QUASI-ELLIPTIC WIDEBAND BANDPASS FILTERS USING STUBS LOADED ANTI-PARALLEL COUPLED-LINE
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Abstract—This paper presents a new type of wideband bandpass filter (BPF) with quasi-elliptic frequency response by using proposed stubs loaded anti-parallel coupled-line. With different loads, the proposed stubs loaded anti-parallel coupled-line has different numbers of transmission zeros (TZs). These TZs are symmetrical along the designing frequency $f_0$. By using a quarter-wavelength parallel coupled-line to connect two proposed stubs loaded anti-parallel coupled-line, three wideband BPFs centered at $f_0 = 1.575$ GHz with quasi-elliptic frequency response are successfully designed. Good agreements between the simulations and measurements can be observed. The measured results also exhibit that the fabricated BPFs have the merits of low in-band insertion loss, good in-band return loss, sharp passband selectivity and high out-of-band rejection.

1. INTRODUCTION

Coupled-line is an usual and classical structure to exploit lowpass filter [1], bandstop filter [2–4], bandpass filter (BPF) [5–12], reconfigurable BPF [13] and dual-band BPF [14–17]. Although the lowpass, bandstop, reconfigurable and dual-band filters with coupled-line have good electrical performance, they often suffer from large circuit size due to the required quarter-wavelength or half-wavelength coupled-line. Since the coupled-line can be equivalent to a susceptance inverter which is useful in BPF design, the coupled-line is much more widely used in single-band BPF design.

For conventional parallel coupled-line BPF, passband selectivity is determined by filter order. If a higher selectivity performance is
required, filter order must be increased, which leads to large circuit size. Moreover, the conventional parallel coupled-line BPF always suffers from second harmonic frequency. In order to overcome such a problem, many methods have been used to improve the coupled-line for generating new useful properties. In [5], terminated parallel coupled-line with different terminations was studied, and the designed BPF achieved second spurious-response suppression successfully. However, the claimed transmission zeros (TZs) could not be observed in the simulation and measured results, so that the filter did not have sharp passband. In [6, 7], grounded parallel coupled-line with shunt capacitors or series inductors were studied, and the designed BPFs had the merits of reduced circuit size and wide upper stopband. However, the lumped-element elements were used at microwave frequency, which increased the design procedure. In addition, the reported BPFs suffered from larger insertion losses than the conventional ones. In [8], the defected ground structures were etched underneath the input/output ports of a symmetrical parallel coupled-line BPF, so as to extend the stopband performance. However, the etched ground plane increased the installation complexity. In [9], the dual-mode open stub loaded parallel coupled-line with one TZ was proposed, and the TZ could be located at either lower side band or upper side band. By cascading two types of proposed dual-mode open stub loaded parallel coupled-line, a BPF with quasi-elliptic frequency response was reported. In [10], a coupled-line BPF with multiple capacitive cross-couplings to create four TZs was reported. Although it exhibited the quasi-elliptic frequency response, the BPF in [10] suffered from relatively large insertion loss. In [11], a good performance millimeter-wave BPF with two TZs was designed by using LTCC technique. In [12], a good performance BPF with quasi-elliptic frequency response was designed by using open stub loaded anti-parallel coupled-line. However, its 20 dB out-of-band rejection could not meet some high requirement application.

In general, most of these reported coupled-line BPFs have less than 10% fractional bandwidth (FBW). This paper presents a novel type of stubs loaded anti-parallel coupled-line. With different loads, the proposed stubs loaded anti-parallel coupled-line has two or four tunable TZs. By using a quarter-wavelength coupled-line to connect two same type of stubs loaded anti-parallel coupled-line together, a BPF with quasi-elliptic frequency response can be designed. As examples, three BPFs centered at 1.575 GHz are designed and fabricated. Detailed design procedure as well as simulated and measured results are discussed in the following sections.
2. ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED STUBS LOADED ANTI-PARALLEL COUPLED-LINE

2.1. ABCD Parameters of Stubs Loaded Anti-parallel Coupled-line

Figure 1(a) shows the schematic of proposed stubs loaded anti-parallel coupled-line with short-circuited port 4 and port 3 terminated by the load $Z_L$. In order to derive its two port $ABCD$ parameters, symmetric and anti-symmetric excitations of the ports are considered. According to the discussion in the Ref. [18], the following two equations can be obtained:

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_1 - v_2 \\ i_1 - i_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_{co} & jZ_{co} \sin \theta_{co} \\ jY_{co} \sin \theta_{co} & \cos \theta_{co} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_4 - v_3 \\ -(i_4 - i_3) \end{bmatrix}$$

(1a)

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_1 + v_2 \\ i_1 + i_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_{ce} & jZ_{ce} \sin \theta_{ce} \\ jY_{ce} \sin \theta_{ce} & \cos \theta_{ce} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_4 + v_3 \\ -(i_4 + i_3) \end{bmatrix}$$

(1b)

where $\theta_{e,o}$ denote the even-/odd-mode electrical length of coupled-line, $Z_{ce} = Z_c[(1 + k_c)/(1 - k_c)]^{1/2}$ and $Z_{co} = Z_c[(1 - k_c)/(1 + k_c)]^{1/2}$. For discussion simplicity, $\theta_e = \theta_o = \theta = \pi/2$ at the designing frequency $f_0$ is considered in this paper. Since the boundary condition at port 3 and port 4 are $v_3 = -i_3 Z_L$ and $v_4 = 0$, its $ABCD$ parameters are solved as

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ i_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_i & B_i \\ C_i & D_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_2 \\ -i_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{u_1}{u_2} & -\frac{u_3}{u_4} & +\frac{u_1u_6}{u_5u_7} \\ \frac{u_1}{u_2} & -\frac{u_3}{u_4} & +\frac{u_1u_6}{u_5u_7} \\ \frac{u_1}{u_2} & -\frac{u_3}{u_4} & +\frac{u_1u_6}{u_5u_7} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_2 \\ -i_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(2a)

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_2 \\ i_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_o & B_o \\ C_o & D_o \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ -i_1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{u_1u_4 - u_2u_3} \begin{bmatrix} u_4u_5 - u_2u_6 & -u_1u_6 + u_3u_5 \\ -u_2u_7 & -u_1u_7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ -i_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(2b)
where \( u_1 = -j[(Z_{ce} + Z_{co}) \sin \theta]/2, u_2 = -\cos \theta, u_3 = j[(Z_{co} - Z_{ce}) \sin \theta]/2, u_4 = j[(Y_{co} - Y_{ce})Z_L \sin \theta]/2, u_5 = -j[(Z_{co} - Z_{ce}) \sin \theta]/2, u_6 = -Z_L \cos \theta - j[(Z_{ce} + Z_{co}) \sin \theta]/2 \) and \( u_7 = -\cos \theta - j[(Y_{co} + Y_{ce})Z_L \sin \theta]/2 \).

2.2. Transmission Zeros (TZs) of Stubs Loaded Anti-parallel Coupled-line

The TZs of the above stubs loaded anti-parallel coupled-line is determined by \( A_{i,o}D_{i,o} = B_{i,o}C_{i,o} \). After simplification, the TZs are then

\[
  u_1 u_4 = u_2 u_3
\]  

Equation (3) have two real roots within the frequency range \([0, 2f_0]\), which are given as

\[
  f_{Az1} = \frac{2f_0}{\pi} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{Z_c \sqrt{1 - k_c^2}}{Z_a}} \quad (5a)
\]

\[
  f_{Az2} = \frac{2f_0}{\pi} \left[ \pi - \arctan \sqrt{\frac{Z_c \sqrt{1 - k_c^2}}{Z_a}} \right] \quad (5b)
\]

That is, the anti-parallel coupled line with Load A has two tunable TZs within the frequency range \([0, 2f_0]\). These two TZs have the relationship of \( 0 < f_{Az1} < f_0 < f_{Az2} < 2f_0 \). The TZs of anti-parallel coupled line with Load B are determined by

\[
  A_B \tan^4 \theta + B_B \tan^2 \theta + C_B = 0 \quad (6)
\]

where \( A_B = 2Z_c Z_{b1} Z_{b2}/(1 - k_c^2)^{1/2}, B_B = -[2Z_c(2Z_{b1}^2 + Z_{b1} Z_{b2})/(1 - k_c^2)^{1/2} + 2Z_c^2(Z_{b1} + 2Z_{b2})] \) and \( C_B = 2Z_c^2 Z_{b1} \). Since \( A_B > 0, B_B < 0, C_B > 0 \) and \( B_B^2 - 4A_B C_B > 0 \) are always built, Equation (6) has four
real roots within the frequency range $[0, 2f_0]$, which can be expressed as

$$f_{Bz1} = \frac{2f_0}{\pi} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{-B_B - \sqrt{B_B^2 - 4A_BC_B}}{2AB}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7a)$$

$$f_{Bz2} = \frac{2f_0}{\pi} \left[ \pi - \arctan \sqrt{\frac{-B_B - \sqrt{B_B^2 - 4A_BC_B}}{2AB}} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (7b)$$

$$f_{Bz3} = \frac{2f_0}{\pi} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{-B_B + \sqrt{B_B^2 - 4A_BC_B}}{2AB}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7c)$$

$$f_{Bz4} = \frac{2f_0}{\pi} \left[ \pi - \arctan \sqrt{\frac{-B_B + \sqrt{B_B^2 - 4A_BC_B}}{2AB}} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (7d)$$

Thus, the anti-parallel coupled line with Load $B$ has four tunable TZs within the frequency range $[0, 2f_0]$. These four TZs have the relationship of $0 < f_{Bz1} < f_{Bz3} < f_0 < f_{Bz4} < f_{Bz2} < 2f_0$. The TZs of anti-parallel coupled line with Load $C$ are determined by

$$A_C \tan^4 \theta + B_C \tan^2 \theta + C_C = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

where $A_B = 2Z_cZ_1Z_c/(1 - k_c^2)^{1/2}$, $B_B = -[2Z_cZ_c1Z_c2/(1 - k_c^2)^{1/2} + 2Z_c^2(2Z_c1 + Z_b2)]$ and $C_B = 2Z_c^2Z_c2$. Since $A_c > 0$, $B_c < 0$, $C_c > 0$ and $B_c^2 - 4A_cC_c > 0$ are always built, Equation (8) also has four real roots within the frequency range $[0, 2f_0]$, which are derived as

$$f_{Cz1} = \frac{2f_0}{\pi} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{-B_C - \sqrt{B_C^2 - 4A_CC_C}}{2AC}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9a)$$

$$f_{Cz2} = \frac{2f_0}{\pi} \left[ \pi - \arctan \sqrt{\frac{-B_C - \sqrt{B_C^2 - 4A_CC_C}}{2AC}} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (9b)$$

$$f_{Cz3} = \frac{2f_0}{\pi} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{-B_C + \sqrt{B_C^2 - 4A_CC_C}}{2AC}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9c)$$
\[ f_{Cz4} = \frac{2f_0}{\pi} \left( \pi - \arctan \sqrt{\frac{-BC + \sqrt{B_C^2 - 4ACCC}}{2AC}} \right) \]  

(9d)

Thus, the anti-parallel coupled line with Load \( C \) also has four tunable TZs within the frequency range \([0, 2f_0]\). These four TZs have the relationship of \( 0 < f_{Cz1} < f_{Cz3} < f_0 < f_{Cz4} < f_{Cz2} < 2f_0 \).

The above TZs repeat periodically at every frequency range \((2nf_0, 2(n + 1)f_0)\), where \( n \) is an integer. These TZs are symmetrical along \( f_0 \). In addition, the proposed stubs loaded anti-parallel coupled-line has two fixed TZs at 0 and \( 2f_0 \).

3. ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED QUASI-ELLIPIC WIDEBAND BANDPASS FILTERS (BPFS)

A two-end shorted quarter-wavelength parallel coupled-line is used to connect the above stubs loaded anti-parallel coupled line together for build up three-stage BPFs with quasi-elliptic frequency responses. The schematic of proposed BPFs is shown in Figure 2. The input/output stages are the proposed stubs loaded anti-parallel coupled-line and the middle stage is the classical two-end shorted parallel coupled-line. The \( ABCD \) parameters of proposed three-stage BPF is given as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
A_i & B_i \\
C_i & D_i
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
A_p & B_p \\
C_p & D_p
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
A_o & B_o \\
C_o & D_o
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(10)

The \( ABCD \) parameters of two-end shorted parallel coupled-line can be derived from the Ref. [5] as

\[
\begin{bmatrix} A_p & B_p \\ C_p & D_p \end{bmatrix}
\]  

Figure 2. Three-stage BPF with quasi-elliptic frequency response.
\[
= \frac{1}{j2Z_{pe}Z_{po}(Z_{pe} - Z_{po}) \csc \theta}
\left[
- j2Z_{pe}Z_{po}(Z_{pe} + Z_{po}) \cot \theta
\right.

Z_{pe}^2 + Z_{po}^2 - 2Z_{pe}Z_{po}(\csc^2 \theta + \cot^2 \theta)

- j2Z_{pe}Z_{po}(Z_{pe} + Z_{po}) \cot \theta
\left.\right]
\]

(11)

where \(Z_{pe} = Z_p[(1+k_p)/(1-k_p)]^{1/2}\) and \(Z_{po} = Z_p[(1-k_p)/(1+k_p)]^{1/2}\).

The transmission and reflection coefficients of proposed BPF are determined by [19]

\[
S_{11} = \frac{A + B/Z_0 - CZ_0 - D}{A + B/Z_0 + CZ_0 + D}
\]

(12a)

\[
S_{12} = \frac{2(AD - BC)}{A + B/Z_0 + CZ_0 + D}
\]

(12b)

\[
S_{21} = \frac{2}{A + B/Z_0 + CZ_0 + D}
\]

(12c)

\[
S_{22} = \frac{-A + B/Z_0 - CZ_0 + D}{A + B/Z_0 + CZ_0 + D}
\]

(12d)

Since the proposed BPF structure is rotational symmetry, it has \(S_{11} = S_{22}\) and \(S_{21} = S_{22}\).

3.1. Filter A

For the BPF based on the anti-parallel coupled-line with Load A (named Filter A), \(Z_a = 95\,\Omega\) is firstly pre-selected. Under \(Z_p = 100\,\Omega\) and \(k_p = 0.2\), Figure 3 plots the variation of TZs, -3 dB bandwidth (BW) and return loss (RL) versus different values of \(Z_c\) and \(k_c\). It can

![Figure 3](image-url)

Figure 3. (a) Variation of TZs and BW versus \(Z_c\) and \(k_c\). (b) Variation of RL versus \(Z_c\) and \(k_c\).
be seen from Figure 3(a) that two TZs move close to $f_0$ as $Z_c$ and $k_c$ increase, while $-3$ dB BW increases as $Z_c$ and $k_c$ increase. Moreover, the TZs move close to $f_0$ very slowly as $k_c$ increases, so that TZs can be mainly tuned by $Z_c$. It can be seen from Figure 3(b) that as $k_c$ increases, the RL becomes better firstly and then becomes worse. A smaller value of $Z_c$ leads to a better RL from $k_c = 0$ to $k_c = 0.34$.

Under $Z_c = 115 \, \Omega$ and $k_c = 0.31$, Figure 4 plots the variation of $|S_{21}|$ and $|S_{11}|$ versus different values of $Z_p$ and $k_p$. It can be seen from Figure 4(a) that $Z_p$ can be used to tune $|S_{11}|$ separately and has almost no effect on BW. $|S_{21}|$ can be slightly tuned by $k_p$. Thus, $-3$ dB BW of Filter B can be slightly tuned by $k_p$. 

### 3.2. Filter B

For the BPF based on the anti-parallel coupled-line with Load B (named Filter B), $Z_{b1} = 85 \, \Omega$ is firstly pre-selected. Since $k_c$ has a weak effect on the TZs and can be used to tune FBW as discussed in the above texts, $k_c = 0.3$ is also pre-selected. Under $Z_p = 100 \, \Omega$ and $k_p = 0.18$, Figure 5 plots the variation of TZs, $-3$ dB BW and RL versus different values of $r_{bc} = Z_c/Z_{b1}$ and $r_{b12} = Z_{b2}/Z_{b1}$. As shown in Figure 5(a), the TZs of Filter B move apart from $f_0$ as $r_{b12}$ increases, while $r_{bc}$ has weak effect on TZs. It can be seen from Figure 5(b) that $-3$ dB BW of Filter B increases as $r_{bc}$ increases, while $-3$ dB BW of Filter B almost keep constant as $r_{b12}$ varies. Figure 5(b) also shows that RL of Filter B becomes better as $r_{b12}$ increases, and a smaller value of $r_{bc}$ leads to a better RL. Under $r_{bc} = 1.0$ and $r_{b12} = 1.65$, Figure 6 plots the variation of $|S_{21}|$ and $|S_{11}|$ versus different values of $Z_p$ and $k_p$. It can be seen from Figure 6(a) that $Z_p$ can be used to tune $|S_{11}|$ separately and has almost no effect on BW. $-3$ dB BW of Filter B can be slightly tuned by $k_p$. Thus, $-3$ dB BW of Filter B
Figure 5. (a) Variation of TZs versus $r_{bc}$ and $r_{b12}$. (b) Variation of BW and RL versus $r_{bc}$ and $r_{b12}$.

Figure 6. (a) Variation of $|S_{21}|$ and $|S_{11}|$ versus $Z_p$ ($k_p = 0.18$ fixed). (b) Variation of $|S_{21}|$ and $|S_{11}|$ versus $k_p$ ($Z_p = 100 \Omega$ fixed).

can be mainly tuned by $r_{bc}$ and $k_c$, and can be slightly tuned by $k_p$. The TZs of Filter $B$ can be mainly tuned by $r_{b12}$. Lastly, the RL of Filter $B$ can be achieved by tuning $Z_p$.

3.3. Filter $C$

For the BPF based on the anti-parallel coupled-line with Load $C$ (named Filter $C$), $Z_{c1} = 110 \Omega$ is firstly pre-selected. $k_c = 0.35$ is also pre-selected, because $k_c$ has a weak effect on the TZs and can be used to tune BW, according to the above discussion. Under $Z_p = 100 \Omega$ and $k_p = 0.21$, Figure 7 plots the variation of TZs, $-3$ dB BW and RL versus different values of $r_{cc} = Z_c/Z_c1$ and $r_{c12} = Z_{c2}/Z_{c1}$. It can be seen from Figure 7(a) that $f_{Cz1}$ and $f_{Cz2}$ of Filter $C$ move close to $f_0$ as $r_{b12}$ increases, while $f_{Cz3}$ and $f_{Cz4}$ of Filter $C$ move apart from $f_0$ as $r_{b12}$ increases. Figure 7(a) also shows that $r_{cc}$ has a weak effect on
\[ f_{Cz1} \text{ and } f_{Cz2} \text{, but } f_{Cz3} \text{ and } f_{Cz4} \text{ move close to } f_0 \text{ as } r_{cc} \text{ increases.} \]

It can be seen from Figure 7(b) that \(-3\) dB BW of Filter \(C\) increases as \(r_{cc}\) and \(r_{c12}\) increase. Figure 5(b) also shows that RL of Filter \(C\) becomes better as \(r_{c12}\) increases, and a smaller value of \(r_{cc}\) leads to a better RL. Under \(r_{cc} = 0.8\) and \(r_{c12} = 1.4\), Figure 8 plots the variation of \(|S_{21}|\) and \(|S_{11}|\) versus different values of \(Z_p\) and \(k_p\). It can be seen from Figure 8(a) that \(Z_p\) can be used to tune \(|S_{11}|\) separately and has almost no effect on BW. \(-3\) dB BW of Filter \(C\) can be slightly tuned by \(k_p\). Thus, \(-3\) dB FBW of Filter \(C\) can be mainly tuned by \(r_{cc}\) and \(r_{c12}\), and can be slightly tuned by \(k_p\). The TZs of Filter \(B\) can be mainly tuned by \(r_{c12}\). Lastly, the RL of Filter \(C\) can be achieved by tuning \(Z_p\).
Table 1. Electrical specifications of designed BPFs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( f_0 ) (GHz)</th>
<th>FBW</th>
<th>TZs (GHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter A</td>
<td>1.575</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0.823/2.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter B</td>
<td>1.575</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0.342/1.145/2.005/2.808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter C</td>
<td>1.575</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0.476/1.055/2.095/2.674</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Designing parameters of proposed BPFs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( Z_p ) (Ω)</th>
<th>( k_p )</th>
<th>( Z_c ) (Ω)</th>
<th>( k_c )</th>
<th>( Z_a ) (Ω)</th>
<th>( Z_{b1} ) (Ω)</th>
<th>( Z_{b2} ) (Ω)</th>
<th>( Z_{c1} ) (Ω)</th>
<th>( Z_{c2} ) (Ω)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter B</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter C</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. SIMULATED AND MEASURED RESULTS OF PROPOSED QUASI-ELLIPTIC WIDEBAND BANDPASS FILTERS (BPFs)

On the basis of the above discussion, three wideband BPFs with quasi-elliptic frequency response, i.e., Filter A, Filter B and Filter C, are designed, fabricated and measured. The electrical specifications of designed BPFs are tabulated in Table 1. Then, the designing parameter of these three BPFs are optimized as shown in Table 2. Three BPFs are designed on the substrate Arlon DiClad 880 \((h = 0.508 \text{ mm}, \varepsilon_{re} = 2.2, \tan\delta = 0.0009)\). Figure 9(a), Figure 11(a) and Figure 13(a) give the layout of fabricated Filter A, Filter B and Filter C, respectively. After the initial physical dimensions are acquired with the help of ADS LineCalc tool, the whole structures are optimized in full-wave EM-simulator HFSS. The optimized physical dimensions of Filter A, Filter B and Filter C are also labeled in Figure 9(a), Figure 11(a) and Figure 13(a), respectively. Figure 9(b), Figure 11(b) and Figure 13(b) show photographs of fabricated Filter A, Filter B and Filter C, respectively. The overall circuit sizes of Filter A, Filter B and Filter C (not including the feeding lines) are 109 mm × 6.44 mm, 111.31 mm × 11.38 mm, 109.98 mm × 8.84 mm respectively. Figure 10, Figure 12 and Figure 14 plot the simulated and measured results of fabricated Filter A, Filter B and Filter C, respectively. Good agreements between the simulations and measurements can be observed, and there are some
Figure 9. (a) Layout and (b) photograph of fabricated Filter A.

Figure 10. Simulated and measured $S$-parameters of the fabricated Filter A.

Table 3. Simulated and measured results of fabricated BPFs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Simulated CF (GHz)/FBW</th>
<th>Simulated IL at CF (dB)</th>
<th>Simulated RL (dB)</th>
<th>Simulated ROR (dB/GHz)</th>
<th>Measured CF (GHz)/FBW</th>
<th>Measured IL at CF (dB)</th>
<th>Measured RL (dB)</th>
<th>Measured ROR (dB/GHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter A</td>
<td>1.585/24.6%</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1.59/25.1%</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>&gt;26</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter B</td>
<td>1.575/20.9%</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>&gt;19</td>
<td>187.5</td>
<td>1.57/21.5%</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>&gt;18</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter C</td>
<td>1.585/23.3%</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>&gt;21</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>1.58/23.1%</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>&gt;19</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
slight discrepancies which are attribute to the fabrication error as well as SMA connectors. The simulated and measured central frequencies (CFs), insertion loss (IL), return loss (RL) and roll-off rate (ROR) are tabulated in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit: mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 11.** (a) Layout and (b) photograph of fabricated Filter B.

**Figure 12.** Simulated and measured $S$-parameters of the fabricated Filter B.
Figure 13. (a) Layout and (b) photograph of fabricated Filter $C$.

Figure 14. Simulated and measured $S$-parameters of the fabricated Filter $C$.

5. CONCLUSION

Three microstrip quasi-elliptic function bandpass filters based on the proposed stubs-loaded anti-parallel coupled-lines are presented in this paper. The proposed stubs-loaded anti-parallel coupled-lines have symmetrical transmission zeros along the designing frequency, and can improve the passband selectivity significantly when they are severed as input/output stages in bandpass filters. As examples, three bandpass filters centered at 1.575 GHz have been successfully demonstrated. Results indicate that the demonstrators have the properties of low
in-band insertion loss, good in-band return loss, sharp passband selectivity and high out-of-band rejection. With all these good features, the proposed filters are applicable to modern communication systems.
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