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Abstract—Existence of symmetric complex waves in a dielectric rod (DR) — a dielectric waveguide of circular cross section — is proved by analyzing functional properties of the dispersion equations (DEs) using the theory of functions of several complex variables and validating the existence of complex roots of DE. A closed-form iteration procedure for calculating the roots in the complex domain supplied with efficient choice of initial approximation is proposed. Numerical modeling is performed with the help of a parameter-differentiation method applied to the analytical and numerical solution of DEs.

1. INTRODUCTION

A dielectric waveguide of circular cross section, a dielectric rod (DR), is a basic structure in the broad family of open waveguides. Many works are devoted to the analysis of complex waves in open dielectric waveguides; one may refer in this respect to [1–3] to mention a few, and to other monographs on dielectric waveguides and textbooks on electromagnetics. Elements of the mathematical theory of complex waves can be found, e.g., in [4–6]. However, rigorous mathematical proof of the existence of complex waves in a DR remains, to the best of our knowledge, one of fundamental unsolved problems of the theory of electromagnetic wave propagation in open waveguides. This statement may be indirectly validated by considering, e.g., recent publication [7] that contains a comprehensive reference list on this topic. Typical approaches developed by many authors searching for complex waves are reduced to numerical solution in the complex domain of dispersion equations (DEs) associated with symmetric waves in a DR (in many cases using commercial solvers) or incorrect replacement of the initial DEs by simplified equations employing various approximations or asymptotic expansions (see, e.g., [8] and [9]). Such numerical-analytical investigations are not supported by mathematically correct proofs of the existence and analysis of distribution of the complex wave spectra.

Let us briefly analyze in this respect the approach [9] employing the Davidenko’s method. In [9] the authors consider a DE for the DR complex waves without specifying the domain of the functions entering the DE (and analysis of all their singularities and branch points) and constructing analytical continuation of these multi-valued functions to the complex domain of the chosen spectral parameter (longitudinal wavenumber). The latter is necessary in order to use the Davidenko’s method because in this method the analyticity of the functions involved in the DE is applied and directly the Cauchy-Riemann conditions. Next, the problem is reduced to an ordinary differential equation (without validation of the equivalence of such a transition) where the initial conditions are not set which does not allow one to proceed with its solution. Any independent proofs of the existence of the DE roots are absent, as well as a description of the domain in the complex plane where the roots are situated. This circumstances complicate efficient computations and make doubtful the obtained numerical results.
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Such a situation may be explained by the absence of solid mathematical background which did not allow for completing rigorous proof of the existence of complex waves.

The aim of this study is to fill these gaps in the theory, at least partially, and complement the conventional methods of analysis of complex waves with the missing proofs and mathematical validation of the numerical procedures.

Determination of complex waves requires
(i) correct mathematical statements of the corresponding eigenvalue problems supplied with the conditions at infinity that allows for analysis and correct classification of complex waves;
(ii) obtaining functional equations for eigenvalues (DEs), proofs of the existence of complex zeros of the functions involved in DEs, and finding complex zeros of these DEs specified in terms of functions of several complex variables.

Such comprehensive studies have never been finalized as far as rigorous proof of the existence and correct determination of complex waves in a DR are concerned. This circumstance has become a driving force for us to complete the proofs and make the corresponding mathematical methods available and open for scientific community.

It should be noted that in [10] and [11], the methods developed in [12] have been applied, and the existence has been proved as a countable spectrum of running waves in a broad family of inhomogenously filled shielded waveguides which contains infinitely many complex points. This recent finding provides a solid base for the conjecture that open dielectric waveguides also have complex waves, which is rigorously demonstrated in this study.

In this work, we prove the existence of symmetric complex waves in a dielectric waveguide of circular cross section, a DR, by developing the mathematical methods set forth in [13]. We investigate the distribution of complex roots of the DEs that describe symmetric complex waves in a DR using the results of [13] and [14] and the discovered similarity between complex-valued functions entering the DEs. An iteration procedure for calculating zeros in the complex domain is developed in a closed form. An efficient choice of initial approximations in this procedure is governed by a theoretical description of localization of the DE roots.

As a result of the analysis, we identify ‘surface’ and ‘pure’ complex waves in a DR. The former is a regular perturbation of standard real surface waves [15, 16] with real longitudinal wavenumbers, whereas the latter, being a phenomenon inherent to a DR, is not connected with any real surface wave. The existence of ‘surface’ complex waves in a Goubau line is proved in [17].

Rigorous analysis of the existence and distribution of complex roots of DEs has required deep mathematical investigations of the properties of multi-parameter functions involved in DEs as functions (mappings) of several complex variables that may lead to the occurrence of complex zeros. A basic question on the first step of this analysis is to identify and correctly describe complex zeros considered with respect to the problem parameters as multidimensional hyper-surfaces in appropriate spaces of variables. Complexity of this task is explained by the fact that the sought-for quantities have singularities that generally form singular hyper-surfaces in parameter spaces.

2. STATEMENTS

Consider the propagation of symmetric eigenwaves, described in terms of nontrivial solutions to homogeneous Maxwell’s equations, in a DR with a radius \( a \). Longitudinal wavenumber \( k_s \) of the symmetric waves is determined as

\[
k_s^2 = \begin{cases} 
    k_0^2 - \beta^2, & r > a, \\
    \epsilon k_0^2 - \beta^2, & r < a
\end{cases}
\]

Here, \( \beta \) is the wave propagation constant (spectral parameter), \( \epsilon \) is the permittivity of the homogeneous dielectric, and \( k_0 \) is the free-space wavenumber. The symmetric (azimuthally-independent) waves have the nonzero components

\[
E_1 = \frac{i\beta}{k_s} \frac{d\phi}{dr} e^{-i\beta z}, \quad E_3 = \phi(r) e^{-i\beta z}, \quad H_2 = -\frac{i\epsilon \omega}{k_s^2} \frac{d\phi}{dr} e^{-i\beta z},
\]

(1)

(2)
where \( \phi \) is a cylindrical function satisfying the Bessel equation:

\[
\mathcal{L}\phi = \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{d\phi}{dr} \right) + k_s^2 \phi = 0. \tag{3}
\]

Determination of symmetric waves in a DR can be reduced to the following singular Sturm-Liouville boundary eigenvalue problems on the half-line with a discontinuous (piecewise constant) coefficient in the differential equation:

\[
\mathcal{L}\phi = 0, \quad r > 0, \quad \left[ \phi \right]_{r=a} = \left[ \frac{\epsilon}{k_s^2} \frac{d\phi}{dr} \right]_{r=a} = 0, \tag{4}
\]

\[
\phi \in C^1[0, +\infty) \cap C^2(0, a) \cap C^2(a, +\infty). \tag{5}
\]

Problem in Eqs. (4), (5) must be complemented with the conditions at infinity which are considered below.

Supplying Eq. (4) with the conditions at infinity

\[
\phi(r) \to 0, \quad r \to \infty \tag{6}
\]

gives rise to Sturm-Liouville boundary eigenvalue problems on the half-line describing symmetric surface waves in DR. The surface waves are described in terms of real-valued quantities; in particular, the boundary operators in Eq. (4) are defined (as real-valued functions of a real variable \( \gamma \) or \( \lambda = \gamma^2 \)) on a certain interval \( I \) specified below. In [14] it is proved that the spectrum of surface waves may be empty, or they may consist of several (real) points located on this interval.

It should be noted that the results of the classical Sturm-Liouville theory concerning existence and distribution of the (real) spectrum are not applicable here. The corresponding proofs in [13] and [14] employ reduction of the boundary eigenvalue problem in Eq. (4) with condition of Eq. (6) to DEs and their analysis using parameter differentiation and the method of Cauchy problem.

Make the following designations:

\[
\gamma = \frac{\beta}{k_0}, \quad \kappa = k_0a, \quad x = \kappa \sqrt{\epsilon - \gamma^2}, \quad u = \kappa \sqrt{\epsilon - 1}, \quad w = \sqrt{u^2 - x^2} = \kappa \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}. \tag{7}
\]

For surface waves, potential function \( \phi(r) \) can be represented, according to the conditions at infinity in Eq. (6), as

\[
\phi(r) = \begin{cases} 
AK_0 \left( \frac{rw}{2} \right), & r > a, \\
BJ_0 \left( \frac{tx}{2} \right), & r < a.
\end{cases} \tag{8}
\]

Here, \( J_k(x) \), \( Y_k(x) \), \( K_0(x) \), and \( K_1(x) \) denote, respectively, the Bessel and Neumann functions of the order \( k = 0, 1 \) and the McDonald functions.

The form of the solution in Eq. (8) at \( r > a \) is governed by an asymptotic representation at large \( |z| \)

\[
K_0(z) = C_{K_0} \frac{e^{-z}}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} \left[ 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \right]. \tag{9}
\]

which provides the fulfillment of condition at infinity of Eq. (6).

To investigate complex symmetric waves complement in Eq. (4) with a condition at infinity which is to a certain extent more general than Eq. (6); namely, make use of a relation between the Hankel and Macdonald functions

\[
K_n(z) = \frac{\pi}{2} z^{n+1} H_n^{(1)}(iz), \quad n = 0, 1, \tag{10}
\]

and a simpler version of the Reichardt-Sveshnikov condition [12] and assume that

\[
\phi(r) = AH_0^{(1)}(r\tilde{w}), \quad r > a, \quad \tilde{w} = i \frac{a}{\sqrt{u^2 - x^2} = k_0 \sqrt{1 - \gamma^2}}. \tag{11}
\]
which is to be added to statement in Eqs. (4), (5) so that representations of Eq. (8) are preserved. Here \( \gamma \) may be complex quantity, and \( \tilde{w} \) is the transverse wavenumber of the medium outside DR (vacuum).

The resulting boundary value problem in Eqs. (4), (5), (11) constitutes a nonselfadjoint eigenvalue problem w.r.t. spectral parameter \( \gamma \). Their eigenvalues, real or complex, specify symmetric waves in Eqs. (1), (2) having normalized propagation constant (longitudinal wavenumber) \( \gamma \); these waves are called, respectively, real or complex.

3. DISPERSION EQUATION

By applying to Eq. (8) the conditions of continuity of \( \phi \) and its derivative at the point where the coefficient is discontinuous, we obtain the desired DE in the form [14]

\[
\frac{x J_0(x)}{J_1(x)} = \epsilon \sqrt{u^2 - x^2} \frac{H_0^{(1)}(i \sqrt{u^2 - x^2})}{H_1^{(1)}(i \sqrt{u^2 - x^2})},
\]

(12)

which will be considered in what follows w.r.t. new variable \( x \) (instead of \( \beta \)).

Rewrite Eq. (12) as

\[
G_d(x, u) = F_d(x),
\]

(13)

or

\[
F_D(x, u) = 0, \quad F_D(x, u) \equiv G_d(x, u) - F_d(x),
\]

(14)

where

\[
G_d(x, u) = -\epsilon w \frac{K_0(w)}{K_1(w)},
\]

(15)

\[
F_d(x) = x \cotj(x), \quad \cotj(x) := \frac{J_0(x)}{J_1(x)}.
\]

(16)

One may call function \( \cotj(x) \) the cylindrical cotangent, because it exhibits all basic properties of the trigonometric cotangent; namely, \( \cotj(x) \) takes all real values on every interval \((\nu_m^1, \nu_m^0)\), \( \cotj(\nu_m^0) = 0 \), where \( \nu_m^0, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), are the \( m \)th zeros of Bessel functions \( J_n(x) \) \( (n = 0, 1) \).

The existence of real symmetric surface waves is proved [14] by considering Equations (13) and (14) w.r.t. the (real) independent variable

\[
x = \sqrt{\kappa^2 (\epsilon - 1) - u^2} = \kappa \sqrt{\epsilon - \gamma^2}, \quad x \in (0, \kappa \sqrt{\epsilon - 1})
\]

where \( \epsilon \geq 1 \). If \( x^* \in (0, \kappa \sqrt{\epsilon - 1}) \) is a root of Eq. (14), then

\[
\gamma^* = \sqrt{\epsilon - \left( \frac{x^*}{\kappa} \right)^2} \in (1, \sqrt{\epsilon})
\]

(17)

is the propagation constants of a real symmetric surface wave.

To prove the existence of roots of Equation (14), it is reasonable, following [14], to consider \( x = x(u) \) as an implicit function of the parameter \( u > 0 \) defined by Equation (14) and prove then that this function exists for all \( u > u^* \), where \( u^* > \nu_1^0 \). Namely, using the properties of the cylindrical cotangent and function \( G_d(x, u) \), we can prove, in line with the results obtained in [14], that if

\[
u_1^0 \]

(18)

then there exists a root

\[
x = x^* \in (\nu_1^0, \nu_1^1)
\]

(19)

of DE (14); if

\[
u_1^0 \]

(20)

then Eq. (14) has only one root. Fig. 1 illustrates the rigorous proof in the case of Eq. (20) completed in [14].

Thus we arrive at the known result: there are at most finitely many symmetric surface waves propagating in a lossless \((3\epsilon = 0, \Re \epsilon > 1)\) DR and their normalized propagation constants \( \gamma \in I_0 = (1, \sqrt{\epsilon}) \). Reference values of the first real root of the DE in Eq. (14) are listed in Appendix B.
4. EXISTENCE OF COMPLEX WAVES

To investigate complex waves, consider the DE for surface waves in DR w.r.t. the complex variable 
\[ z = \kappa \sqrt{\epsilon - \gamma^2}, \] where \( \gamma \) is a complex quantity, in the form 
\[ \tilde{F}_D(z, u) = 0, \quad \tilde{F}_D(z, u) \equiv \tilde{G}_d(z, u) - \tilde{F}_d(z), \quad (21) \]

where
\[ \tilde{G}_d(z, u) = -\frac{1}{\epsilon w} K(w), \quad K(w) = \frac{K_1(w)}{K_0(w)} \]
\[ w = \sqrt{u^2 - z^2}, \quad (22) \]
\[ \tilde{F}_d(z) = \frac{1}{z} T(z), \quad T(z) = \tan j(z), \quad \tan j(z) := \frac{J_1(z)}{J_0(z)}. \quad (23) \]
\[ \tan j(z) \] is a meromorphic function of \( z \) with a set of real poles that are zeros of the Bessel function \( J_0(z) \), and \( \tilde{G}_d(z, u) \) is an analytical function in a band \( B(u) : \{ \Re z > u, 0 < \Im z < U_0 \} \); the value of \( U_0 \) is determined by the location of the zeros of Macdonald (and Hankel) function \( K_0(\zeta) \) situated closest to the real axis \( \Im \zeta = 0 \).

4.1. Necessary Asymptotic Estimates

The following asymptotic estimates [18] hold for the Hankel functions at large values of \( |z| \)
\[ H_n^{(1)}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{iz - \frac{z^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{z}} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \frac{a_k(n)}{z^k} + R_l^n(z) \right], \quad (24) \]
\[ |R_l^n(z)| \leq 2 \frac{|a_l(n)|}{|z|^{l+\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (25) \]
Using relation in Eq. (10) between the Hankel and Macdonald functions and formulas (15) and (24)–(27) we can obtain the estimates at large values of $|z|$ for function $\tilde{G}_d(z)$:

$$\left| \tilde{G}_d(z) \right| = \frac{1}{|z|} \left| C_d \left( \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{w^2}{2|z|^2} + O \left( \frac{1}{|z|^4} \right) \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{|z|} \left| C_d \right| \left| \frac{1}{|z|} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \frac{a_k(1)}{w^k} + R_l^1(w) \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{|z|} \left| C_d \right|. \quad (28)$$

Applying similar estimates at infinity for the Bessel functions $J_0(z)$ and $J_1(z)$ it is easy to check (taking into account that these functions have the same leading term $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}}$ in their asymptotic expansions at infinity) that the cylindrical tangent $\tan_j(z) = \frac{J_1(z)}{J_0(z)}$ admits the uniform estimate

$$|\tan_j(z)| \leq C_{\tan_j}, \quad \forall z = y_0 > 0, \quad |z| \geq x_0 > 0,$$

with a certain constant $C_{\tan_j}$.

Introduce the functions

$$g(z) = \tilde{F}_D(z) = g_1(z) + g_2(z),$$

$$g_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} \tan_j(z) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{J_1(z)}{J_0(z)}, \quad g_2(z) = \frac{1}{\epsilon w} \frac{K_1(w)}{K_0(w)}, \quad w = \sqrt{u^2 - z^2}. \quad (30)$$

In view of the power series expansions of the analytical functions $J_n(z)$, $n = 0, 1$, in the vicinity of zero, it is easy to check that

$$\lim_{z \to 0} g_1(z) = \frac{1}{2} \quad (31)$$

and

$$|g_1(z)| \leq S_{g_1} < 1, \quad |z| \leq z_0 < \nu_1^0 = 2.4048, \quad (32)$$

where, in line with Eq. (7), $z = \kappa \sqrt{\epsilon - \gamma^2}$, and there is an $\hat{a}_S$ such that

$$|\tan_j(z)| \leq \delta_{g_1} \quad \text{if} \quad \kappa < \hat{a}_S$$

for any (arbitrarily small) $\delta_{g_1} > 0$.

For the modified Bessel functions, in view of their power series expansions in the vicinity of zero, we have asymptotic representations

$$K_0(z) = -\ln \frac{z}{2} + O(1), \quad K_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{z}{2} \ln \frac{z}{2} + O(z). \quad (33)$$

Next

$$g_2(w) = \frac{1}{\epsilon w} \frac{K_1(w)}{K_0(w)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon w} \left( \frac{1}{w} + \frac{w}{2} \ln \frac{w}{2} + O(1) \right),$$

so that $g_2(w)$ is unbounded in the vicinity of zero; namely, according to Eq. (7), $w = \sqrt{u^2 - x^2} = \kappa \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}$, and there is an $\hat{a}_L$ such that

$$|g_2(w)| > L_{g_2} \quad \text{if} \quad \kappa < \hat{a}_L \quad (34)$$

for any (arbitrarily large) $L_{g_2} > 0$.

We will use these estimates below to prove the existence and describe the distribution of complex zeros of the functions entering the DE for symmetric waves of DR.
4.2. Existence and Distribution of Complex Roots of DE

We prove existence and distribution of complex roots of the DE associated with symmetric complex waves in a DR using an approach developed in [13] and [14]. The possibility of applying this technique is based on the discovered similarity between complex-valued functions entering the DEs.

4.2.1. DE Analysis in the Complex Domain

To perform correct mathematical analysis of the existence and distribution of complex and real roots of the DE

\[ g(z) = 0, \quad g(z) = \tilde{F}_D(z) = g_1(z) + g_2(z), \]  (35)

where function \( g(z) \) is given by (30), which is equivalent to DEs in Eqs. (14) and (21) for, respectively, real and complex surface waves (see Appendix A), consider the functions of complex variables entering DE in Eq. (35) w.r.t. all the three problem parameters,

\[ g = \tilde{g}(z, \bar{d}), \quad \bar{d} = (\kappa, \epsilon', \epsilon''), \quad \epsilon' = \Re \epsilon, \epsilon'' = \Im \epsilon, \]  (36)

or

\[ g = \hat{g}(\gamma, \bar{d}). \]  (38)

In the latter case \( \hat{g} = \hat{g}(\gamma) \) is considered, for every fixed parameter vector \( \bar{d} \), following the statement of the corresponding Sturm-Liouville problem in Eqs. (4), (11), at \( \gamma \in \Lambda \), where \( \Lambda \) is the multi-sheet Riemann surface of the function \( f(\gamma) = \ln \sqrt{1 - \gamma^2} \).

The domain of \( \hat{g}(\gamma) \) is \( \Lambda \) excluding the sets of singular points

\[ \Gamma^0: \left\{ \gamma = \gamma_m(\kappa, \epsilon) = \sqrt{\epsilon - \left(\frac{\nu_m^0}{\kappa}\right)^2}, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots \right\} \]  (39)

where \( \nu_m^0, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) are zeros of the Bessel function \( J_0(x) \) (singularities of cylindrical tangent \( \tan j(z) \)), more precisely, simple real poles of its analytical continuation, a meromorphic function \( \tan j(z) \), and

\[ \Gamma^H: \left\{ \gamma = \gamma_k(\kappa, \epsilon) = \sqrt{\epsilon + \left(\frac{\zeta_k^0}{\kappa}\right)^2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots \right\} \]  (40)

where \( \zeta_k^0, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) are zeros of the Hankel function. Note that \( \Gamma^0 \) and \( \Gamma^H \) are actually well-defined sets of three-dimensional hyper-surfaces if to consider them w.r.t. three real parameters \( \kappa, \epsilon', \epsilon'' \).

Figure 2 exemplifies the parameter dependence showing, at \( \Re \epsilon = 10 \), ‘level curves’ of the first three hyper-surfaces \( \gamma_m(\kappa, \epsilon', \epsilon'') = \sqrt{\epsilon - \left(\frac{\nu_m^0}{\kappa}\right)^2}, m = 1, 2, 3 \), belonging to \( \Gamma^0 \); the curves \( \gamma_m(1, \epsilon) = \gamma_m(t) = \sqrt{\epsilon(t) - \left(\frac{\nu_m^0}{\kappa}\right)^2}, m = 1, 2, 3 \), are parametrized by \( t = \Im \epsilon \in [0, 25] \). We see that at

\[ \Re \epsilon > p^2, \quad p = \frac{\nu_m^0}{\kappa}, \]  (41)

the initial point \( (\Re \gamma(1, 10, 0), \Im \gamma(1, 10, 0)) = (\Re \gamma(1, 10, 0), 0) \) of the curve \( \gamma(1, \epsilon) = \gamma(1, t) = \sqrt{\epsilon(t) - p^2} \),

where \( \gamma(1, 10, 0) = \gamma(0) = \sqrt{10 - p^2} \) is on the real axis, and at

\[ \Re \epsilon < p^2, \]  (42)

on the imaginary axis of the complex \( \gamma \)-plane (see Fig. 2).

The same conditions

\[ \Re \epsilon > \Re p^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \Re \epsilon < \Re p^2 \]  (43)

providing that \( \gamma = \sqrt{\epsilon - p^2} \) ‘starts’, respectively, from the real or imaginary axis at \( \Im \epsilon = 0 \) hold if \( p = x + iy \) is a complex quantity.
4.2.2. Surface Complex Waves

'Surface complex waves' have complex propagation constants $\gamma_m = \gamma_m(t)$ (complex eigenvalues of BVP in Eqs. (4), (5), (11)) that constitute regular perturbation w.r.t. the parameter $t = \epsilon' = \Im \epsilon$ of the propagation constants of real surface waves. The latter has real propagation constants $\gamma_{m,0} = \gamma(\epsilon'' = 0)$, where $m = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, $\gamma_{m,0}$ is determined as real roots of DE in Eq. (13), and their quantity $N$ using Eq. (18) from the condition

$$u = \kappa \sqrt{\epsilon' - 1} > \nu_N^0.$$  \hfill (44)

The existence of 'surface complex waves' is proved following [17] by the reduction to the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\gamma_m}{dt} = \tilde{\Phi}_m^D(\gamma_m, t), & \tilde{\Phi}_m^D(\gamma_m, t) = -\frac{\partial \tilde{F}_D(\gamma_m, t)}{\partial \gamma_m}, \\ \gamma_m(0) = \gamma_{m,0}, & \end{cases}$$  \hfill (45)

where $\gamma_{m,0} \in (1, \sqrt{\epsilon})$ is any solution of Equation (13) at $\Im \epsilon = 0$. The Cauchy problem in Equation (45) is uniquely solvable under the condition

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{F}_D(\gamma_{m,0}, 0)}{\partial \gamma_m} \neq 0,$$  \hfill (46)

which is fulfilled because $\gamma_{m,0}$ is a simple zero of $\tilde{F}_D(\gamma, 0)$ and has a (locally unique) continuous solution $\gamma_m = \gamma_m(t), t \in (0, T)$, satisfying the initial condition $\gamma_m(0) = \gamma_{m,0}, m = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Sum up the results concerning the existence of 'surface complex waves': let $\epsilon(t) = \epsilon' + it, t \geq 0$; then under modified condition of Eq. (44)

$$u' = \kappa \sqrt{\epsilon' - 1} > \nu_N^0, \quad N = 1, 2, \ldots, \quad \epsilon' > 1,$$  \hfill (47)

there are $N$ symmetric surface real ($t = 0$) or complex ($t > 0$) waves in a DR; propagation constants $\gamma(t)$ of surface complex waves are regular perturbation at $t > 0$ of the propagation constants $\gamma(0)$ of
Figure 3. Imaginary (black) part of the first zero $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(\kappa)$ of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $\gamma$-plane at $\Re\epsilon = 20, 28$ and $\Im\epsilon = 3$ as $\kappa$ increases from 0.65 to 10 and $\Im\gamma_1(\kappa)$ merges with $\Im\sqrt{\epsilon}$ (red lines).

Figure 4. The first complex zero $\gamma_1(3\epsilon)$ of $\tilde{F}_D$ (corresponding to a principal surface complex wave) on the complex $\gamma$-plane at $\kappa = 1$ and $\epsilon = 17$ ($u' = \kappa\sqrt{\epsilon'} - 1 = 4$) as $3\epsilon$ decreases from 1 to 0; the values calculated in the complex domain at $3\epsilon = 0$ are $z_1 = 3.6650 + 0.00004i$ and $\gamma_1 = 1.8889 + 0.0002i$. 
real surface waves ‘starting’ from the real axis on the complex γ-plane at $t = 3\epsilon = 0$, as depicted in Figs. 4–6 in smaller scale and smaller parameter interval $t \in [0, 1]$ and in Figs. 7 and 8 in larger scale and larger parameter intervals $t \in [0, 7.34], [0, 17.04]$. Figures 9–11 illustrate local transformation vs $\kappa$ of the first zero $z_1 = z_1(\kappa)$ of $\tilde{F}_D$ and the corresponding $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(\kappa)$ of the principal complex surface wave on the complex $z$- or $\gamma$-planes. Figs. 12–14 exemplify regularity of complex surface waves considered vs $\kappa$ in relation to real surface waves: the behavior of the real and imaginary parts of complex waves is similar to their real counterparts. The curves in Figs. 12–14 resemble the known results obtained numerically by other authors (see, e.g., [7]).

The spectrum of (proper) surface complex waves is located in a band $0 \leq \Im \gamma < s_{\kappa, \epsilon}$ where $s_{\kappa, \epsilon} \leq s_0 < 1$ in a broad interval of variation of $t = 3\epsilon$, up to $t = 20$; for example, on Fig. 8, $s_0 < 0.25$. Next, the length of the curves $\gamma(t)$ (in other words, the degree of variation of $\gamma$ w.r.t. $t$) for surface complex waves is by an order of magnitude smaller than that for pure complex waves; this is clearly seen if to compare the curves on Figs. 4–8 with those on Figs. 18 and 23.

4.2.3. ‘Pure’ Complex Waves

Before to prove the existence of ‘pure’ complex waves formulate the conditions that provide the absence of zeros of the function $g(z)$ defined by Eq. (30).

Comparing Eqs. (34) and (32) we see that for any (complex) $\epsilon$ there is an $\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\alpha}(\epsilon)$ such that the equation

$$g(z) = g_1(z) + g_2(z) = 0$$

has no roots in a ball $B_0 : |z| < z_0$ if $\kappa < \hat{\alpha}$.

A similar condition can be formulated in terms of the parameter $u = \kappa \sqrt{\epsilon - 1}$: there is an $\hat{U} = \hat{U}(\kappa, \epsilon)$
**Figure 6.** The first complex zero $\gamma_1(\Im \epsilon)$ of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $\gamma$-plane at $\kappa = 1$ and $\Re \epsilon = 10$ as $\Im \epsilon$ increases from 0 to 7.34; the values calculated in the complex domain at $\Im \epsilon = 0$ and 7.34 are, respectively, $\gamma_1(0) = 1.0815 + 0.0000i$ and $\gamma_1(7.34) = 0.9879 + 0.1225i$.

**Figure 7.** Dynamics of the first complex zeros $\gamma_1(\Im \epsilon)$ of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $\gamma$-plane at $\kappa = 1$ and $\Re \epsilon = 8, 10, 13$ (left, central and right curves) as $\Im \epsilon$ increases from 0 to 7.34.
Figure 8. Dynamics of the first complex zeros $\gamma_1(\mathfrak{Re})$ of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $\gamma$-plane at $\kappa = 1$ and $\Re \epsilon = 8, 10, 13$ (left, central and right curves) as $\Im \epsilon$ increases from 0 to 17.04. The stars and small circles correspond, respectively, to the parameter values $\Im \epsilon = 0$ and 17.04.

Figure 9. The first zero $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(\kappa)$ of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $\gamma$-plane at $\Re \epsilon = 12$ and $\Im \epsilon = 3$ as $\gamma(\kappa)$ approaches the real axis when $\kappa$ decreases from 3.1055 to 0.6165; $\gamma(0.6165) = 0.960 + 0.005i$. 
Figure 10. The first zero $z_1 = z_1(\kappa)$ of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $z$-plane at $\Re \epsilon = 12$ and $\Im \epsilon = 3$ (red curve) as $\kappa$ decreases from 3.1055 to 0.6165 and $z_1(\kappa)$ merges with $u = u(\kappa) = \kappa \sqrt{\epsilon - 1}$ (blue line).

Figure 11. The first zeros $z_1 = z_1(\kappa)$ of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $z$-plane at $\Re \epsilon = 12, 13, 14$ (lower curve, blue) and $\Im \epsilon = 3$ as $\kappa$ decreases from 1.7 to 0.83 and $z_1(\kappa)$ merge with $u = u(\kappa) = \kappa \sqrt{\epsilon - 1}$ (black lines).
Figure 12. Real (blue) and imaginary (black) parts of the first zero $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(\kappa)$ of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $\gamma$-plane at $\Re \epsilon = 14$ and $\Im \epsilon = 3$ as $\kappa$ increases from 0.7 to 10 and $\Re \gamma_1(\kappa)$ and $\Im \gamma_1(\kappa)$ merge, respectively, with $\Re \sqrt{\epsilon} = 3.763$ and $\Im \sqrt{\epsilon} = 0.398$ (red lines).

Figure 13. Real part of the first three zeros $\gamma_m = \gamma_1(\kappa)$ $m = 1, 2, 3$, of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $\gamma$-plane at $\Re \epsilon = 24$ and $\Im \epsilon = 0.1$ as $\kappa$ increases from 1.9 to 10 and $\Re \gamma_1(\kappa)$ merges with $\Re \sqrt{\epsilon}$ (red line).
such that Equation (48) has no roots in a ball $B_0$

$$\text{if } |u| < \hat{U}.$$  

Prove first the existence of complex zeros of $g(z)$ outside a vicinity of the origin of the complex $z$-plane.

Function $g(z)$ does not vanish at zeros $\nu^0_m$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ of the Bessel function $J_0$; therefore these points may be excluded from the analysis.

We will prove the existence and analyze the distribution of complex zeros of function $g(z)$ using the well-known distribution of the set of (real) zeros of the Bessel functions $J_0(x)$ and $J_1(x)$ and the resulting properties of the cylindrical tangent $\tanj(z) = \frac{J_1(z)}{J_0(z)}$:

- $J_0(x)$ and $J_1(x)$ have each a countable sequence of positive simple zeros;
- the distance $|\nu^0_{m+1} - \nu^0_m|$ between two neighboring zeros tends to $\pi$ as $x \to \infty$;
- there are numbers $r_n > 0$ such that $r_n = \min_m |\nu^0_{m+1} - \nu^0_m|$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, $n = 0, 1$;
- zeros $\nu^1_m$ ($\nu^1_0 = 0$) and singularities $\nu^0_m$ of $\tanj = \frac{J_1(z)}{J_0(z)}$ alternate: $\nu^1_m \in (\nu^0_m, \nu^0_{m+1})$ and
  \[ \min_{k=m,m+1} |\nu^0_k - \nu^1_m| < 0.5r_0, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \]

Consequently, the distances between zeros and singularities of the ‘almost-periodic’ cylindrical tangent $\tanj(z)$ are not constant; however, these quantities are bounded from below (and from above).

Let $\Gamma_m = \{ z : |z - \nu^0_m| = r \}, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, r < 0.5r_0$. Inside every circle $\Gamma_m$ function $g_1(z)$ has exactly one zero of multiplicity one at the point $z_m = \nu^0_m$, $m > 0$. According to the condition $r < r_0$ the poles of $g_1(z)$ (at the points $\nu^0_m$, $m > 0$) are situated outside the circles. In view of the aforementioned properties of $\tanj$ we see that there is an $M_0 > 0$ such that

$$M_0 = \text{const} = \min_{z \in \Gamma_m, m=1,2,\ldots} |\tanj(z)|,$$

$$C_0M_0 \leq \min_{z \in \Gamma_m, m=1,2,\ldots} |g_1(z)|, \quad m \geq m_0 > 1.$$  

**Figure 14.** Imaginary part of the first three zeros $\gamma_m = \gamma_1(\kappa)$ $m = 1, 2, 3$, of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $\gamma$-plane at $\Re \epsilon = 24$ and $\Im \epsilon = 0.1$ as $\kappa$ increases from 1.9 to 10 and $3\gamma_1(\kappa)$ merges with $3\sqrt{\epsilon}$ (red line).
Here, function \( g_1(z) \) is continuous on \( \Gamma_m \); therefore the constant \( M_0 > 0 \) exists and does not depend on \( m \). For function \( g_2(z) \) estimate of Eq. (28) is valid

\[
\max_{z \in \Gamma_m} |g_2(z)| \leq \frac{1}{|\epsilon|} \frac{C_d}{|z|}.
\]

(53)

Now, we can prove the existence of ‘pure’ complex waves.

**Statement E.** Assume that the following conditions E are fulfilled:

if

\( \epsilon \) is fixed and \( \Im \epsilon \neq 0 \), there is an \( A_L \) such that

\[
\kappa > A_L,
\]

(54)

or

\( \kappa \) is fixed, there is an \( \epsilon(0) > 0 \) such that

\[
|\epsilon| \geq \epsilon(0) > 1, \quad \Im \epsilon \neq 0,
\]

(55)

or

there is an \( U(0) > 0 \) such that

\[
u = \kappa \sqrt{|\epsilon - 1|} \geq U(0).
\]

(56)

Then, function \( g(z) \) has exactly one (complex) zero of multiplicity one at a point \( z_m^* \) inside \( \Gamma_m \).

Note first that for a fixed \( u \), if \( \nu_1 \rightarrow 0 \) then \( \nu_2 \rightarrow 0 \) because \( |w| = |z|\sqrt{\frac{|\nu_1^2 - 1|}{|\nu_2^2 - 1|}} \); more precisely, if \( \frac{1}{|\nu_1|} < \delta \) then \( \frac{1}{|\nu_2|} < C\delta \) where \( C \) is a constant independent of \( z \) (in other words, \( w \) and \( z \) have the same asymptotic when \( |z| \rightarrow \infty \)). In view of this, inequalities of Eqs. (34), (52), and (53), and estimate of Eq. (29) all conditions of the Rouche’s theorem for

(a) the ball \( B_m = \{ z : |z - \nu_m^*| < r \} \) bounded by circle \( \Gamma_m \) and

(b) functions \( g_1(z), g_2(z), \) and \( g = g_1 + g_2 \) are fulfilled under condition (55):

\[
|g_1(z)| > |g_2(z)|, \quad z \in \Gamma_m.
\]

(57)

Next, \( g_1(z) \) has exactly one zero of multiplicity one at a point \( z_m = \nu_m^1 \in B_m \). Therefore, function \( g(z) \) also has exactly one (complex) zero of multiplicity one at a certain point \( z_m^* \) inside \( \Gamma_m \) (see Fig. 15 and the table of zeros \( z_{D,m}, m = 1, 2, \ldots, 5 \), of \( \hat{F}_D \) in Appendix B).

Figures 16 and 17 show position of the first complex zeros \( z_m^* = z_m^1(\Im \epsilon) \) of \( \hat{F}_D \) on the complex \( \gamma \)-plane (and Fig. 18 similar curves on the complex \( \gamma \)-plane) at \( \kappa = 1 \) and \( \Re \epsilon = 8, 10, 13 \) in relation to \( u = u(\Im \epsilon) = \kappa \sqrt{|\epsilon - 1|} \). We see that in a large interval of variation of \( \Im \epsilon \in [0, 7.34] \) the transverse wavenumbers of the first (principal) complex surface wave stay in close proximity (shown in Fig. 15) of the first zero \( \nu_1^1 = 3.8317 \) of \( J_1(x) \) and inside a band \( \nu_1^1 < \Re z < \nu_1^2 \), where \( \nu_1^2 = 2.4048 \) is the first zero of \( J_0(x) \) as demonstrated in Figs. 19 and 20. Fig. 18 demonstrates the same property on the complex \( \gamma \)-plane showing plots of the first three complex zeros \( \gamma_m(t) \) that virtually merge with the curves \( \gamma_m(t) = \gamma_m(t) = \sqrt{\epsilon(t) - \left( \frac{\nu_m^1}{\kappa} \right)^2} \) \( m = 1, 2, 3 \) calculated at \( \kappa = 1 \) and \( \epsilon(t) = 10 + ti, t \in (2, 5) \).

Under condition of Eq. (56), there are infinitely many zeros of \( g(z) \) in the right half-plane \( \Re z \geq 0 \), and the uniform estimate is valid

\[
g(z_k^*) = 0, \quad \left| z_k^* - z_k \right| \leq L_{\epsilon, \kappa}
\]

(58)

for a certain constant \( L_{\epsilon, \kappa} \), as illustrated by Figs. 15 and 16.

Figure 22 shows typical distributions of the first zeros of \( \hat{F}_D \) on the complex \( \gamma \)-plane at different values of \( \epsilon \). Fig. 24 demonstrates zeros of \( \hat{F}_D \) on the complex \( \gamma \)-plane vs \( \Re \epsilon \) at different values of \( \Im \epsilon \). Figs. 25 and 26 show the first zeros of \( \hat{F}_D \) on the complex \( \gamma \)-plane vs \( \kappa \) at different values of \( \epsilon \). Figs. 27–29 illustrate the behavior of zeros \( \gamma_m(\Im \epsilon) \) of \( \hat{F}_D \) on the complex \( \gamma \)-plane w.r.t. \( \Im \epsilon \) (decreasing or increasing) as these curves terminate at \( \Re \gamma = 0 \).

Sum up the results concerning the existence of ‘pure’ complex waves:
Figure 15. Position of the three complex zeros \( z_m^* = z_{D,m} \) of \( g(z) = \tilde{F}_D(z) \) located in the vicinities of the zeros \( z_m = \nu_m = 10.1735, 13.3237, 16.4706 \) \((m = 3, 4, 5)\) of \( \tan(z) = \frac{J_1(z)}{J_0(z)} \) (crosses) calculated by the Newton method in the complex domain at \( \kappa = 1 \) and \( \epsilon = 10 + 10i \) (red stars) and \( \epsilon = 10 + 6i \) (small circles above stars); small circles on the real line denote the poles \( \nu_m^0 = 8.6537, 11.7915, 14.9309 \) of \( \tan(z) \) alternating with its zeros; closed curves are circles \( \Gamma_m \) of the radius \( r < 0.5 \min_{k=2,3}(\nu_{k+1}^0 - \nu_k^0) \) centered at \( z_m, m = 3, 4, 5. \)

Figure 16. Position of the first complex zeros \( z_1(3\epsilon) \) of \( \tilde{F}_D \) on the complex \( z \)-plane in a circular vicinity (black circle) of the first zero \( \nu_1^1 = 3.8317 \) of \( J_1(x) \) (cross) at \( k_0a = 1 \) and \( \Re \epsilon = 8, 10, 13 \) (left, central and right curves) in relation to \( u = u(3\epsilon) = \kappa\sqrt{\epsilon - 1} \) (red curves) as \( 3\epsilon \) increases from 0 to 7.34. The first zero \( \nu_1^0 = 2.4048 \) of \( J_0(x) \) is marked by a small circle.
Figure 17. The magnitude of the first complex zeros $|z_1(\Im \epsilon)|$ of $\tilde{F}_D$ and $|u(\Im \epsilon)| = \kappa |\sqrt{\epsilon - 1}|$ (green curves) vs $\Im \epsilon$ at $\kappa = 1$ and $\Re \epsilon = 8, 10, 13$ (lower, middle, and upper curves). The first two zeros $\nu_1,2 = 2.4048, 5.5201$ of $J_0(x)$ and the first zero $\nu_1 = 3.8317$ of $J_1(x)$ are shown by red lines.

Figure 18. Plots of the first three complex zeros $\gamma_m(t)$ and the curves $\gamma_m(\kappa, \epsilon) = \gamma_m(t) = \sqrt{\epsilon(t) - \left(\frac{\nu_1}{\kappa}\right)^2}$ (red, $m = 1, 2, 3$) at $\kappa = 1$ and $\epsilon(t) = 10 + ti$, $t \in (2, 5)$. 
Figure 19. The first zeros $z_1 = z_1(\kappa)$ of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $z$-plane at $\Re \epsilon = 12$ and $\Im \epsilon = 3 - 0.2(k-1)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, 8$ (lower curve: $\Im \epsilon = 1.4$) as $\kappa$ decreases from 2 to 0.8; crosses denote the values $u = u(\kappa = 0.8)$ and vertical lines the first zeros $\nu_1^n$ of Bessel functions $J_n(x)$ ($n = 0, 1$).

Figure 20. The first zeros $z_1 = z_1(\kappa)$ of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $z$-plane at $\Re \epsilon = 14$ and $\Im \epsilon = 3 - 0.1(k-1)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, 30$ (lower curve: $\Im \epsilon = 0.1$) as $\kappa$ decreases from 2 to 0.8; crosses denote the values $u = u(\kappa = 0.8)$ and vertical lines the first zeros $\nu_1^n$ of Bessel functions $J_n(x)$ ($n = 0, 1$).
Figure 21. The first zero $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(\kappa)$ of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $\gamma$-plane at $\Re \epsilon = 12 + k$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, 10$, and $\Im \epsilon = 3$ as $\gamma(\kappa)$ approaches the real axis when $\kappa$ decreases from 3.1055 to 0.83, $\circ$ and $\ast$ correspond, respectively, to $\kappa = 3.1055$ and 0.83 and the red curve to $\Re \epsilon = 21$.

Figure 22. The first 30 zeros of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $\gamma$-plane at $\epsilon = 10 + 10i$. 
(1) Propagation constants (longitudinal wavenumbers) of symmetric complex waves are eigenvalues of problem in Eqs. (4), (11) and roots of DE in Eq. (35).

(2) The imaginary and real axes of the principal (‘proper’) sheet Λ₀ of the Riemann surface Λ of the function \( f(\gamma) = \ln \sqrt{1 - \gamma^2} \) specified by \( \Im w \geq 0 \) do not contain eigenvalues of problem in Eqs. (4),

**Figure 23.** The first 11 zeros of \( \tilde{F}_D \) on the complex \( \gamma \)-plane at 11 different values of \( \epsilon = 2 + (10 - 0.5(k - 1))i, k = 1, \ldots, 11 \).

**Figure 24.** Zeros of \( \tilde{F}_D \) on the complex \( \gamma \)-plane vs \( \Re \epsilon = 10 - 3 \) at \( \Im \epsilon = 2 \).
Figure 25. The first zero of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $\gamma$-plane vs $\kappa = 0.4 - 2.4$ at $\Im \epsilon = 5$, $\Re \epsilon = 12$ (lower curve) and $\Re \epsilon = 1$ (upper curve).

Figure 26. The first 5 zeros of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $\gamma$-plane vs $\kappa = 0.7 - 1.2$ at $\Re \epsilon = 12$ and $\Im \epsilon = 5$. 
Figure 27. The first zeros of $\tilde{F}_D$ on the complex $\gamma$-plane as they approach the imaginary axis at $\Re \epsilon = 5$, $\Re \epsilon = 12$ ($\kappa$ decreases from 0.48 to 0.336, upper curve) and $\Re \epsilon = 10$ ($\kappa$ decreases from 0.4 to 0.35, lower curve).

(11) except the interval $I_0 = (1, \sqrt{\epsilon})$.

(3) Assume that conditions of Eqs. (54), (55), or (56) are fulfilled. Then there is a countable set of symmetric complex waves of the DR having the (normalized complex) propagation constants (longitudinal wavenumbers)

$$\gamma_m = \sqrt{\epsilon - \left( \frac{z_{D,m}}{\kappa} \right)^2}$$

(59)

for the $m$th-order complex symmetric wave corresponding to the $m$th zero $z_{D,m}$ of Eq. (21) situated in the vicinity of the $m$th root $\nu_{m}^1, m = 1, 2, \ldots$, of the Bessel function $J_1$.

(4) Assume that conditions of Eqs. (49) or (50) are fulfilled. Then DE in Eq. (35) has no roots, and consequently there are no symmetric complex waves.

The mathematical proof using Rouche’s theorem is of great practical significance. Indeed, this result guarantees the presence of one complex zero of the function $g(z) = \tilde{F}_D(z)$ in the ball $B_m = \{ z : |z - \nu_{m}^1| < r \}$ of sufficiently small radius. Thus possibility is ensured to choose an initial guess located in $B_m$ that provides stable computations of the DE roots by the Newton method in the complex domain and rapid convergence of iterations: usually, five to ten iterations provide up to 16 correct decimals in the calculated complex zeros (see the tables in Appendix C). On the other hand, (rapidly) convergent Newton iterations immediately validate the theoretical results concerning the existence of zeros.

A closed-form iteration procedure based on the Newton method in the complex domain is described in Appendix B.

4.3. On Dynamics of Complex Waves

The dynamics of waves in the complex domain constitutes various kinds of the dependence of longitudinal wavenumbers of complex waves on the problem parameters.
Beginning from Fig. 24, all subsequent figures demonstrate actually different cases of wave dynamics determined and visualized for different parameter sets.

Specifically, we have studied wave dynamics analyzing (complex) roots of DE, or zeros $z^*_D$ of the function $g = \hat{g}(\gamma, \bar{d})$ defined by DE in Eq. (35) (eigenvalues of the Sturm-Liouville problem in Eqs. (4), (11)) as hyper-surfaces

$$z^*_D = z^*_D(\bar{d})$$

defined explicitly by Eq. (35) using representation of Eq. (36).

Local existence of hyper-surfaces in Eq. (60) can be proved by formulating the corresponding initial value problems and the use of the Cauchy-Kowalevski theorem in the real or complex domain concerning the existence of solutions to a system of two or three differential equations in three dimensions.

When one parameter is varied, the wave dynamics is investigated by the reduction to the Cauchy problems of the type in Eq. (45) where the right-hand side of the initial condition is a function of the remaining parameters. The corresponding parameter curves solving Eq. (45) are locally one-to-one with respect to the quantity parametrizing the curve, e.g., $t = \Im \epsilon$ in Eq. (45). Generally, the behavior of the corresponding parameter curves solving Eq. (45) outside the proximity of the point where the initial condition is stated may be complicated.

Simpler versions of this method are when only one parameter from set $\bar{d}$ is taken as a variable quantity and the remaining are fixed. Such an approach has been applied (without complete mathematical justification) in a majority of works devoted to the study of real and complex waves in dielectric waveguides. We use this technique below, investigating the occurrence of zeros of $\hat{g}(\gamma, \bar{d})$ or $g(z, \bar{d})$ as implicitly defined functions $z^*_D = z^*_D(\Im \epsilon)$, $z^*_D = z^*_D(\Re \epsilon)$, or $z^*_D = z^*_D(\kappa)$ and the like.

We distinguish between regular and irregular wave dynamics. The latter is associated with the occurrence of various singularities of the parameter solution curves (branch points, poles, etc.) that may appear outside the proximity of the initial point. In view of this, it should be noted that already for the simplest structure, a DR, where the total number of (real) parameters is four ($\Re \epsilon$, $\Im \epsilon$, $\kappa$, $\gamma$, see Eqs. (36)–(38)) or five ($\Re \epsilon$, $\Im \epsilon$, $f$ [Hz], $a$ [cm], $\gamma$), general analysis of the wave dynamics requires
a special study. Fig. 3 exemplifies irregular dynamics in the form of a sharp local maximum in the dependence of the imaginary part of the higher-order surface complex waves on the relative radius $\kappa$ of DR. Another type of irregular wave dynamics is illustrated by Figs. 19–21 demonstrating the curves $z_1 = z_1(\kappa)$ of the first zeros of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_D$ on the complex $z$-plane and the corresponding $\gamma_1(\kappa)$ on the complex $\gamma$-plane at several values of $\Im \epsilon$ as $\kappa$ decreases from 2 to 0.8; one can see that all the curves merge at a certain point, which is actually a singular point of the dependence on parameter $\kappa$.

In this work, we limit the analysis to several particular cases of regular dynamics explained in the text and illustrated by Figs. 4–11 and 25–29. A particular case of such a regular complex wave dynamics results from introduction of a small imaginary part of the permittivity that creates a regular perturbation of the spectrum of surface waves in DR shown partially in Figs. 4–11.

5. CONCLUSION

We have proved the existence of symmetric complex waves in a dielectric waveguide of circular cross section and have determined the location of the corresponding set of complex roots of DE.

It has been shown that the determination of the spectrum of symmetric complex waves is reduced to nonselfadjoint singular Sturm-Liouville boundary eigenvalue problems on the half-line where the conditions at infinity is formulated so that all types of complex waves may be taken into account.

In order to achieve the goals of the study, we have performed comprehensive mathematical investigations of the properties of the functions of several complex variables entering DEs. We have identified and correctly described complex roots of DE as implicit functions and multidimensional hypersurfaces considered with respect to the problem parameters.

Two general families of complex waves have been identified, conditionally called ‘surface’ and ‘pure’ complex waves depending on the character of the dependence of their longitudinal wavenumbers on the problem parameters, location on the complex plane, and behavior at infinity.

It should be noted that specific study is required to complete the proof of the existence of just complex (with nonzero imaginary part) propagation constants of surface complex waves caused by
introduction of small losses. In this study, the existence of complex surface waves is established numerically and requires further theoretical analysis.

We have performed numerical modeling using a parameter-differentiation method and analytical and numerical solution to the corresponding Cauchy problems applied to the analytical and numerical solution of DEs.

Numerical investigation of complex waves performed in this study does not require any solvers or standard data codes. The iteration procedure of calculating complex roots of DE in the complex domain providing fast convergence is written in the compact closed form. The efficient choice of initial approximation is validated by the determination of domains of localization of complex zeros as circles of small radii centered at zeros of Bessel functions.

The dynamics of waves in the complex domain has been investigated. We have shown in particular that introducing a small imaginary part of the permittivity creates a regular perturbation of the spectrum of surface waves in DR.

The methods developed in this study and the results obtained will be used as a mathematical background to determine complex wave spectra for broader families of open dielectric waveguides including Goubau lines and multi-layered fibers.
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APPENDIX A. EQUIVALENCE OF DIFFERENT FORMS OF DE

Note that DE in the ‘singular’, Eqs. (14) and (21), and ‘regular’,

\[ zJ_0(z)K_1(w) + \epsilon w J_1(z) K_0(w) = 0, \]  

(A1)

forms, where \( w = \sqrt{u^2 - z^2} \) and \( u = \kappa \sqrt{\epsilon - 1} \), are equivalent if to consider them for fixed real \( \kappa \) and real or complex \( \epsilon \), (i) on a manifold \( R_{DE,z} \) of the complex domain \( z \), the multi-sheet Riemann surface of the function \( h(z) = \ln \sqrt{u^2 - z^2} \) excluding (real) zeros of the Bessel functions \( J_0(z) \) and \( J_1(z) \), or (ii) on a manifold \( R_{DE,\gamma} \) of the complex domain \( \gamma \), the multi-sheet Riemann surface of the function \( f(\gamma) = \ln \sqrt{1 - \gamma^2} \), excluding the sets of singular points \( \Gamma^0 \) and \( \Gamma^H \) given by Eqs. (39) and (40).

APPENDIX B. ITERATIVE NUMERICAL DETERMINATION OF ZEROS

Calculate the derivative of the function entering DE in Eq. (21) used in computations of its complex roots by the Newton method in the complex domain which acquires the following simple compact form:

\[
\frac{d\tilde{F}_D(z)}{dz} = \frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{1}{z} T(z) \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{1}{w} K(w) \right) = \frac{1}{z} \left( T^2(z) - \frac{2}{z} T(z) + 1 \right) - \frac{z}{\epsilon w^2} \left( \kappa^2(w) - \frac{2}{w} \kappa(w) - 1 \right).
\]

If \( \tilde{F}_D(z^*) = 0 \), then

\[
\kappa(w^*) = -\frac{\epsilon w^*}{z^*} T(z^*)
\]

and

\[
\frac{d\tilde{F}_D(z)}{dz} \bigg|_{z=z^*} = -\frac{1}{z^*} \left[ (\epsilon - 1) T^2(z^*) - 2 \frac{(z^*)^2}{z^*} \frac{(w^*)^2}{z^*} T(z^*) - \frac{(z^*)^2 + \epsilon (w^*)^2}{\epsilon (w^*)^2} \right].
\]

The derivative is a regular function w.r.t. \( z \) on a set \( R_{DE,z} \) or w.r.t. \( \gamma \) on \( R_{DE,\gamma} \).

Numerical determination of complex zeros of DE given by Eqs. (21)–(23) is performed by the iteration procedure of the Newton method in the complex domain which can be written in the closed form using the above explicit expression for the derivative

\[ z_{n+1} = \Phi(z_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \]  

(B1)
where
\[
\Phi(z) = \frac{ew^2T(z) + wzK(w)}{ew^2P(T)(z) - z^2P(K)(w) + \epsilon w^2 + z^2}, \quad P(q)(t) = q^2 - \frac{2}{t} q. \quad (B2)
\]

The initial approximation \( z_0 \) in Eq. (B1) is chosen, according to the properties of function \( g(z) \) in Eq. (30), in a vicinity \( \Gamma_m = \{z : |z - \nu_m^1| = r\} \) of the \( m \)th zero \( \nu_m^1, \) \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, \) of the Bessel function \( J_1(x) \) as shown in Fig. 15. With such a choice of the initial approximation, iterations of Eq. (B1) provide 12 to 16 correct decimals (examples are in Sample Computations below) already after 15 to 20 iterations.

### B.1. Sample Computations

List the following reference values of the first real root of DE in Eq. (14) calculated in the real domain for the test parameter set:

- \( \kappa = 1, \epsilon = 10 \) (\( u = 3 \)): \( x_1 = 2.9716, \gamma_1 = 1.0815, \)
- \( \kappa = 1, \epsilon = 17 \) (\( u = 4 \)): \( x_1 = 3.6650, \gamma_1 = 1.8889, \)
- \( \kappa = 1, \epsilon = 20 \) (\( u = \sqrt{19} \)): \( x_1 = 3.7334, \gamma_1 = 2.4621, \)
- \( \kappa = 1.1, \epsilon = 20: \)
  \[ x_1 = 3.759442373541200, \gamma_1 = 2.884354066369839. \]

When calculations of complex roots of DE in Eq. (21) are performed in the complex domain at \( \kappa = 1.1 \) and \( \epsilon = 20 \) when \( \Im \epsilon \) decreases from 1 to 0, we obtain \( x = 3.759442373541 + 0.000000000000000i, \) \( \gamma_1 = 2.884354066370. \) This result validates continuous dependence of the longitudinal wavenumber of a ‘surface complex wave’ on parameter \( \Im \epsilon. \)

For the parameter values \( \kappa = 1, \epsilon = 10 + 10i, \) the following first five zeros of DE in Eq. (21) and the corresponding complex normalized propagation constants \( \gamma_m \) (longitudinal wavenumbers of the first five ‘pure complex waves’) are calculated (with 16 correct digits) by the Newton method in the complex domain (with the complex initial values \( \tilde{z}^{(0)}_{D,m} = \nu_m^1 + 0.2i, \) \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, 5 \) chosen according to the determined domains of localization of the DE roots shown in Fig. 15):

\[
\begin{align*}
z_1 & = 3.863660145950351 + 0.083601918284015i, \\
\gamma_1 & = 1.680552093276775 + 2.783008404744993i, \\
z_2 & = 7.068521843539973 + 0.058018250305192i, \\
\gamma_2 & = 0.721401437349985 + 6.362472394363993i, \\
z_3 & = 10.225948686193938 + 0.052682575200446i, \\
\gamma_3 & = 0.458254277822494 + 9.735360705288961i, \\
z_4 & = 13.375641106804654 + 0.051042540091877i, \\
\gamma_4 & = 0.332082768507906 + 13.000594164971202i, \\
z_5 & = 16.522224105787227 + 0.050364737124896i, \\
\gamma_5 & = 0.256978261449561 + 16.218735789881052i.
\end{align*}
\]

Exemplify ‘cutoff’ values of \( \epsilon \) and longitudinal wavenumber \( \gamma_1(\Im \epsilon) \) of the principal ‘pure complex wave’ where the curves \( \gamma_1(\Im \epsilon) \) terminate and merge with the imaginary axis:

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_1(\Re \epsilon = 20, \Im \epsilon = 3.2) & = 0.0006 + 31.8829i, \\
\gamma_1(\Re \epsilon = 8, \Im \epsilon = 5.5) & = 0.0008 + 32.1220i, \\
\gamma_1(\Re \epsilon = 4, \Im \epsilon = 5.5) & = 0.0007 + 32.2450i, \\
\gamma_1(\Re \epsilon = 2, \Im \epsilon = 4.7) & = 0.0001 + 32.3361i, \\
\gamma_1(\Re \epsilon = 1, \Im \epsilon = 3.8) & = 0.0002 + 32.4148i.
\end{align*}
\]
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