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Abstract—We discuss in this paper the stability of the time marching (TM) method. We identify one cause of instability in the method associated with the calculation of variables involved in the convolution operation. We provide a solution to this problem, preventing the appearance of unstable poles in the Z-domain. This solution is fundamentally different from other previously presented approaches in the sense that it is not based on filtering or predictive techniques. Instead, it consists of preprocessing the known variable in the convolution equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Time marching (TM) is a method that allows calculating the time-domain response of a system. The method can be applied to systems having both nonlinearities and frequency dependencies [1]. TM, however, suffers from numerical instabilities in the late time [2].

In general, TM can be used to solve equations in which the solution at a given time can be expressed as a function of the solutions obtained at previous times. However, the TM stability depends strongly on how the problem has been mathematically formulated. For example, problems that employ discrete differences have their own stability criterion, such as the CFL (Courant-Friedrich-Levy) condition in the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) technique [3]. The present work is specifically focused on TM applied to problems involving convolution operations.

In early works analyzing this problem, instabilities were attributed to numerical error accumulation over time. To cope with this problem, Tijhuis [4] suggested reducing the time step in order to improve the issue of TM instability. Other approaches have been proposed later to mitigate the instabilities of TM method, namely:

- Use of improved integration techniques, combining analytical and numerical integration schemes [5, 6].
- Averaging in time the TM results [2, 7, 8].
- Use of low pass FIR filters with constant group delay [9, 10].
- Use of predictor-corrector schemes [6, 11, 12].

Nevertheless, none of these approaches provided an insight into the causes of the instability.

In this paper, we use the Z-transform to explain one cause of TM instability. Furthermore, we explain the relation between this instability cause and the numerical process used to obtaining the impulse response. With respect to the impulse responses, they are the known variables in the convolution equations on electromagnetic compatibility problems and they correspond to transfer function, such as an impedance or a scattering parameter. Regarding the numerical process, it is generally performed by sampling the transfer function in the frequency domain and then apply an inverse fast Fourier transform (IFFT).
The solution we offer to this problem is fundamentally different from other previously discussed in the literature. We propose a preprocessing of the transfer function of the impulse responses obtained by numerical methods instead of the above-mentioned traditional approaches, which are filters applied during the execution of TM. The results of this work can be applied to problems involving propagation effects that include non-linear devices, such as diodes, switching power sources and voltage limiters, electromagnetic coupling to transmission lines or electromagnetic interference in communication networks.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 explains the TM method. Section 3 presents the cause of TM instability. Section 4 explains the relation between TM instability and sampling in the frequency domain. In Section 5, a solution to the instability problem is proposed. A linear and a nonlinear examples are presented in Section 6. Finally, the conclusions are presented in Section 7.

2. TIME MARCHING ALGORITHM

Consider the circuit shown in Fig. 1, where $z_1(t)$ represents the impulse response of the input impedance of the transmission line (TL) and the variable of interest is the TL’s input current, $i_1(t)$.

![Figure 1. Linear circuit used as example.](image)

The circuit is described by (1) in continuous time domain

$$V_S(t) = R_S i_1(t) + i_1(t) \ast z_1(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The process starts by discretizing the continuous time domain equations, under the assumption that the convolved variables are causal, which in this case are $z_1(t)$ and $i_1(t)$. By doing this, the following discrete version of Equation (1) is obtained:

$$V_S(t_i) = R_S i_1(t_i) + \Delta t \sum_{k=0}^{i} i_1(t_k) z_1(t_{i-k})$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

in which $t_i$ represents the discrete time, and $i_1(t_i)$ denote variable $i_1$ evaluated at time $t_i$. $\Delta t$ represents the sampling time. Afterwards, the discrete equations are solved for the present value of the variables of interest, which in this case is $i_1(t_i)$. To do so, the discrete convolution on the right-hand side of (2) is expressed as:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{i} i_1(t_k) z_1(t_{i-k}) = i_1(t_i) z_1(t_0) + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} i_1(t_k) z_1(t_{i-k})$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

from which Equation (2) can be rewritten and $i_1(t_i)$ can be expressed as:

$$i_1(t_i) = \frac{V_S(t_i) - \Delta t \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} i_1(t_k) z_1(t_{i-k})}{z_1(t_0) \Delta t + R_S}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Hereinafter, Equation (4) will be referred to as deconvolution equation. Finally, Equation (4) is solved iteratively from $t_i = 0$ up to the desired time.
3. TIME MARCHING INSTABILITY

The stability analysis using the Z-transform is a common technique used in signal processing of discrete signals [13]. In the previous example, if we consider each variable in Equation (2) as a time series, the Z-transform can be applied as follows:

\[ V_S(z) = R_S I_1(z) + \Delta t \cdot Z_1(z) I_1(z) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( I_1(z) = Z\{i_1(t)\} \), \( I_2(z) = Z\{i_2(t)\} \) and \( Z_1(z) = Z\{z_1(t)\} \). By solving Equation (5) for \( I_1(z) \), we obtain:

\[ I_1(z) = \frac{V_S(z)}{\Delta t \cdot Z_1(z) + R_S} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

which is the Z-domain counterpart of Equation (4).

In Equation (6), it can be seen that the stability of \( I_1(z) \) depends on the zeros of its denominator. If the zeros are outside the unit circle, there are two possible outcomes for \( i_1(t) \): either causal and unstable, or noncausal and stable [13]. Thus, TM yields unstable results if the denominator of any deconvolution equation has at least one zero outside the unit circle since the convolved variables are assumed to be causal. Note, that this assumption enables to limit the summation that replaces the convolution integral, which in turn allows to express the present value of the variable of interest as a function of its past values.

4. RELATION BETWEEN SAMPLING IN THE FREQUENCY DOMAIN AND TIME MARCHING INSTABILITY

It is important to mention that usually in electromagnetic compatibility problems, convolutions are performed between a given variable (voltage, current or wave) and the impulse response of a system. Generally the latter cannot be calculated by analytical means and a numerical approach must be used. For instance, the impulse response of a TL’s input impedance with unmatched load or the admittance parameter of a distributed network.

The process of computing the impulse response of a transfer function with no analytical inverse Fourier transform, usually is composed of: (i) sampling the transfer function, and (ii) applying the IFFT. Note that the sampling in the frequency-domain is related to the Z-domain by:

\[ z = e^{j\omega \Delta t} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

which means that sampling in the Fourier domain is equivalent to evaluate the Z-domain transfer function on the unit circle. In addition, consider that the process of finding the discrete impulse response by sampling in the frequency domain can only produce zeros in the Z-domain [13]. Thus, the frequency samples determine the zeros position in the Z-domain.

On the other hand, these samples can be affected by phase discontinuity, which refers to the change in phase between the positive and negative spectrum of the frequency samples at the Nyquist frequency. This discontinuity produces oscillations at each discontinuity in time domain, which are less significant if the magnitude near to the discontinuity is close to zero [14]. This means that at \(-1\) in the Z-domain or the Nyquist frequency in the Fourier domain there is an abrupt change in phase, which is seen as a high group delay.

The phase of a given transfer function \( H(z) \) composed only by zeros is given by:

\[ \theta(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \angle (z - r_k e^{j\theta_k}) - N \angle z \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where \( N \) is the number of zeros of \( H(z) \), and each zero is represented by its polar form \( r_k e^{j\theta_k} \).

Substituting Equation (7) into Equation (8) in order to express the phase discontinuity in terms of the group delay yields:

\[ -\frac{d\angle \theta(\omega)}{d\omega} = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{\Delta t - r_k \Delta t \cos(\theta_k - \omega \Delta t)}{1 + r_k^2 - 2r_k \cos(\theta_k - \omega \Delta t)} - N \Delta t \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)
Finally, we evaluate Equation (9) at the Nyquist frequency to obtain:

\[ -\frac{d\angle \theta (\omega)}{d\omega} \bigg|_{\omega=\pi F_S} = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \Delta t + r_k \Delta t \cos (\theta_k) - N \Delta t \]

(10)

where \( F_S \) is the sampling frequency.

On the other hand, the magnitude due to every zero at the Nyquist frequency is given by:

\[ \left| z - r_k e^{j\theta_k} \right| \bigg|_{\omega=\pi F_S} = \sqrt{1 + r_k^2 + 2 r_k \cos (\theta_k)} \]

(11)

It can be seen from Equation (10) that zeros with \( \theta_k \to \pi \) and \( r_k \to 1 \) will produce high group delay and hence, high phase discontinuity. However, the same values in Equation (11) will produce low gain. Therefore, if there is phase discontinuity and high gain at the Nyquist frequency, several zeros will appear close to -1 to generate high group delay while some of them will be outside the unit circle to compensate the gain. This behavior was tested by replicating a test depicted in [14], which is described in Appendix A.

In summary, TM will yield unstable responses if the deconvolution equation denominator has frequency samples affected by phase discontinuity with large gain at the Nyquist frequency.

5. PROPOSED SOLUTION TO TM INSTABILITY

In order to prevent the occurrence of zeros outside the unit circle in the denominator of the TM deconvolution equations, we propose to mitigate the phase discontinuity effect in specific parts of the denominator obtained by sampling in the frequency domain. This is done by decreasing their magnitude near the Nyquist frequency.

This was achieved with the following two-step approach. First, each transfer function in the denominator, \( H(f) \), obtained by a numerical approach is multiplied by a function \( FIX(f) \) that ensures high attenuation below the Nyquist frequency. Second, the IFFT is applied to this product in order to obtain the stable time series \( h_{fix}(t) \):

\[ \mathcal{F}^{-1} \{ H(f) \cdot FIX(f) \} = h_{fix}(t) \]

(12)

A function of the form \( e^{-a f^p} \) fulfills all the mentioned constraints if \( p \) is even and \( \alpha \) is a constant. It is important to mention that the function used in this work is similar to the one used to perform the fast Laplace transform [15], whose form is \( e^{-at} \). Nevertheless, the fast Laplace transform uses its function for casting the frequency samples over a given Laplace contour whereas in our method, the function purpose is being a low pass filter. The following expression for \( FIX(f) \) is proposed

\[ FIX(f) = e^{-k \left( \frac{f}{F_s/2} \right)^p} \]

(13)

where \( F_s \) is the sampling frequency. The parameter \( k \) allows selecting the desirable attenuation at \( F_s/2 \) and \( p \) helps to define the frequency above which the attenuation will affect \( H(f) \) as depicted in Fig. 2.

6. APPLICATION EXAMPLES

6.1. Linear Circuit

For the circuit shown in Fig. 1, we used the following simulation parameters: the voltage source is represented by a 1-MHz, 5-V peak, sinusoidal signal with an output impedance of 50 \( \Omega \). The sampling frequency is 100 MHz and the simulation time 4 \( \mu \)s. Two parallel coated wires form the transmission line. The line length is 3 meters, the inner wires radius is 1.075 mm, the thickness of the wires coating is 0.38 mm, and the distance between the two wire centers is 4.35 mm. The relative permittivity of the coating is given by \( \varepsilon' = 3 \) and \( \varepsilon'' = 0.1886 \). The TL’s load is 1 k\( \Omega \) and \( z_1(t) \) was calculated with 4096 frequency samples.
Figure 2. Magnitude of \( FIX(f) \) as a function of \( p \) with \( k = 10 \) and \( F_S = 100 \text{ MHz} \).

Figure 3. Phase of \( \Delta t \cdot Z_1(z) + R_S \).

Figure 4. Magnitude of \( \Delta t \cdot Z_1(z) + R_S \).

The input voltage of the transmission line was calculated with the following expression:

\[
v_1(t_i) = \Delta t \sum_{k=0}^{i} i_1(t_k) z_1(t_{i-k})
\]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

The phase and magnitude obtained the denominator of Equation (6) are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, respectively. It can be seen that there is a high phase discontinuity and the magnitude at the Nyquist frequency is not low. Hence, unstable zeros are expected in \( \Delta t \cdot Z_1(z) + R_S \).

We used the Jury stability criterion for testing if the denominator of Equation (6) has at least one zero outside the unit circle. This criterion was selected because the denominator of Equation (6) has a polynomial degree of 400 for the given simulations parameters, and the algorithms for obtaining the roots of a high degree polynomial (more than 100) are impaired by high inaccuracy [16], whereas the Jury stability criterion is not affected by this [17]. In this case the Jury criterion predicted unstable results.

We compared the TM results against numerical results obtained using CST Cable Studio, which are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. It can be seen that the unstable behavior is present in both variables at late time.

We only applied our solution over \( Z_1(f) \) because it is the part of the denominator of Equation (6) affected by the discretization process. The parameters were \( k = 20 \) and \( p = 2 \). The phase and magnitude of the fixed denominator of Equation (6) are shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8, respectively. It can be seen that there is no phase discontinuity, hence the TM results are stable, as shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10, which was also confirmed by the Jury test. In addition, it can be seen that there is good agreement between CST Cable Studio and TM results.
6.2. Nonlinear Example

For this example, we used the circuit shown in Fig. 11. The output impedance of the voltage source was set to 10 Ω, the line load and length are 2 kΩ and three meters, respectively. The diode was represented by its voltage-current relationship given by:

\[ i_D(t) = I_S \left( e^{\frac{v_D}{m_V}} - 1 \right) \]  

(15)
where $I_s = 5.31656 \, A$, $\eta = 2$ and $v_t = 25 \, mV$. The rest of the simulation parameters are equal to those used in the previous example.

The continuous time domain equations are given by:

\[
V_S (t) = R_S (i_D (t) + i_1 (t)) + i_1 (t) * z_1 (t) \tag{16}
\]

\[
i_D (t) = I_S \left( e^{(\frac{i_1 (t) + z_1 (t)}{\eta v_t})} - 1 \right) \tag{17}
\]

By discretizing and solving for $i_1 (t_i)$ and $i_D (t_i)$, the following expressions are obtained:

\[
i_1 (t_i) = \frac{V_S (t_i) - R_S \cdot i_D (t_i) - \Delta t \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} i_1 (t_k) z_1 (t_i-k)}{z_1 (t_0) \Delta t + R_S} \tag{18}
\]

\[
i_D (t_i) = I_S \left( e^{\left( \frac{\Delta t \sum_{k=0}^{i} i_1 (t_k) z_1 (t_i-k)}{\eta v_t} \right)} - 1 \right) \tag{19}
\]

It is important to notice that in this example, it is not possible to solve analytically Equations (4) and (19) for both unknowns. Hence, we solved them by using the Newton-Raphson method at each iteration.

Then, Equation (18) performs the deconvolution operation and its Z-transform is

\[
I_1 (z) = \frac{V_S (z) - I_D (z) R_S}{\Delta t \cdot Z_1 (z) + R_S} \tag{20}
\]

Note that Equation (20) is expressed in terms of $I_D (z)$ because nonlinear operator such as the one present in Equation (19) does not have a Z-transform but a time series does. For the parameters of this example, the Jury criterion predicts unstable results, as confirmed in Fig. 12 and Fig. 13.
Figure 14. Current $i_1(t)$ calculated using stable TM and CST cable studio.

Figure 15. Current $i_D(t)$ calculated using stable TM and CST cable studio.

We applied the proposed method with $k = 10$ and $p = 20$ in order to increase the cutoff frequency and include more harmonics. The results of TM and CST Cable Studio are shown in Fig. 14 and Fig. 15. It can be seen that there is excellent agreement between TM and CST results. The differences may be partially explained by the fact that in CST Cable Studio, transmission lines are modeled as an equivalent circuit composed of a finite number of elementary cells.

Finally, it should be noted that the effectiveness of the proposed solution could be compromised if time aliasing is not properly considered.

7. CONCLUSIONS

We identified in this work one cause of instability in the TM method, which is related to the calculation of variables involved in a convolution. We showed that this instability is related to the phase discontinuity.

We also provided a solution to this problem, which prevents the appearance of Z-domain unstable poles. This solution is fundamentally different from other previously presented approaches in the sense that it is not a filtering or predicting technique applied during the TM run. Instead, it consists of a preprocessing procedure on the denominators of the deconvolution equations. The proposed solution consists of imposing high attenuation on the transfer function prior the half of the sampling frequency in order to decrease the effect of the phase discontinuity.

The efficiency of the proposed method was illustrated using two examples involving a transmission line with linear or non-linear elements. The method can find useful applications in various fields such as electromagnetic compatibility, signal integrity, and transmission line analysis.

We recommend using the Jury test for predicting the stability of TM, without running TM simulations, which is especially useful for cases with nonlinear devices. Furthermore, the test does not require finding the roots of a high degree-polynomial or the exact position of the zeros.

APPENDIX A.

In [14], the effect of phase discontinuity was explained in time and frequency domains by comparing the impulse response of a low-pass RC filter. Two methods were used to obtain the impulse response:

- Method 1: the impulse response of the continuous time domain was discretized.
- Method 2: the transfer function was discretized and then transformed in time domain by using the IFFT.

Hence, the phase discontinuity only occurs in Method 2 [14].

In our case, we were interested in the effects of phase discontinuity in the Z-domain. Thus, we compared the Z-transform of both time series.

The results show that the zeros in methods 1 and 2 were almost the same except when they were close to $-1$, as depicted in Fig. A1. The Z-transform of Method 2 has a zero at $-1.5$, which is not
Figure A1. Zeros of the impulse response of a low-pass RC filter with $\tau = 10\mu s$, sampling frequency of 10 MHz and 200 frequency points. The unit circle is shown in red. The green and blue circles depict zeros of the impulse response without and with phase discontinuity, respectively.

shown in Fig. A1. It can be seen that the zeros of an impulse response with phase discontinuity have the behavior described in Section 4.
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