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Abstract—Integral equation formulation and magnetic potential Green’s dyadics for multilayered rectangular waveguide are presented for modeling interacting printed antenna arrays used in waveguide-based spatial power combiners. Dyadic Green’s functions are obtained as a partial eigenfunction expansion in the form of a double series over the complete system of eigenfunctions of transverse Laplacian operator. In this expansion, one-dimensional characteristic Green’s functions along a multilayered waveguide are derived in closed form as the solution of a Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem with appropriate boundary and continuity conditions. A method introduced here is based on the transmission matrix approach, wherein the amplitude coefficients of forward and backward traveling waves in the scattered Green’s function in different dielectric layers are obtained as a product of transmission matrices of corresponding layers. Convergence of Green’s function components in the source region is illustrated for a specific example of a two-layered, terminated rectangular waveguide.

1 Introduction

2 Integral Equation Formulation

3 Magnetic Potential Green’s Dyadics for a Semi-Infinite Multilayered Rectangular Waveguide

4 Numerical Results and Discussion

5 Conclusion

Appendix A. Magnetic Potential Green’s Dyadics for a Semi-infinite Multilayered Rectangular Waveguide Used in the Magnetic-field Integral Equation Formulation
Appendix B. Characteristic Green’s Functions for a Two-layered, Terminated Rectangular Waveguide

References

1. INTRODUCTION

Dyadic Green’s functions (DGFs) play a significant role in integral equation methods used in the analysis of various electromagnetic wave propagation and scattering problems [1, 2]. In the past few decades, considerable amount of research has been devoted to the development of DGFs of rectangular waveguides and cavities [1–14]. They are traditionally constructed in the form of a double series expansion using the Hansen vector wave functions [1–10]. Thus, electric and magnetic DGFs for an infinite and semi-infinite rectangular waveguide and rectangular cavity were obtained in [1–3]. In [4], several different but equivalent representations of DGFs for a rectangular cavity were obtained, and the mathematical relations between the DGF of the vector (magnetic) potential type and that of the electric type were shown in detail. A hybrid ray-mode representation of the Green’s function in an overmoded rectangular cavity was developed in [5], using the finite Poisson’s summation formula. DGFs for a rectangular waveguide with an E-plane dielectric slab were derived in [6] based the Ohm-Rayleigh method. In [7], rectangular waveguide Green’s function involving full-wave discrete complex images was proposed for the analysis of waveguide discontinuity problems. A rigorous Green’s function analysis of rectangular microstrip patch antennas enclosed in a rectangular cavity was developed in [8]. A rapid calculation scheme based on the Ewald’s sum technique was proposed in [9] for the potential Green’s functions of the rectangular waveguide. An image-spectral approach was used in [10] to derive the computationally efficient expressions of the DGFs for rectangular enclosures.

There has also been work on DGFs for a multilayered rectangular waveguide [11, 12]. In [11], the electric DGF for a rectangular waveguide filled with longitudinally multilayered isotropic dielectric was obtained using the method of mode expansion and scattering superposition. Electric and magnetic Green’s functions for a semi-infinite rectangular waveguide filled with arbitrary multilayered media were presented in [12], where analytical expressions of the scattering DGF coefficients were obtained in terms of transmission matrices using the principle of scattering superposition.

An alternative method of developing Green’s dyadics for rectangular waveguides and cavities utilizes a partial eigenfunction expansion
The work presented here was motivated by the necessity to develop a modeling environment for waveguide-based spatial power combiners, wherein arbitrarily shaped printed antenna arrays are placed at dielectric interfaces of an oversized multilayered rectangular waveguide (Fig. 1). Narrowband resonant rectangular slot antennas used in earlier designs [15,16] are replaced by meander-slot antennas and their modifications [17,18], in order to increase the frequency bandwidth and efficiency of the system.

The paper is organized as follows. An integral equation formulation is given in Section 2, where a coupled set of integral equations is obtained for the induced electric and magnetic surface current as a double series expansion over the complete system of eigenfunctions of the transverse Laplacian operator [13,14]. The unknown coefficients in this expansion represent one-dimensional characteristic Green’s functions along the waveguide. The properties of completeness and orthogonality of eigenfunctions in the waveguide cross-section allow for reduction of the three-dimensional problem to a one-dimensional Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem for the unknown characteristic Green’s functions. In [14], electric DGFs for a semi-infinite partially filled rectangular waveguide are obtained for the full-wave analysis of a waveguide-based aperture-coupled patch amplifier array. The derived characteristic Green’s functions provide a physical insight into resonance and surface wave effects occurring in overmoded layered waveguide transitions.

Figure 1. Multilayered waveguide transition containing interacting printed antenna arrays.
densities. In this paper we primarily concentrate on the development of magnetic potential DGFs for a multilayered rectangular waveguide with an arbitrarily oriented point source. Thus, in Section 3 a detailed analysis of DGFs is presented, which is based on the partial eigenfunction expansion of Green’s function components in conjunction with a proposed transmission matrix approach. In this method, one-dimensional characteristic Green’s functions along a multilayered waveguide are obtained in closed form as a product of transmission matrices of corresponding layers. Numerical results for convergence of Green’s function components are given in Section 4 for a specific example of a two-layered, terminated rectangular waveguide.

2. INTEGRAL EQUATION FORMULATION

Consider a waveguide-based transition module with \( n \) dielectric layers shown in Fig. 2. This is a generalized case of the module investigated in \([15, 16]\). An arbitrarily-shaped metallization \( S_m \) (electric layer) and apertures \( S_a \) (magnetic layer) in a ground plane are placed at the interfaces of adjacent dielectric layers at \( z = z_s \) and \( z = z_n \), respectively. The incident electric and magnetic fields in the region \( V_1 \) are generated by an impressed electric current source \( J_{imp} \) (\( \nabla \times J_{imp} \) for the magnetic field). The scattered electric and magnetic fields in regions \( V_i \) (for \( i = 1, 2, ..., n \)) are generated by the electric current induced on the metallization \( S_m \) and by the magnetic current induced on the surface of slot apertures \( S_a \). The electric and magnetic fields in the region \( V_{n+1} \) are due to magnetic currents only, but the continuity of tangential components of the magnetic field across the surface \( S_a \) provides the interaction of all regions and necessitates the formulation of the problem in terms of coupled electric- and magnetic-field integral equations.

A coupled set of integral equations is obtained by enforcing a boundary condition for the tangential components of the electric field.
Magnetic potential Green’s dyadics of multilayered waveguide on the conducting surface \( S_m \) at \( z = z_s \),

\[
\hat{z} \times \left( \mathbf{E}^{(\text{inc})}_s (\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{E}^{(\text{scat})}_s (\mathbf{r}) \right) = 0
\] (1)

and a continuity condition for the tangential components of the magnetic field on the surface of the slot apertures \( S_a \) at \( z = z_n \),

\[
\hat{z} \times \left( \mathbf{H}^{(\text{inc})}_n (\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{H}^{(\text{scat})}_n (\mathbf{r}) \right) = \hat{z} \times \mathbf{H}^{(\text{scat})}_{n+1} (\mathbf{r}).
\] (2)

The integral form of the boundary condition (1) is obtained by combining the vector-wave equation formulation for the electric field and the corresponding formulation for the electric DGF. The integral-equation formulation of the second vector-dyadic Greens theorem \([2]\) with appropriate boundary and continuity conditions results in

\[
-j\omega \mu_0 \hat{z} \times \int_{V_{\text{imp}}} \mathbf{J}_{\text{imp}} (\mathbf{r}') \cdot \mathbf{G}^{(1s)}_2 (\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) \, dV' = j\omega \mu_0 \hat{z} \times \int_{S_m} \mathbf{J} (\mathbf{r}') \cdot \mathbf{G}^{(ss)}_1 (\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) \, dS' - \hat{z} \times \int_{S_a} \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{r}') \cdot [\nabla' \times \mathbf{G}^{(ns)}_1 (\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r})] \, dS'.
\] (3)

Here, the integral on the left-hand side of (3) is the incident electric field due to an impressed electric current \( \mathbf{J}_{\text{imp}} (\mathbf{r}') \) and the integrals on the right-hand side are the scattered electric fields due to induced electric \( \mathbf{J} (\mathbf{r}') \) and magnetic \( \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{r}') \) currents.

The continuity condition for tangential components of the magnetic field (2) is obtained in integral form using a similar procedure as that described above for the electric-field vector resulting in the magnetic-field integral equation for the unknown currents \( \mathbf{J} (\mathbf{r}') \) and \( \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{r}') \),

\[
\frac{\varepsilon_n}{\varepsilon_1} \hat{z} \times \int_{V_{\text{imp}}} [\nabla' \times \mathbf{J}_{\text{imp}} (\mathbf{r}')] \cdot \mathbf{G}^{(1n)}_2 (\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) \, dV' = -\frac{\varepsilon_n}{\varepsilon_s} \hat{z} \times \int_{S_m} \mathbf{J} (\mathbf{r}') \cdot [\nabla' \times \mathbf{G}^{(sn)}_2 (\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r})] \, dS' - j\omega \varepsilon_0 \hat{z} \times \int_{S_a} \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{r}') \cdot \left[ \varepsilon_n \mathbf{G}^{(nn)}_{2e} (\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) + \varepsilon_{n+1} \mathbf{G}^{(n+1)}_{2e} (\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) \right] \, dS'.
\] (4)

where \( \mathbf{G}_{2e}^{(n+1)} (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \) is the electric dyadic Green’s function of the second kind for a semi-infinite rectangular waveguide filled with dielectric.
with permittivity $\varepsilon_{n+1}$ and terminated by a ground plane at $z = z_n$ (obtained similar to that presented in [14]). The electric DGFs $G_{e1^{(is)}}$ and $G_{e2^{(in)}}$ (for $i = 1, ..., s, ..., n$), serving as kernels of the integral equations (3) and (4), are obtained from the magnetic potential Green’s dyadics $G_{A1^{(is)}}$ and $G_{A2^{(in)}}$ as follows [19],

$$
G_{er^{(il)}}(r, r') = \left( I + \frac{1}{k_i^2} \nabla \nabla \right) \cdot G_{Ar^{(il)}}(r, r')
$$

where $l = s, n$ corresponds to $r = 1, 2$, respectively. Magnetic potential Green’s dyadics $G_{A1^{(is)}}(r, r')$ used in the electric-field integral equation formulation (3) will be obtained in the section to follow, and the formulation for $G_{A2^{(in)}}(r, r')$ used in the magnetic-field integral equation (4) is given in Appendix A.

3. MAGNETIC POTENTIAL GREEN’S DYADICS FOR A SEMI-INFINITE MULTILAYERED RECTANGULAR WAVEGUIDE

In this section we present a method of deriving the magnetic potential DGF $G_{A1^{(is)}}(r, r')$ for a semi-infinite multilayered rectangular waveguide used in the electric-field integral equation formulation. The Green’s function components are obtained as a partial eigenfunction expansion with a complete basis of eigenfunctions in the waveguide cross-section. Based on the properties of completeness and orthogonality of eigenfunctions a three-dimensional Green’s function problem is reduced to a one-dimensional Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem for characteristic Green’s functions in the waveguiding direction. Characteristic Green’s functions are represented as a superposition of primary and scattered parts subject to appropriate boundary and continuity conditions. In this formulation, scattered parts are obtained in terms of traveling waves (forward and backward) with amplitude coefficients expressed as a product of transmission matrices associated with a multilayered waveguide.

The magnetic potential DGF for a semi-infinite multilayered rectangular waveguide with a point source arbitrarily positioned in region $V_s$ (Fig. 3) satisfies the following dyadic differential equations in regions of dielectric layers $V_i$, ($i = 1, 2, ..., n$),

$$
\nabla^2 G_{A1^{(is)}}(r, r') + k_i^2 G_{A1^{(is)}}(r, r') = -\delta_{is} I \delta(r - r'), \quad r \in V_i, r' \in V_s
$$

(6)
subject to boundary conditions of the first kind on the waveguide surface $S_M$ and surface of the ground plane $S_G = S_g \cup S_a$,

$$\hat{n} \times \mathbf{G}^{(is)}_{A1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = 0, \quad \mathbf{r} \in S_M \cup S_G$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{G}^{(is)}_{A1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = 0, \quad \mathbf{r} \in S_M \cup S_G$$

and mixed continuity conditions of the third kind across the dielectric interfaces $S_q$ (for $q = 1, 2, ..., n - 1$),

$$\hat{z} \times \mathbf{G}^{(qs)}_{A1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \hat{z} \times \mathbf{G}^{(q+1s)}_{A1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'), \quad \mathbf{r} \in S_q$$

$$\hat{z} \cdot \mathbf{G}^{(qs)}_{A1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \hat{z} \cdot \mathbf{G}^{(q+1s)}_{A1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'), \quad \mathbf{r} \in S_q$$

$$\hat{z} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{G}^{(qs)}_{A1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \hat{z} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{G}^{(q+1s)}_{A1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'), \quad \mathbf{r} \in S_q$$

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_q} \mathbf{G}^{(qs)}_{A1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{q+1}} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{G}^{(q+1s)}_{A1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'), \quad \mathbf{r} \in S_q.$$

The solution of the boundary value problem (6)–(8) yields nine components of the magnetic Green’s dyadics expressed as a partial eigenfunction expansion,

$$\mathbf{G}^{(is)}_{\alpha\beta,A1}(x, y, z, x', y', z') = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{mn}^\alpha(x, y) \phi_{mn}^\beta(x', y') f^{(is)}_{mn,\alpha\beta}(z, z'),$$

for $\alpha, \beta = x, y, z$, where $\phi_{mn}^\alpha(x, y)$ form a complete basis of orthonormal eigenfunctions of the transverse Laplacian operator in the
waveguide cross-section \((a \times b)\),

\[
\phi_{mn}^x (x, y) = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{0n}}{ab}} \cos (k_x x) \sin (k_y y),
\]

\[
\phi_{mn}^y (x, y) = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{0m}}{ab}} \sin (k_x x) \cos (k_y y),
\]

\[
\phi_{mn}^z (x, y) = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{0m}}{ab}} \sin (k_x x) \sin (k_y y),
\]

with \(k_x\) and \(k_y\) being the transverse eigenvalues such that \(k_x = \frac{m \pi}{a}\), \(k_y = \frac{n \pi}{b}\). Here, \(a\) and \(b\) are the waveguide dimensions in the \(x\)- and \(y\)-direction, respectively, and \(f_{mn,\alpha\beta}^{(is)} (z, z')\) are the one-dimensional characteristic Green's functions in the waveguiding direction. In (10), \(\varepsilon_{0m}\) and \(\varepsilon_{0n}\) are the Neumann indexes, such that \(\varepsilon_{00} = 1\) and \(\varepsilon_{0n} = 2\) when \(m \neq 0\).

The use of the eigenfunction expansion (9) reduces the three-dimensional boundary value problem (6)–(8) to a one-dimensional Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem for the characteristic Green's functions,

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} f_{mn,\alpha\beta}^{(is)} (z, z') - \gamma_{is}^2 f_{mn,\alpha\beta}^{(is)} (z, z') = -\delta_{is} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta (z - z'),
\]

\(z_{i-1} < z < z_i,\) \hfill (11)

\[
f_{mn,\alpha\beta}^{(ns)} (z_n, z') = f_{mn,\alpha\beta}^{(qs)} (z_n, z') = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f_{mn,\alpha\beta}^{(ns)} (z_n, z') = 0,
\]

\(1\)

\[
\frac{1}{\varepsilon_q} \left[ -k_x f_{mn,\alpha\beta}^{(qs)} (z_q, z') - k_y f_{mn,\alpha\beta}^{(qs)} (z_q, z') + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f_{mn,\alpha\beta}^{(qs)} (z_q, z') \right] =
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{q+1}} \left[ -k_x f_{mn,\alpha\beta}^{(q+1s)} (z_q, z') - k_y f_{mn,\alpha\beta}^{(q+1s)} (z_q, z') + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f_{mn,\alpha\beta}^{(q+1s)} (z_q, z') \right],
\]
where \( i = 1, 2, ..., n \), \( q = 1, 2, ..., n - 1 \), and \( \gamma_i \) is the propagation constant of propagating and evanescent waveguide modes in regions \( V_i \),

\[
\gamma_i = \begin{cases} 
  j \sqrt{k_i^2 - k_q^2 - k_p^2}, & k_i^2 > k_x^2 + k_y^2 \\
  \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 - k_i^2}, & k_i^2 < k_x^2 + k_y^2
\end{cases}
\]

The solution of the system of differential equations (11) is obtained as a superposition of the primary and scattered parts,

\[
f_{mn,\alpha\beta}^{(is)}(z, z') = \delta_{\alpha\beta} e^{-\gamma_s |z - z'|} + (1 - \delta_{\alpha1}) A_{\alpha\beta}^{(is)} e^{-\gamma_i (z - z_{i-1})} + B_{\alpha\beta}^{(is)} e^{\gamma_i (z - z_i)} \quad (14)
\]

where the first term (primary part) is the one-dimensional Green’s function of an infinite waveguide and two other terms (scattered part) represent forward and backward traveling waves in waveguide regions \( V_i \). The unknown coefficients \( A_{\alpha\beta}^{(is)} \) and \( B_{\alpha\beta}^{(is)} \) will be determined in closed form subject to boundary and continuity conditions (12) and (13).

The use of the representation (14) and continuity conditions (13) gives \( f_{mn,xy}^{(is)}(z, z') = f_{mn,xz}^{(is)}(z, z') = f_{mn,yz}^{(is)}(z, z') = 0 \). Therefore, only five out of nine magnetic DGFs components are non-zero:

\[
G^{(is)}_{A1} = \begin{bmatrix} 
  G_{xx,A1}^{(is)} & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & G_{yy,A1}^{(is)} & 0 \\
  G_{xx,A1}^{(is)} & G_{yy,A1}^{(is)} & G_{zz,A1}^{(is)}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The solution for characteristic Green’s functions \( f_{mn,xx}^{(is)}(z, z') \) and \( f_{mn,xz}^{(is)}(z, z') \) will be given first, followed by the solution for the function \( f_{mn,zz}^{(is)}(z, z') \). For the sake of brevity, the solution for functions \( f_{mn,yy}^{(is)}(z, z') \) and \( f_{mn,yz}^{(is)}(z, z') \) is excluded. It can be obtained using a similar procedure to that for functions \( f_{mn,xx}^{(is)}(z, z') \) and \( f_{mn,zz}^{(is)}(z, z') \) by interchanging \( x \) and \( y \) variables.

By the representation (14), functions \( f_{mn,xx}^{(is)}(z, z') \) and \( f_{mn,zz}^{(is)}(z, z') \) are expressed in terms of coefficients \( [A^{(is)}_{xz} B^{(is)}_{xz}] \) and \( [A^{(is)}_{zz} B^{(is)}_{zz}] \), respectively. We now introduce vectors of unknown coefficients \( C_{x}^{(is)} = \ldots \)
\[
\begin{bmatrix} A_{xx}^{(1s)} & B_{xx}^{(1s)} & A_{xx}^{(1s)} & B_{xx}^{(1s)} \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \text{ where } T \text{ denotes transpose operation. Note that } A_{xx} = A_{xx}^{(1s)} = 0, \text{ such that } C_x^{(1s)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B_{xx}^{(1s)} & 0 & B_{xx}^{(1s)} \end{bmatrix}^T. \]

This results in \( 4n - 2 \) unknown coefficients \( A \) and \( B \) introduced in the characteristic functions \( f_{mn,xz}^{(s)}(z, z') \) and \( f_{mn,xz}^{(s)}(z, z') \). Each of the continuity conditions (13), for \( q = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1 \), provides four equations for these coefficients, and the boundary condition (12) provides two additional equations. Instead of solving the system of \( 4n - 2 \) equations for \( 4n - 2 \) unknown coefficients by brute force, we propose a method of reducing this system to a system of only four equations for the four key unknown coefficients, \( B_{xx}^{(1s)}, B_{xx}^{(1s)}, A_{xx}^{(ns)} \) and \( A_{xx}^{(ns)} \), and expressing all other coefficients in terms of these four. It is convenient to group these four key coefficients into vectors \( B_x^{(1s)} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{xx}^{(1s)} & B_{xx}^{(1s)} \end{bmatrix}^T \) and \( A_x^{(ns)} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{xx}^{(ns)} & A_{xx}^{(ns)} \end{bmatrix}^T \). Coefficients \( C_x^{(is)} \) will then be expressed in terms of \( B_x^{(1s)} \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, s-1, s \), and in terms of \( A_x^{(ns)} \), for \( i = s, s+1, \ldots, n \). Note that the coefficients \( C_x^{(ss)} \) have two different representations: one in terms of \( B_x^{(1s)} \) and another one in terms of \( A_x^{(ns)} \).

The representation (14) subject to the boundary and continuity conditions (12), (13) results in the expressions for coefficients \( C_x^{(is)} \) in terms of the key coefficients \( B_x^{(1s)} \),
\[
C_x^{(1s)} = \Theta_x^{1} \cdot B_x^{(1s)},
\]
\[
C_x^{(is)} = L_x^{i} \cdot B_x^{(1s)} - \frac{\delta_{is}}{2 g_s E_s} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T,
\]
where \( E_s = e^{-\gamma_s (z_2 - z')} \), and matrices \( \Theta_x^{1} \) and \( L_x^{i} \) are obtained as
\[
\Theta_x^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T,
\]
and \( L_x^{i} = \Theta_x^{i,j-1} \cdot \Theta_x^{i-1,j-2} \cdots \Theta_x^{2,1} \cdot \Theta_x^{1,j} \), with \( \Theta_x^{1,j} \) being a transmission matrix such that
\[
\Theta_x^{j+1,j} = \frac{1}{2 T_{j+1} \varepsilon_j \gamma_j + 1} \begin{bmatrix} T_{j+1} \varepsilon_j (\gamma_{j+1} + \gamma_j) T_j & T_{j+1} \varepsilon_j (\gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_j) \\ \varepsilon_j (\gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_j) T_j & \varepsilon_j (\gamma_{j+1} + \gamma_j) \\ T_{j+1} \varepsilon_j \gamma_{j+1} T_j & T_{j+1} \varepsilon_j \gamma_{j+1} T_j \\ (\varepsilon_j \gamma_{j+1} - \varepsilon_j \gamma_j) T_j & (\varepsilon_j \gamma_{j+1} + \varepsilon_j \gamma_j) T_j \end{bmatrix}.
\]
for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \), where \( T_j = e^{-\gamma_i(z_i - z_{i-1})} \). The above form of the transmission matrix \( \Theta_j^{j+1,j} \) is easily obtained by expressing the continuity condition (13) in matrix form at dielectric interface at \( z = z_j \), and the matrix \( \Theta_1^2 \) is obtained using the equation \( \mathbf{C}_x^{(1s)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B_1^{(1s)} & 0 & B_2^{(1s)} \end{bmatrix}^T \).

Similarly, the representation (14) with the boundary and continuity conditions (12), (13) results in the representation of \( \mathbf{C}_x^{(is)} \) in terms of the key coefficients \( \mathbf{A}_{x}^{(ns)} \),

\[
\mathbf{C}_x^{(ns)} = \Theta_x^n \cdot \mathbf{A}_{x}^{(ns)},
\]

\[
\mathbf{C}_x^{(is)} = \mathbf{R}_x^i \cdot \mathbf{A}_{x}^{(ns)} - \frac{\delta_{is}E_s}{2\gamma_s T_s} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T,
\]

where matrices \( \Theta_x^n \) and \( \mathbf{R}_x^i \) are obtained in the form

\[
\Theta_x^n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -T_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & T_n \end{bmatrix}^T,
\]

and \( \mathbf{R}_x^i = (\Theta_1^{j+1,i})^{-1} \cdot (\Theta_2^{j+2,i+1})^{-1} \cdots (\Theta_{n}^{n,n-1})^{-1} \cdot \Theta_{x}^{n} \). The above form of the transmission matrix \( \Theta_x^n \) is easily obtained by expressing the boundary condition (12) in matrix form at the ground plane at \( z = z_n \).

Equating the two different expressions for \( \mathbf{C}_x^{(ss)} \), (15) and (16), one obtains the following system of equations for the four key unknown coefficients,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
R_x^{s[1,1]} & 0 & -L_x^{s[1,1]} & 0 \\
R_x^{s[2,1]} & 0 & -L_x^{s[2,1]} & 0 \\
R_x^{s[3,1]} & R_x^{s[3,2]} & -L_x^{s[3,1]} & -L_x^{s[3,2]} \\
R_x^{s[4,1]} & R_x^{s[4,2]} & -L_x^{s[4,1]} & -L_x^{s[4,2]}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
A_x^{(ns)} \\
A_x^{(ns)} \\
B_x^{(1s)} \\
B_x^{(1s)}
\end{bmatrix}
= \frac{1}{2\gamma_s}
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{E_s}{T_s} \\
-\frac{1}{E_s} \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( R_x^{s[i,j]} \) and \( L_x^{s[i,j]} \) (for \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \) and \( j = 1, 2 \)) denote elements of matrices \( \mathbf{R}_x^s \) and \( \mathbf{L}_x^s \), respectively.

Once the system (17) is solved for \( [A_x^{(ns)} A_x^{(ns)} B_x^{(1s)} B_x^{(1s)}]^T \), the remaining coefficients of the representation (14) are obtained from (15) and (16). Note that the presented procedure holds for cavities and infinite waveguides as well as semi-infinite waveguides terminated from either side. Waveguide terminations are accounted for in the procedure by matrices \( \Theta_1^1 \) and \( \Theta_x^n \) which are easily obtained for any of the termination cases by using the above approach for the case of a semi-infinite waveguide.
In addition, when the point source is located in the first dielectric layer \((s = 1)\), by making use of equations (16) and \(A^{(11)}_{xx} = A^{(11)}_{zx} = 0\), one obtains

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    R^1_{x[1,1]} & 0 \\
    R^1_{x[3,1]} & R^1_{x[3,2]}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    A^{(n1)}_{xx} \\
    A^{(n1)}_{zx}
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2\gamma_1}
\begin{bmatrix}
    \frac{E_n}{T_n} \\
    0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Once this system is solved for the vector of key coefficients \(A^{(n1)}_{zx} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{(n1)}_{xx} \\ A^{(n1)}_{zx} \end{bmatrix}^T\), the remaining coefficients are obtained from equations (16).

When the point source is positioned in the last dielectric layer \((s = n)\), using the equations (15) and \(f^{(ns)}_{mn,xx}(z_n, z') = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f^{(ns)}_{mn,xx}(z_n, z') = 0\), we obtain

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    L^n_{x[2,1]} + T_n L^n_{x[1,1]} \\
    L^n_{x[4,1]} - T_n L^n_{x[3,1]} \\
    L^n_{x[4,2]} - T_n L^n_{x[3,2]}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    B^{(1n)}_{xx} \\
    B^{(1n)}_{zx}
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2\gamma_n}
\begin{bmatrix}
    \frac{1}{\varepsilon_q} - E_n \\
    0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Once the system (19) is solved for the key coefficients \(B^{(1n)}_{xx}\) and \(B^{(1n)}_{zx}\), the remaining coefficients can be obtained from (15).

In this part of the paper a procedure of deriving a longitudinal component of the characteristic Green’s function, \(f^{(is)}_{mn,zz}(z, z')\), is described. Corresponding boundary and continuity conditions (12), (13) are obtained as follows,

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} f^{(ns)}_{mn,zz}(z_n, z') = 0, \quad (20)
\]

\[
f^{(qs)}_{mn,zz}(z_q, z') = f^{(q+1s)}_{mn,zz}(z_q, z'),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\varepsilon_q} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f^{(qs)}_{mn,zz}(z_q, z') = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{q+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f^{(q+1s)}_{mn,zz}(z_q, z'), \quad (21)
\]

where \(q = 1, 2, ..., n - 1\).

By the representation (14), functions \(f^{(is)}_{mn,zz}(z, z')\) are expressed in terms of coefficients \(A^{(is)}_{zz}\) and \(B^{(is)}_{zz}\). We now introduce vectors of unknown coefficients \(C^{(is)}_{zz}\) containing coefficients \(A^{(is)}_{zz}\) and \(B^{(is)}_{zz}\), as \(C^{(is)}_{zz} = [A^{(is)}_{zz} B^{(is)}_{zz}]^T\). Note that \(A^{(1s)}_{zz} = 0\) such that \(C^{(1s)}_{zz} = [0 B^{(1s)}_{zz}]^T\). Coefficients \(B^{(1s)}_{zz}\) and \(A^{(ns)}_{zz}\) are the most important coefficients to be determined. All other coefficients will be expressed in terms of these two. Specifically, coefficients \(C^{(is)}_{zz}\) will be expressed in terms of \(B^{(1s)}_{zz}\), for \(i = 1, ..., s - 1, s\), and in terms of \(A^{(ns)}_{zz}\), for \(i = s, s + 1, ..., n\).
Using the representation (14) and boundary and continuity conditions (20), (21), the coefficients \(C_z^{(is)}\) can be expressed in terms of the key coefficients \(B_z^{(1s)}\),

\[
C_z^{(is)} = B_z^{(1s)} \Theta_z^1, \\
C_z^{(is)} = B_z^{(1s)} L_z - \frac{\delta_{is} E_s}{2\gamma s T_s} [1 \ 0]^T, \\
\tag{22}
\]

where \(\Theta_z^1 = [0 \ 1]^T\) and \(L_z = \Theta_z^{i,i-1} \cdot \Theta_z^{i-1,i-2} \cdot \ldots \cdot \Theta_z^{2,1} \cdot \Theta_z^1\), with \(\Theta_z^{j+1,j}\) being (for \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1\)),

\[
\Theta_z^{j+1,j} = \frac{1}{2T_j + 1} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j \gamma_j + 1} \\
\cdot \begin{bmatrix} T_j \varepsilon_j \gamma_j + 1 + \varepsilon_j + \gamma_j + 1 & T_j \varepsilon_j \gamma_j + 1 - \varepsilon_j + \gamma_j + 1 \\
T_j \varepsilon_j \gamma_j + 1 - \varepsilon_j + \gamma_j + 1 & T_j \varepsilon_j \gamma_j + 1 + \varepsilon_j + \gamma_j + 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Similarly, we can express \(C_z^{(is)}\) in terms of the key coefficients \(A_z^{(ns)}\),

\[
C_z^{(ns)} = A_z^{(ns)} \Theta_z^n, \\
C_z^{(is)} = A_z^{(ns)} R_z^i - \frac{\delta_{is} E_s}{2\gamma s T_s} [1 \ 0]^T, \\
\tag{23}
\]

where \(\Theta_z^n = [1 \ T_N]^T\) and \(R_z^i = (\Theta_z^{i+1,i})^{-1} \cdot (\Theta_z^{i+2,i+1})^{-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot (\Theta_z^{n,n-1})^{-1}\).

\(\Theta_z^n\), with \((\Theta_z^{j+1,j})^{-1}\) being (for \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1\)),

\[
(\Theta_z^{j+1,j})^{-1} = \frac{1}{2T_j + 1} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j \gamma_j + 1} \\
\cdot \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_j + \gamma_j + 1 & \varepsilon_j \gamma_j + 1 \varepsilon_j \gamma_j + 1 \varepsilon_j + \gamma_j + 1 \varepsilon_j \gamma_j + 1 \varepsilon_j + \gamma_j + 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Equating the two different expressions for \(C_z^{(ss)}\), (22) and (23), we obtain the following system of matrix equations for the coefficients \(A_z^{(ns)}\) and \(B_z^{(1s)}\),

\[
\begin{bmatrix} R_z^{s[1,1]} & -L_z^{s[1,1]} \\
R_z^{s[2,1]} & -L_z^{s[2,1]} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_z^{(ns)} \\
B_z^{(1s)} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2\gamma s} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{E_z}{T_z} \\
-1 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\tag{24}
\]

where \(R_z^{s[1,1]}\) and \(R_z^{s[2,1]}\) are elements of the matrix \(R_z^s\) and \(L_z^{s[1,1]}\) and \(L_z^{s[2,1]}\) are elements of the matrix \(L_z^s\).
Once the system (24) is solved for $A_{zz}^{(ns)}$ and $B_{zz}^{(1s)}$, the remaining coefficients of (14) are obtained from equations (22) and (23).

When the point source is located in the first dielectric layer ($s = 1$), $A_{zz}^{(n1)} = \frac{E_1}{2\gamma_1 T_{11}^{zz}}$, and in the case when the source is in the last dielectric layer ($s = n$), $B_{zz}^{(1n)} = \frac{1}{E_n + E_n^{(L)}}$.

A similar procedure has been implemented to obtain DGFs (formulation is given in Appendix A) used in the magnetic-field integral equation formulation (4). In this case, different expressions for the eigenfunctions $\phi_{mn}^\alpha (x, y)$ and the transmission matrices $\Theta_{2}^n$ and $\Theta_{x}^{j+1,j}$ are used in the algorithm.

4. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, the behavior of the Green’s function components $G_{xxA1}^{(11)} (r, r')$ and $G_{xxA1}^{(11)} (r, r')$ is investigated numerically for a two-layered, terminated rectangular waveguide with a point source arbitrarily located in region $V_1$, as shown in Fig. 4. One-dimensional characteristic Green’s functions for this waveguide, derived using the procedure presented in Section 3, are given in Appendix B. Using equation (5) and the expressions of Appendix B, one can obtain the characteristic Green’s functions for the DGFs of the electric type, published in [14]. Consequently, we were able to verify the accuracy of our new numerical code by using it to obtain the same results as we previously obtained in [14–16].

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** Two-layered, terminated rectangular waveguide with an arbitrarily oriented point source located in the region $V_1$.

The results are obtained at the frequency of 10 GHz for the following geometrical and material parameters: $a = 46$ mm, $b = 30.5$ mm, $\tau = 0.7874$ mm, $\varepsilon_1 = 1$, and $\varepsilon_2 = 2.2$, with a point source located...
at \((x', y', z') = (0.5a, 0.5b, 0)\). Observation points are first taken along the diagonal line \(y = xb/a\) at the dielectric interface of regions \(V_1\) and \(V_2\) at \(z = 0\). In the double infinite series expansion (9) for the Green’s function components \(G^{(11)}_{\alpha\beta, A_1}\), each of the indexes \(m\) and \(n\) ranges from 0 to \(\infty\). However, in a practical simulation, we truncated this representation at a maximum value of \(m\) and \(n\) denoted by \(M\).

Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) show the behavior of the real part of the Green’s function component \(G^{(11)}_{xx, A_1}(r, r')\) versus truncation variable \(M\) for different observation point positions. Particularly, the observation point \((x, y, z)\) in Fig. 5(a) varies from the point \((0.35a, 0.35b, 0)\) to the point \((0.46a, 0.46b, 0)\), while in Fig. 5(b) it varies further toward the source point, starting at the position \((0.48a, 0.48b, 0)\) and ending at the position \((0.497a, 0.497b, 0)\). Note that the y-axis values in Fig. 5(b) are more than an order of magnitude larger than those in Fig. 5(a). As can be seen from these figures, as the observation point approaches the source point, the convergence of the Green’s function component becomes slower. Specifically, we observe an oscillatory behavior of the DGF component with the oscillations becoming slower in the source region, requiring a larger value of \(M\) for an accurate approximation. Similarly, the behavior of the real part of the Green’s function component \(G^{(11)}_{zx, A_1}(r, r')\) versus \(M\) is shown in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b), for the same set of observation point positions. As can be seen, the component \(G^{(11)}_{zx, A_1}(r, r')\) shows a similar convergence behavior, except that the curves in the Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) are more corrugated than those depicted in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b).

Finally, observation points are taken along the line \((x, y) = (0.475a, 0.475b)\) and convergence of the real parts of the Green’s function components \(G^{(11)}_{xx, A_1}(r, r')\) and \(G^{(11)}_{zx, A_1}(r, r')\) is shown in Figs. 7 and 8, respectively, for different \(z\)-coordinate values. Again, it can be seen, that convergence of the Green’s function components becomes slower as the observation point approaches into the source region at \(z = 0\). Specifically, less than \(M^2 = 100^2\) terms is needed for an accurate approximation of the DGF components in the expansion (9) for \(z \leq -0.5\tau\) (see the curves for \(z = -4\tau, -3\tau, -2\tau, -1\tau, -0.5\tau\) in Figs. 7 and 8). However, the corresponding value of the variable \(M\) increases drastically as the observation point approaches further towards the source point (see the curves for \(z = -0.25\tau, 0\) in Figs. 7 and 8).
Figure 5. Convergence of the DGF component, $G_{xx,A1}^{(11)}$, for two-layered, terminated rectangular waveguide for various positions of the observation point $(x, y)$ at dielectric interface ($z = 0$).
Figure 6. Convergence of the DGF component, $G^{(11)}_{xx,A1}$, for two-layered, terminated rectangular waveguide for various positions of the observation point $(x, y)$ at dielectric interface ($z = 0$).
Figure 7. Convergence of the DGF component, $G_{xx,1}^{(11)}$, for two-layered, terminated rectangular waveguide for various positions of the observation point along the waveguide.

Figure 8. Convergence of the DGF component, $G_{xx,1}^{(11)}$, for two-layered, terminated rectangular waveguide for various positions of the observation point along the waveguide.
5. CONCLUSION

A full-wave integral equation formulation and magnetic potential Green’s dyadics for a multilayered rectangular waveguide are presented for modeling printed antenna arrays used in waveguide-based spatial power combiners. The Green’s functions are derived in the form of a partial eigenfunction expansion as a double series over the complete system of eigenfunctions of the transverse Laplacian operator. The three-dimensional problem for DGF components is reduced to a one-dimensional Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem for the characteristic Green’s functions in the waveguiding direction. These functions are then obtained in the closed form as a superposition of primary and scattered parts. The amplitude coefficients of forward and backward traveling waves in the scattered Green’s function in different dielectric layers are obtained as a product of transmission matrices of corresponding layers. Numerical results are shown for the convergence of the Green’s function components for a two-layered, terminated rectangular waveguide with an arbitrarily oriented point source.

APPENDIX A. MAGNETIC POTENTIAL GREEN’S DYADICS FOR A SEMI-INFINITE MULTILAYERED RECTANGULAR WAVEGUIDE USED IN THE MAGNETIC-FIELD INTEGRAL EQUATION FORMULATION

The magnetic potential DGF for a semi-infinite multilayered rectangular waveguide shown in Fig. 3 satisfies the system of dyadic differential equations in dielectric regions $V_i$ (for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$),

$$\nabla^2 G_{A2}^{(in)}(r, r') + k_i^2 G_{A2}^{(in)}(r, r') = -\delta_{i\in I}\delta(r - r'), \quad r \in V_i, \quad (A1)$$

subject to boundary conditions of the second kind on the waveguide surface $S_M$ and surface of the ground plane $S_G$,

$$\hat{n} \times \nabla \times G_{A2}^{(in)}(r, r') = 0, \quad r \in S_M \cup S_G$$

$\hat{n} \cdot \left( I + \frac{1}{k_i^2} \nabla \nabla \right) \cdot G_{A2}^{(in)}(r, r') = 0, \quad r \in S_M \cup S_G \quad (A2)$
and mixed continuity conditions of the third kind across the dielectric interfaces $S_q$ (for $q = 1, 2, ..., n - 1$),

\[
\hat{z} \times \mathbf{g}_{A2}^{(qn)}(r, r') = \hat{z} \times \mathbf{g}_{A2}^{(q+1n)}(r, r'), \quad r \in S_q
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\varepsilon_q} \hat{z} \cdot \mathbf{g}_{A2}^{(qn)}(r, r') = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{q+1}} \hat{z} \cdot \mathbf{g}_{A2}^{(q+1n)}(r, r'), \quad r \in S_q
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\varepsilon_q} \hat{z} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{g}_{A2}^{(qn)}(r, r') = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{q+1}} \hat{z} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{g}_{A2}^{(q+1n)}(r, r'), \quad r \in S_q
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\varepsilon_q} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}_{A2}^{(qn)}(r, r') = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{q+1}} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}_{A2}^{(q+1n)}(r, r'), \quad r \in S_q.
\]

(A3)

APPENDIX B. CHARACTERISTIC GREEN’S FUNCTIONS FOR A TWO-LAYERED, TERMINATED RECTANGULAR WAVEGUIDE

For the special case of a two-layered, terminated rectangular waveguide with a point source arbitrarily located in the first dielectric layer (region $V_1$ in Fig. 4), one-dimensional characteristic Green’s functions are obtained as follows,

\[
f^{(11)}_{mn,xx}(z, z') = f^{(11)}_{mn,yy}(z, z') = e^{-\gamma_1 |z - z'|} + e^{\gamma_1 (z + z')} \left( \frac{1}{Z_{Te}} - \frac{1}{2 \gamma_1} \right), \quad (B1)
\]

\[
f^{(11)}_{mn,zx}(z, z') = e^{\gamma_1 (z + z')} k_x \frac{\varepsilon_2 - 1}{\varepsilon_1 Z_{Te} Z_{TM}}, \quad (B2)
\]

\[
f^{(11)}_{mn,zx}(z, z') = e^{-\gamma_1 |z - z'|} k_x \frac{\varepsilon_2 - 1}{\varepsilon_1 Z_{Te} Z_{TM}}, \quad (B3)
\]

\[
f^{(11)}_{mn,yy}(z, z') = e^{-\gamma_1 |z - z'|} + e^{\gamma_1 (z + z')} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1 Z_{TM}} - \frac{1}{2 \gamma_1} \right), \quad (B4)
\]

\[
f^{(21)}_{mn,xx}(z, z') = f^{(21)}_{mn,yy}(z, z') = e^{\gamma_1 z'} \sinh (\gamma_2 (\tau - z)) \frac{Z_{Te}}{Z_{TM} \sinh (\gamma_2 \tau)}, \quad (B5)
\]

\[
f^{(21)}_{mn,xx}(z, z') = e^{\gamma_1 z'} \frac{\varepsilon_2 - 1}{\varepsilon_1 Z_{Te} Z_{TM}} \cosh (\gamma_2 (\tau - z)), \quad (B6)
\]
Magnetic potential Green’s dyads of multilayered waveguide

\[ f_{\text{mn,zy}}^{(21)} (z, z') = e^{\gamma_1 z'} \frac{k_y \left( \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1} - 1 \right) \cosh (\gamma_2 (\tau - z))}{Z_{\text{TE}} Z_{\text{TM}} \cosh (\gamma_2 \tau)}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (B7)

\[ f_{\text{mn,zz}}^{(21)} (z, z') = e^{\gamma_1 z'} \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1} \cosh (\gamma_2 (\tau - z)) \frac{Z_{\text{TM}}}{Z_{\text{TE}} \cosh (\gamma_2 \tau)}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (B8)

where \( Z_{\text{TE}} \) and \( Z_{\text{TM}} \) represent the characteristic dispersion functions of TE odd and TM even modes of a grounded dielectric slab of thickness \( \tau \) bounded with the electric walls at \( x = 0, a \) and \( y = 0, b \),

\[ Z_{\text{TE}} = \gamma_{\text{mn}}^{(1)} + \gamma_{\text{mn}}^{(2)} \coth \gamma_{\text{mn}}^{(2)} \tau, \]

\[ Z_{\text{TM}} = \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1} \gamma_{\text{mn}}^{(1)} + \gamma_{\text{mn}}^{(2)} \tanh \gamma_{\text{mn}}^{(2)} \tau. \]
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