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Abstract—Maintaining mutual coupling suppressing structure as simple as possible is becoming attractive in the electromagnetic and antenna community. A novel parasitic patch structure that can reduce mutual coupling between cavity-backed slot antenna elements is proposed and studied. The structure consists of only a simple rectangular patch inserted between the antenna elements, and it is therefore low cost and straightforward to fabricate. The proposed structure can not only suppress the surface-mode propagation and reduce mutual coupling between slot antennas, but also improve radiation patterns. The features include small occupied area and very simple structure.

1. INTRODUCTION

The mutual coupling (MC) or isolation has a direct impact on a number of applications. These include antenna array systems and more recently multiple input multiple output (MIMO) wireless communication systems [1]. Often, applications of planar antenna elements integrated on high dielectric constant substrates are of special interest due to their compact size and conformability with monolithic microwave integrated circuit (MMIC). However, the utilization of a high dielectric constant substrate has some drawbacks, such as a narrower bandwidth and pronounced surface wave. The bandwidth can be recovered by using a thick substrate, yet this excites severe surface wave. The generation of surface wave can lead to coupling between adjacent antenna elements. Moreover, it decreases the antenna efficiency and distorts radiation patterns. This paper concentrates on the surface wave suppression of the parasitic structure and the mutual coupling reduction of the cavity-backed slot antennas.

Several schemes have been proposed to reduce the effects of surface wave. Some are the investigations of surface wave suppression for a single antenna element. The others are used in the special applications including MIMO antennas and arrays for low mutual coupling and improved radiation performance. Xiao et al. [2] have suggested back-to-back U-shaped defected ground structures (DGS). For the reported design in [3, 4], shorted patches were used to prevent excitation of the surface wave mode. In [5–7], electromagnetic band-gap (EBG) structures were proposed to suppress mutual coupling. However, they are complex structures, and optimum designs are more difficult to achieve. The effects of superstrate materials on printed circuits antennas were investigated in [8]. With a proper choice of superstrate properties and dimensions, surface waves can be eliminated. But the substrate must be electrically thin, at least for nonmagnetic superstrates. Another approach suggested minimizing surface-wave propagation is to use a synthesized substrate that lowers the effective dielectric constant of the substrate either under or around the patch [9–11]. The difficulty with these schemes is that it needs an extra fabrication technique. The detailed comparisons between the techniques presented in the literature and the method proposed in this paper are listed in Table 1.

A two-element antenna is used, and an isolation as high as possible is needed between transmit and receive antenna in the field of MIMO technology and radar applications. This can be seen in the
Table 1. Comparisons between methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Implement</th>
<th>Surface Wave Reduction</th>
<th>Radiation Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[2]</td>
<td>DGS</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3, 4]</td>
<td>Short patch</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>Superstrate</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[9–11]</td>
<td>Synthesized Substrate</td>
<td>Hard</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>Parasitic patch</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

large number of publications using two-element structures, including [2] and [4–7]. This requirement is driving our research to study a new way for reducing mutual coupling between cavity-backed slot antennas in satellite communication system. Although much work has been carried out for reducing mutual coupling using parasitic elements [12], little has been reported for suppressing surface wave effect employing parasitic elements. In this paper, we describe a simple but highly effective parasitic structure to eliminate surface waves in the substrate, and then MC between inverted cavity-backed slot antenna elements [13–15] is reduced. The parasitic structure is a patch etched on the top side of the substrate next to the long edge of the cavity. The coupling reduction bandwidth fully covers the operating bandwidth of the antennas. Furthermore, the proposed structure can improve radiation patterns of the elements and enhance antennas gain. It can be easily fabricated without extra cost.

2. PROPOSED PARASITIC PATCH STRUCTURE

2.1. Antenna Structure

Based on the principle of reducing mutual coupling in the previous section, a dual-element slot antenna with parasitic element is designed in this section. The center-to-center distance between the elements is 0.72λ₀. The geometry of the proposed parasitic structure is shown in Fig. 1. Two slots are etched on the bottom layer of a RT/duroid 3010 substrate and backed by two metallic rectangular cavities to reduce the backward radiation. The thickness of the substrate is 1.28 mm, and its dielectric constant is 11.2. Each slot antenna operating 12 GHz band is fed by a 50-Ω microstrip line. The microstrip lines are printed on the top side of the substrate.

In order to reduce the mutual coupling, a parasitic patch etched on the upper layer of the substrate is placed between the two antenna elements. The detailed parameters are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Geometrical parameters of the proposed dual-element slot antenna.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of slot</td>
<td>Lₛ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of slot</td>
<td>Wₛ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of parasitic patch</td>
<td>Lₘ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of parasitic patch</td>
<td>Wₘ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of cavity</td>
<td>Lₖ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of cavity</td>
<td>Wₖ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of cavity</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed line offset from short edge</td>
<td>F₃D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed line width of 50Ω</td>
<td>Wₙ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric substrate thickness</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative permittivity</td>
<td>εᵣ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center-to-center distance</td>
<td>Distance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2. Parametric Studies

To understand the design tradeoffs of the proposed structure, parametric studies of this parasitic patch structure have also been performed. Through parameters sweeps and optimizations, it is found that three parameters — $F$, $W_{M}$, and $L_{M}$ — are important in this design.

At first, in order to simplify design, the parasitic patch placed at the center between the left slot and right side feed line can be located next to long edge of the cavity. The influences of other parameters, $W_{M}$ and $L_{M}$, are listed in Figs. 2–5.

The main aim of the proposed paper is to reduce mutual coupling and enhance antenna gain. The mutual coupling and antenna gain (the left side antenna) at the operating frequency along with the parameter $W_{M}$ are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, when the other parameters are $L_{S} = 9.3$ mm, $W_{S} = 3.5$ mm, $F = 3$ mm, $L_{M} = 9.3$ mm. It is observed that antenna gain of this structure is sensitive to $W_{M}$. The maximum gain appears when $W_{M}$ is equal to 3 mm, which is close to the half-wavelength in substrate of the resonant frequency. The mutual coupling is low when $W_{M}$ is equal to 3 mm.

The mutual coupling and antenna gain (the left side antenna) at the operating frequency along with the parameter $L_{M}$ are shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, when the other parameters are $L_{S} = 9.3$ mm, $W_{S} = 3.5$ mm, $F = 3$ mm, $W_{M} = 3$ mm. It is observed that mutual coupling and antenna gain keep the same tendency varying with the parameter $L_{M}$. In the proposed design, $L_{M}$ is 9.3 mm for the tradeoff.

Figure 1. Structure of the dual-element slot antenna with parasitic element. (a) Top view. (b) Side view. (c) Photo.
3. SIMULATED AND MEASURED RESULTS

3.1. S Parameters

Theoretical simulations are performed using ANSYS high frequency structure simulator (HFSS) ver. 13 to optimize the antenna parameters for desired performance. The simulated S-parameter comparisons between the normal dual-element slot antennas and the proposed slot antenna are shown in Fig. 6. The reflection coefficient of the individual antenna element is not affected significantly after adding parasitic patch. However, mutual coupling between the two antenna elements is greatly reduced, especially in the operating band centered at 12 GHz. For the structure without parasitic patch, in this region mutual coupling is higher than $-15$ dB, as shown in the figure. By using an optimized parasitic patch, the coupling is significantly improved, to less than $-23$ dB, with an improvement of minimum $7$ dB, exceeding $9$ dB at many frequency points over the operating band. It can be seen that the improvement has been achieved in a wide bandwidth, adequate for a typical satellite communication application.

Based on the simulation, a prototype antenna is fabricated and measured. The measured S-parameter results are shown in Fig. 7. It can be seen that low mutual coupling ($<-23.5$ dB) is
acquired over a wide frequency band. The difference between measured and simulated results is mainly caused by the shift in resonant frequencies. This frequency shift is mainly attributed to the dielectric constant of the substrate. The simulated $S$-parameter results with the permittivity of 11.6 are shown in Fig. 8. The results validate the reason of frequency shift.

Figure 9 shows the mutual coupling between the normal dual-element slot antennas varying with the slot distance at the resonant frequency by the simulation. The mutual coupling decreases as the antenna distance increases. Meanwhile, it is observed that the case has a strong mutual coupling level. This is because the slot antennas on a high permittivity and thick substrate activate severe surface waves.

The envelope correlation coefficient (ECC) of the proposed dual-antennas is also calculated in this section. The envelope correlation coefficient can be evaluated from either the radiation patterns of the antenna elements or the mutual coupling between antenna ports. Although the results using the far-field pattern data and $S$-parameter are not based on exactly the same assumptions, they should provide consistent estimates of ECC [16]. To make this process easier, we use the method of mutual
coupling (a relatively fast method) to evaluate the ECC (1) [17] in this paper

\[
\rho_c = |\rho_c|^2 \approx |r_{12}|^2 = \left| \frac{\text{Re}(Z_{12})}{\text{Re}(Z_{11})} \right|^2
\]  

(1)

The mutual impedance \(Z_{12}\) can be easily determined from the standard \(S\)-parameters of the two-port antenna system. From (1) we obtain that the envelope correlation of the parasitic structure is 0.1336, with an improvement about 0.2 for the normal dual-antennas at the trough of the measured reflection coefficient curves.

3.2. Surface Current Distributions

In Section 2, we adopted parasitic element to reduce mutual coupling and implemented the technique in a dual-cavity-slot-element antenna. In order to better understand the mechanism, HFSS is employed to plot the surface current distributions along the slot antennas.

The performance of reducing mutual coupling can be validated with surface current magnitude distributions. The current magnitude distributions over the surface of the normal dual-slot antenna at 12 GHz are shown in Fig. 10(a). Slot element 1 (the left side slot antenna) is excited while slot antenna 2 (the right side slot antenna) is terminated with a matched load. As a comparison task, the surface current magnitude distributions of the proposed parasitic structure are also provided in Fig. 10(b). From Fig. 10, it can be seen that the current distributions on slot antenna 2 decrease dramatically by adding the parasitic patch, validating the principle analysis of reducing mutual coupling by creating a reverse coupling path in [12].

![Figure 10](image)

Figure 10. The current magnitude distribution over the surfaces. (a) Without the parasitic patch. (b) With the parasitic patch.

3.3. Radiation Pattern

Figure 11 presents the normalized far-field radiation pattern comparisons between the proposed dual-element antenna and normal dual-element antenna at the center frequency 12 GHz for port 1 and port 2, respectively. It can be seen that the radiation pattern for the parasitic structure is smoother than that without parasitic patch. Having a reduced end-fire radiation of the proposed antenna validates the radiation effect of the parasitic patch in this structure. This means that the propagation of the surface wave is suppressed, which is helpful for reduction of the mutual coupling. The gains are 5.37 and 5.33 dB, with enhancement of 0.18 and 0.62 dB for the two antenna elements at the center frequency. Fig. 12 presents the measured normalized far-field radiation pattern at the trough of the measured reflection coefficient curves 11.8 GHz for port 1 and port 2. The somehow rotated radiation patterns in \(\phi = 0^\circ\) plane are attributed to the off-center feed to the antennas.
Figure 11. Effect of parasitic patch on the copolar radiation pattern. (a) Simulation, at 12 GHz, port 1 feed, phi = 0°. (b) Simulation, at 12 GHz, port 2 feed, phi = 90°.

Figure 12. Measured radiation patterns of the parasitic structure. (a) At 11.8 GHz, port 1 feed, phi = 0°. (b) At 11.8 GHz, port 2 feed, phi = 90°.

4. CONCLUSION

This paper presents and demonstrates a simple but highly efficient technique to reduce mutual coupling between cavity-backed slot antennas. The principle of reducing mutual coupling is analyzed by an extra coupling path, which creates reverse coupling. The currents magnitude distributions have validated the method. The smoother radiation patterns indicate suppression for surface waves. Furthermore, the mutual coupling is significantly reduced in a wide range of operating band. The technique is very useful in large arrays.
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