N_{z2})^2$$

However, we cannot let $\pi_1 = \pi_1'$ in (58). Thus the operator $L'$ operates only on $F_d$, and other factors in (61) are all evaluated with $L$.

Let us first perform the integration with respect to $\psi_0'$. Noting that $\psi_0 \approx \psi_0'$ in (58), we let

$$\cos \left( \psi_0 - \psi_0' \right) \approx 1 - \frac{(\psi_0 - \psi_0')^2}{2} \quad (62)$$

We then perform the saddle point integration and get

$$\int d\psi_0' \exp \left[ - \frac{k^2 D^2}{4} \cos \alpha_0 \cos \alpha_0' (\psi_0 - \psi_0')^2 \right] \approx \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{kD\sqrt{\cos \alpha_0 \cos \alpha_0'}} \quad (63)$$
Next we perform the integration with respect to $\alpha_0'$. We have

$$ F_2 = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \sqrt{\cos \alpha_0'} \, d\alpha_0' \exp \left[ -\frac{k^2 D^2}{4} (\cos \alpha_0 - \cos \alpha_0')^2 \right] S_2(\alpha_0') $$

(64)

This integral cannot be evaluated using the ordinary saddle point technique since the second derivative in the exponent goes to zero as $\alpha_0 \to 0$. An approximate integration can be done by noting that most contributions come from the region of small $\alpha_0$ and $\alpha_0'$. We let $\cos \alpha_0' \approx 1 - \alpha_0'^2 / 2$ and $\cos \alpha_0 \approx 1 - \alpha_0^2 / 2$. Then we get approximately

$$ F_2 = \sqrt{\cos \alpha_0} S_2(\alpha_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\alpha_0 \exp \left[ -\frac{k^2 D^2}{16} (\alpha_0^2 - \alpha_0'^2)^2 \right] $$

(65)

This can be evaluated using [26] (Gradshteyn and Ryzhik, p. 339):

$$ \int_0^\infty e^{-\mu x^4 - 2\nu x^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2\nu}{\mu}} e^{\frac{\nu^2}{2\mu}} \left[ K_{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \frac{\nu}{2z} \right) \right] , \quad Re(\mu) > 0 $$

(66)

where $\mu = \frac{k^2 D^2}{16}$ and $\nu = -\frac{k^2 D^2}{16} \alpha_0^2$. For small $\alpha_0$, $\nu^2 / (2\mu)$ is small, and we use

$$ K_{\frac{1}{4}}(z) \approx \frac{1}{2} \Gamma \left[ \frac{1}{4} \right] \left( \frac{1}{z} \right)^{1/4} $$

(67)

We then get

$$ F_2 = \sqrt{\cos \alpha_0} S(\alpha_0) 2\Gamma \left[ \frac{1}{4} \right] \frac{\exp \left[ -\frac{k^2 D^2 \alpha_0^4}{32} \right]}{\sqrt{kD}} $$

(68)

Finally we get

$$ \alpha_{\theta \theta}^{2+} = 4\pi \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos \alpha_0 d\alpha_0 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\psi_0 [\sigma_{11}]^{2+} $$

$$ [\sigma_{11}]^{2+} = \left( \frac{k}{2\pi} \right)^2 |H_{11}^{(2)}|^2 \frac{\phi_1 \phi_2}{(N_{z_1} N_{z_2})^2} F_1 S_2(\alpha_0) $$

$$ F_1 = \left( \frac{\pi D^2}{2} \right) \left[ \frac{4\sqrt{\pi}}{(kD)^3/2} \Gamma \left[ \frac{1}{4} \right] \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{k^2 D^2 \alpha_0^4}{32} \right] $$

$$ S_2 = S \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i \right) S \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta \right) (1 - S(\alpha_0))^2 $$

(69)
where $H_{11}^{(2)}$ is given in (44), $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ are given in (55) and (56), and $S(\alpha_0)$ is the shadowing function given in (34) with $\theta_k$ replaced by $\alpha_0$.

Using $H_{12}$, $H_{21}$, and $H_{22}$ given in (43), we get the second-order ladder Kirchhoff cross sections for $\sigma_{\theta\phi}$, $\sigma_{\phi\theta}$, and $\sigma_{\phi\phi}$. For $J_2$, we use $K_1 = K_{1-}$ given in (41), but this is the same as replacing $\psi_0$ by $(\pi + \psi_0)$ and $\alpha_0$ by $-\alpha_0$. Therefore the formula (70) is valid using $(\pi + \psi_0)$ in place of $\psi_0$ and $-\alpha_0$ in place of $\alpha_0$.

Finally the ladder cross section $\sigma_{2\theta}$ for the second-order Kirchhoff approximation is given by

$$
\sigma_{2\theta} = \sigma_{2\theta}^{2+} + \sigma_{2\theta}^{2-} \tag{70}
$$

where $\sigma_{2\theta}^{2+}$ is given in (70), and $\sigma_{2\theta}^{2-}$ is obtained using $K_1$ for $K_1$.

8. Evaluation of the Cyclical Term

Let us now consider the cyclical term $\langle J_{2+}J_{2-} \rangle$. The scattering cross section per unit area is given by

$$
\sigma_{11} = 2Re \left[ \frac{4\pi}{A} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}^* H_{11}^{(2)} H_{11}^{(2)*} I_c^{(2)} \right] \tag{71}
$$

where

$$
H_{11}^{(2)} = [\theta]^1[H]^{(2)}[\theta_1]
$$

and $[H]_1$ is evaluated with $K$ and $K_{1+}$, while $[H]_2$ is evaluated with $K_{1+}$ and $K_1$. However $H_{11}^{(2)}$ is given by

$$
H_{11}^{(2)*} = [\theta]^1[H]^{(2)*}[\theta_1] \tag{72}
$$

and $[H]_1^*$ is evaluated at $K$ and $K_{1-}$ and $[H]_2^*$ at $K_{1-}$ and $K_i$, see Figure 8. $I_c^{(2)}$ is given by
\[ I_c^{(2)} = \int \int \frac{d\vec{x}_1d\vec{x}_2}{N_{1z}N_{2z}} e^{-i(\vec{K} - \vec{K}_{1+}) \cdot \vec{r}_1 - i(\vec{K}_{1+} - \vec{K}_1) \cdot \vec{r}_2} \]
\[ \times \int \int \frac{d\vec{x}_1'd\vec{x}_2'}{N_{1z}'N_{2z}'} e^{i(\vec{K} - \vec{K}_1') \cdot \vec{r}_1' + i(\vec{K}_{1} - \vec{K}_1) \cdot \vec{r}_2'} \]
\[ \times S_p S_{2c} \]

where \( N_{1z} \) is evaluated at \( \vec{K} \) and \( \vec{K}_{1+} \) and \( N_{2z} \) at \( \vec{K}_{1+} \) and \( \vec{K}_1 \). \( N_{1z}' \) is evaluated at \( \vec{K} \) and \( \vec{K}_{1-} \), and \( N_{2z}' \) at \( \vec{K}_{1-} \) and \( \vec{K}_i \). \( S_p \) is the propagation shadowing function, and \( S_{2c} \) is the angular shadowing function.

Figure 8. (a) Ladder term \( \langle J_{2+}J_{2+}^* \rangle \) and (b) cyclical term \( \langle J_{2+}J_{2-} \rangle \). The dashed lines represent the conjugate waves.
Following the procedure in the last section, it is possible to express (73) in the following form:

\[ I_c^{(2)} = A\phi_1\phi_2 P \]  

(74)

where \( A \) is the area and

\[ \phi_1 = \int \exp \left( -\frac{i}{2} (\kappa - \kappa_i - \kappa_{1+} + \kappa_{1-}') \cdot x_{1d} B_1(x_{1d}) d\tau_{1d} \right) \]

\[ B_1(x_{1d}) = \langle \exp -i (K_{z} - K_{i_z}) f_1 + i (K_{i_z}' - K_{i_z}) f_2' \rangle \]

\[ \phi_2 = \int \exp \left( -\frac{i}{2} (\kappa - \kappa_i + \kappa_{1+} - \kappa_{1-}') \cdot x_{2d} B_2(x_{2d}) d\tau_{2d} \right) \]

\[ B_2(x_{2d}) = \langle \exp -i (K_{i_z} - K_{i_z}) f_2 + i (K_{z} - K_{i_z}') f_1' \rangle \]

\[ P = \int \exp \left( -i (\kappa + \kappa_i - \kappa_{1+} - \kappa_{1-}') \cdot x_{1d} S_p(x_d) d\tau_d \right) \]

The general expression (73) is now simplified using approximations. First, we note that the two rays with \( \kappa_{1+} \) and \( \kappa_{1-}' \) are close, \( \kappa_{1+} \approx -\kappa_{1-}' \), and therefore \( P \) is sensitive to the difference between \( \kappa_{1+} \) and \( -\kappa_{1-}' \). However, other factors \( H_{11}^{(2)} \), \( H_{11}'^{(2)*} \), \( \phi_1 \), and \( \phi_2 \) are not sensitive to this difference, and therefore we can evaluate these factors at \( \kappa_{1+} = -\kappa_{1-}' \), and \( \mathcal{L}' \) operates only on \( P \). Also we approximate \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) according to the geometric optics approximation used for the ladder term. We also use

\[ S_p(x_d) = \exp \left[ -\frac{x_d^2}{D^2} \right] \]  

(75)

Then we obtain

\[ F_c = \mathcal{L}' P = \frac{\pi D^2}{2} \frac{4\sqrt{\pi}}{(kD)^3/2} \Gamma \left[ \frac{1}{4} \right] \]

\[ \exp \left[ -\frac{k^2 D^2}{32} (a_0^2 + 2(\sin \theta \cos \phi + \sin \theta_i)^2) \right] \]  

(76)
Finally we get
\[ \sigma_{\theta \theta}^{2} = 8\pi \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos \alpha_0 \, d\alpha_0 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\psi_0 [\sigma_{11}]^{2c} \] (77)
\[ [\sigma_{11}]^{2c} = \left( \frac{k}{2\pi} \right)^2 \frac{H_{11}^{(2)} H_{11}^{(2)\ast}}{(N_{z1} N_{z2})^2} \phi_1 \phi_2 F_c S_2(\alpha_0) \]

where \( H_{11}^{(2)} \) is given in (44) with \( \kappa_1^+ \), \( H_{11}^{(2)\ast} \) is given in (44) with \( \kappa_1 = \kappa_{1-} = -\kappa_1^+ \), and

\[
\phi_1 = \pi l^2 \sigma^2 v_{1z} v_{2z} \exp \left[ -\frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left( v_1^r - v_2^r \right)^2 - \frac{\| \kappa - \kappa_i - 2 \kappa_1^+ \|^2}{4 \sigma^2 v_{1z} v_{2z}} \right]
\]
\[
\phi_2 = \pi l^2 \sigma^2 v_{1z} v_{2z} \exp \left[ -\frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left( v_2^r - v_1^r \right)^2 - \frac{\| \kappa - \kappa_i + 2 \kappa_1^+ \|^2}{4 \sigma^2 v_{1z} v_{2z}} \right]
\]
\[ v_{1z} = K_z - K_{1z}^r, \quad v_{2z} = K_{1z} - K_{2z} \]

9. Numerical Examples and Comparison with Millimeter Wave Experiment

The first-order Kirchhoff approximation for the scattering cross section Mueller matrices is given by (19), (16), and (7). The second-order approximation consists of the ladder term for the positive traveling and negative traveling waves given in (70), and the cyclical term given in (77). Numerical calculations are performed for the co-polarized and cross-polarized cross sections in the plane of incidence. In Figure 9(a), numerical examples are shown for TE waves (incident wave in
Horizontal polarization) for the conducting surfaces \((\sigma = 1\lambda, l = 4\lambda)\), \((\sigma = 1\lambda, l = 3\lambda)\), \((\sigma = 1\lambda, l = 2\lambda)\), and \((\sigma = 1\lambda, l = 1.4\lambda)\). This is the co-polarized case corresponding to \(\sigma_{hh}\). Note that the first-order term is significant for \((\sigma = 1\lambda, l = 4\lambda)\), but as the slope increases, the first-order contribution decreases, and the second-order contribution becomes comparable to the first-order. In Figure 9(b), the cross-polarized case \(\sigma_{vh}\) is shown. Note that the first-order term is zero as expected, and the total cross-polarized cross sections increase with the increase in slope. Note also that the cyclical (cross) terms contribute to the enhanced backscattering, and the enhancement increases with the increase in slope. In Figures 10(a) and 10(b), the co-polarized and cross-polarized cross sections \(\sigma_{vv}\) and \(\sigma_{hv}\) for vertical (TM) incidence are shown for a dielectric surface with relative dielectric constant \(7 + i13\). The general shapes of the scattering patterns are similar to those for conducting surfaces; however, the magnitudes are reduced considerably due to transmission and absorption of power into the surface. Figures 11(a) and (b) show the co- and cross-polarized cross sections \(\sigma_{hh}\) and \(\sigma_{vh}\) for horizontal (TE) incidence for the dielectric surface. In Figure 12, experimental data for conducting surfaces are shown for (a) the co-polarized cross section \(\sigma_{hh}\) and (b) the cross-polarized cross section \(\sigma_{vh}\) for horizontal incident polarization and (c) the co-polarized cross section \(\sigma_{vv}\) and (d) the cross-polarized cross section \(\sigma_{hv}\) for vertical incident polarization. Note that as the slope increases, the peaks for the co-polarized cross section shift from specular to backscattering directions, and the cross-polarized cross sections show clear backscattering enhancement.
Figure 9. (a) The co-polarized cross section $\sigma_{hh}$ and (b) the cross-polarized cross section $\sigma_{vh}$ for horizontal (TE) incident polarization. Plots are for incident angle $\theta_i = 20^\circ$ and conducting rough surfaces. All cross sections are plotted in linear scale.
Figure 10. (a) Co-polarized cross section $\sigma_{vv}$ and (b) cross-polarized cross section $\sigma_{hv}$ for vertical (TM) incident polarization. Plots are for incident angle $\theta_i = 20^\circ$ and dielectric rough surfaces with relative dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon_{r2} = 7 + i13$. 
Figure 11. (a) Co-polarized cross section $\sigma_{hh}$ and (b) cross-polarized cross section $\sigma^0_{vh}$ for horizontal (TE) incident polarization. Plots are for incident angle $\theta_i = 20^\circ$ and dielectric rough surfaces with relative dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon_r = 7 + i13$. 
Figure 12. Experimental data (a) for co-polarized cross section $\sigma_{hh}$ and (b) for cross section $\sigma_{vh}$ for horizontal (TE) polarization. Experimental data (c) for co-polarized cross section $\sigma_{vv}$ and (d) for cross-polarized cross section $\sigma_{hv}$ for vertical (TM) polarization. Plots are for conducting rough surfaces, fabricated using $\lambda = 3\,\text{mm}$ and are averaged over 95-100GHz. The incident angle $\theta_i = 20^\circ$, and the legend refers to $(\sigma, l)$ in wavelengths.

Comparison between theoretical calculations and experimental data are shown in Figure 13 for horizontal incident polarization (TE). The data are smoothed with moving averages. Note that agreement is good for the cases $(\sigma = 1\lambda, l = 4\lambda)$, $(\sigma = 1\lambda, l = 3\lambda)$, and $(\sigma = 1\lambda, l = 2\lambda)$. However there are some discrepancies for the high slope case $(\sigma = 1\lambda, l = 1.4\lambda)$. The difference may be due to the approximations used for the shadowing functions, and this also indicates a limitation of the present theory. Experimental data are obtained using a receiving horn with a field of view of several degrees, which yields averaged experimental data for which any sharp peak within a few degrees is smoothed. Figure 14 shows a comparison between theory and experiment for incident polarization (TM). Note that the analytical results for the TE case are identical to the TM case since the local reflection coefficients are unity under the geometrical optics approximation. In
general the analytical theory and experimental data agree well both for magnitude and shape for the region noted in Fig. 1.

Figure 13. Comparison between theory and experiment. (a) The co-polarized cross section $\sigma_{hh}$ and (b) the cross-polarized cross section $\sigma_{vh}$ for horizontal (TE) incident polarization. Plots are for incident angle $\theta_i = 20^\circ$ and conducting rough surfaces. Experimental data are averaged over 95-100GHz, and the surfaces are fabricated using $\lambda = 3mm$. 
Figure 14. Comparison between theory and experiment. (a) The copolarized cross section $\sigma_{vv}$ and (b) the cross-polarized cross section $\sigma_{hv}$ for vertical (TM) incident polarization. Plots are for incident angle $\theta_i = 20^\circ$ and conducting rough surfaces. Experimental data are averaged over 95-1000GHz, and the surfaces are fabricated using $\lambda = 3mm$. 
10. Summary and Conclusion

We presented an analytical theory for polarimetric scattering by two-dimensional rough surfaces with an rms slope of order unity. This is the range where backscattering enhancement takes place. Calculated results agree well with millimeter wave experimental data. It should be noted, however, that this theory is based on several approximations. Shadowing corrections are important for accounting for higher order scattering. However, the exact form of the shadowing functions for second-order scattering needs further study. Reduction of the four-fold integrals to double integrals is important in obtaining numerically manageable formulas. However, this reduction involves approximations which could be improved further. We also assumed that the correlation between first- and second-order scattering is negligible. This is reasonable for the geometric optics approximation used here. However, this correlation needs to be included if the theory is to be extended to wider ranges of parameters. The theory is applicable to two media problems. However, if the second medium is lossless, the theory gives poor results because the wave penetrates through one part of the surface and emerges at another part of the surface. In spite of these limitations, the theory gives an approximate formula for the complete Mueller matrix for scattering by two-dimensional rough surfaces and includes backscattering enhancement.
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