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Abstract—An airborne phased-multiple-input-multiple-output (Phased-MIMO) radar with collocated antenna array is a tradeoff of phased array radar and MIMO radar. Its transmitting array is divided into multiple subarrays that are allowed to be overlapped. In this letter, we mainly study the array partitioning scheme of the airborne Phased-MIMO radar with equal uniform linear subarrays that are fully overlapped on the basis of space-time adaptive processing (STAP). A mathematical formula is derived to determine the number of subarrays and the elements in each subarray according to the principle of maximum STAP signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR). The SINR performances corresponding to different partitioning schemes are simulated and discussed to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed mathematical formula for array partitioning in the sense of maximum STAP SINR.

1. INTRODUCTION

PHASED-MIMO radar is a novel system that combines the coherent processing gain of phased array radar with the waveform diversity advantage of MIMO radar [1]. The transmitting array of Phased-MIMO radar is divided into a number of subarrays. Each subarray is employed to coherently transmit an individual waveform. Those waveforms are set to be orthogonal with one another. Hence, those subarrays are mutually combined to be a MIMO system while the coherent processing gain can still be obtained by designing the beamforming weights of each subarray [1].

Space-time adaptive processing (STAP), a two-dimensional space-time adaptive filtering operation, is an effective and important technique that is widely used in airborne radar for clutter suppression and moving target detection in the strong clutter environment [2–4]. Since the Phased-MIMO radar is able to provide a tradeoff between the benefits of phased array radar and the advantages of MIMO radar [1], how to apply those traditional STAP methods to airborne Phased-MIMO radar for performance improvement of clutter suppression is valuable to be studied. An orthogonal projection technique on the clutter subspace and a deterministic direct data domain approach for the STAP of airborne Phased-MIMO radar in the nonhomogeneous clutter scenario are presented in [5]. An estimation rule for clutter degrees of freedom (DOFs) is proposed in [6] for further investigation of the STAP on airborne Phased-MIMO radar. Moreover, in [7], four typical classes of reduced-dimension STAP algorithms are extended to airborne Phased-MIMO radar, and the corresponding rules of clutter rank estimation are also presented.

On account of the definition of Phased-MIMO radar, it is clear that one of the key points is how to divide the transmitting array into multiple subarrays. Through MATLAB program, the signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR) performances of the spatial beamforming for the Phased-MIMO radar are analyzed in the situation of fully overlapped subarrays in [8]. Another partitioning scheme that is also allowed to be overlapped is proposed in [9] to improve the main-to-sidelobe levels and
signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) without the reduction of beampattern directivity. The main concept of this new scheme is to place the transmitting beampattern nulls at the peak sidelobes of the waveform diversity beampattern and place the waveform diversity beampattern nulls at the peak sidelobes of the transmitting beampattern [9]. Additionally, a Phased-MIMO radar with unequal overlapped subarrays is proposed in [10]. The unequal size and greater length of the subarrays can not only provide the improvements of transmitting and receiving beampatterns but also enhance the output SINR of spatial beamforming.

Note that those partitioning schemes aforementioned are all discussed according to the performances of the spatial beamforming. However, we consider that the array partitioning scheme will also influence the STAP performance of airborne Phased-MIMO radar. Then, we further study the array partitioning scheme of the airborne phase-MIMO radar with collocated antenna array in the case of equal uniform linear subarrays that are fully overlapped in this letter. A mathematical formula is derived to determine the number of subarrays and the elements in each subarray according to the principle of maximum STAP SINR.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the STAP model of airborne Phased-MIMO radar with equal uniform linear subarrays that are fully overlapped. The mathematical formula for array partitioning scheme in the sense of maximum STAP SINR is derived in Section 3. In Section 4, simulations and discussions are given to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed mathematical formula. Conclusions are finally presented in Section 5.

2. STAP MODEL OF AIRBORNE PHASED-MIMO RADAR

Consider a side-looking airborne Phased-MIMO radar with collocated transmitting and receiving uniform linear arrays (ULAs), which consist of \( N \) receiving antenna elements with spacing \( d_R = \lambda/2 \) and \( M \) transmitting antenna elements with spacing \( d_T = \gamma d_R \), where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength and \( \gamma = d_T/d_R \) is the sparse coefficient. The transmitting array is divided into \( K (1 \leq K \leq M) \) equal uniform linear subarrays that are fully overlapped. Then, each subarray is composed of \( M_K = M - K + 1 \) omnidirectional antenna elements. It is assumed that the beams of all the transmitting subarrays have the same direction. The velocity of the radar platform is \( v \). In addition, there are \( P \) pulses with pulse repetition interval (PRI) \( T \) in a coherent processing interval (CPI) for each range gate. Note that \( M, N, K, P \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), where \( \mathbb{N}^+ \) denotes the set of positive integer.

Firstly, the receiving spatial steering vector \( \mathbf{v}_R(f_s) \) and temporal (Doppler) steering vector \( \mathbf{v}_D(f_d) \) for the airborne Phased-MIMO radar are given as follows

\[
\mathbf{v}_R(f_s) = \left[1, e^{j2\pi f_s}, \ldots, e^{j2\pi(N-1)f_s}\right]^T \tag{1}
\]

\[
\mathbf{v}_D(f_d) = \left[1, e^{j2\pi f_d}, \ldots, e^{j2\pi(P-1)f_d}\right]^T \tag{2}
\]

where \([\cdot]^T\) denotes the transpose operation, and \( f_s \) and \( f_d \) denote the spatial frequency and the normalized Doppler frequency, respectively. Supposing no antenna crabbing, they are given by

\[
f_s = \frac{d_R \cos \theta}{\lambda} \tag{3}
\]

\[
f_d = 2vT \cos \theta/\lambda = \beta f_s \tag{4}
\]

where \( \theta \) indicates the angle-of-arrival (AOA) of the source and \( \beta = 2vT/d_R \). Moreover, different from phased array radar and MIMO radar, the transmitting spatial steering vector of the airborne Phased-MIMO radar \( \mathbf{v}_T(f_s) \) is given by [1]

\[
\mathbf{v}_T(f_s) = \mathbf{b}(f_s) \odot \mathbf{d}(f_s) \tag{5}
\]

where \( \odot \) stands for Hadamard product, and \( \mathbf{b}(f_s) \) and \( \mathbf{d}(f_s) \) can be given by

\[
\mathbf{b}(f_s) = \left[\mathbf{w}_1^H \mathbf{a}_1(f_s), \ldots, \mathbf{w}_K^H \mathbf{a}_K(f_s)\right]^T, \tag{6}
\]

\[
\mathbf{d}(f_s) = \left[e^{-j\delta_1(f_s)}, \ldots, e^{-j\delta_K(f_s)}\right]^T \tag{7}
\]

where \([\cdot]^H\) denotes the conjugate transpose operation; \( \mathbf{w}_k \) and \( \mathbf{a}_k(f_s), k = 1, \ldots, K \) are the beamforming weight vector and the spatial steering vector corresponding to the active elements of the \( k \)th subarray,
respectively. $\delta_k(f_s)$ is a phase term that indicates the time required for the wave to travel across the spatial displacement between the first antenna of the transmit array and the first antenna of the $k$th subarray [1]. Finally, the space-time steering vector of the airborne Phased-MIMO radar can be given by

$$v = v_D(f_d) \otimes v_T(f_s) \otimes v_R(f_s)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $\otimes$ denotes the Kronecker product. For the equal uniform linear subarrays that are fully overlapped, we have

$$a_1(f_s) = \ldots = a_K(f_s) = \left[1, e^{j2\pi\gamma f_s}, \ldots, e^{j2\pi\gamma(M_K-1)f_s}\right]^T.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

And we only consider non-adaptive beamforming for subarray here due to the space limitation. So the weight vectors of those subarrays are set as

$$w_1 = \ldots = w_K = a_K(f_s).$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Then, $b(f_s)$ can be particularly rewritten as

$$b(f_s) = a_H^K(f_s) a_K(f_s) [1, \ldots, 1]^T = M_K [1, \ldots, 1]^T.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

We assume that $N_c$ clutter patches are uniformly distributed in each iso-range ring and independent with one another. The spatial frequency and the normalized Doppler frequency of the $i$th clutter patch are denoted as $f_{s,i}$ and $f_{d,i}$, respectively. Ignoring the range ambiguities, the clutter echo $c$ can be modeled as the summation of these independent clutter patches, which can be expressed as

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \sigma_{c,i} v_D(f_{d,i}) \otimes v_T(f_{s,i}) \otimes v_R(f_{s,i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \sigma_{c,i} v_{c,i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $\sigma_{c,i}$ and $v_{c,i}$ denote the complex amplitude and the space-time steering vector of the $i$th clutter patch, respectively. The clutter covariance matrix (CCM) $R_c$ can be given as [2]

$$R_c = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \sigma_{c,i}^2 v_{c,i} v_{c,i}^H$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $\sigma_{c,i}^2$ is the power of the $i$th clutter patch. The noise covariance matrix $R_n$ can be described as

$$R_n = \sigma_n^2 I_{NKP}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $\sigma_n^2$ is the noise power, and $I_{NKP}$ is an identity matrix with size of $NKP \times NKP$.

In addition, supposing that the spatial frequency and normalized Doppler frequency of the target are $f_{s,t}$ and $f_{d,t}$, respectively, the target signal can be written by

$$s = \sigma_t v_D(f_{d,t}) \otimes v_T(f_{s,t}) \otimes v_R(f_{s,t}) = \sigma_t v_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $\sigma_t$ and $v_t$ denote the complex amplitude and space-time steering vector of target, respectively. Then, the output SINR can be expressed as

$$\text{SINR} = \frac{|w^H s|^2}{w^H R_u w}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $w$ is the $NKP \times 1$ weight vector for STAP filter, and $R_u = R_c + R_n$ is the clutter plus noise covariance.

3. DERIVATION OF PARTITIONING SCHEME

Note that when $w = \kappa R_u^{-1} s$ where $\kappa$ is an arbitrary scalar that does not alter the output SINR, expression (16) can achieve its optimum that is denoted as $\text{SINR}_{\text{opt}}$ and given by [4]

$$\text{SINR} \leq \text{SINR}_{\text{opt}} = s^H R_u^{-1} s.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)
Furthermore, according to the perspective of SINR-loss in the STAP application, SINR in the background of clutter plus noise is less than or equal to the one in the background of only noise [4]. Then, we can see that

$$\text{SINR}_{\text{opt-n}} = s^H R_n^{-1} s = \frac{s^H s}{\sigma_n^2} = \frac{\sigma_n^2}{\sigma_n^2} NKP M_P^2 K = \frac{\sigma_n^2}{\sigma_n^2} NKP (M - K + 1)^2 \geq \text{SINR}_{\text{opt}}$$

(18)

where $\text{SINR}_{\text{opt-n}}$ denotes the optimum SINR in the background of only noise. It is clear that expression (18) has a closed form with respect to the parameter $K$ (i.e., the number of subarrays). Thus, it is possible to find an optimum value of $K$ that is able to make $\text{SINR}_{\text{opt-n}}$ achieve its maximum. That is to say, it is possible to find a deterministic partitioning scheme to achieve the maximum STAP SINR based on Eq. (18), which can be seen as an optimization problem as follows

$$\max \quad f(K) = NK P (M - K + 1)^2$$

s.t. $K \in \mathbb{N}^+, 1 \leq K \leq M$.

(19)

In order to solve this optimization problem, we first define a continuous differentiable function $f(K')$, which is given by

$$f(K') = NK' P (M - K' + 1)^2$$

$$K' \in \mathbb{R}^+, 1 \leq K' \leq M$$

(20)

where $\mathbb{R}^+$ denotes the set of positive real number. Then, we can find the extreme points of $f(K')$ by solving the equation

$$\frac{df(K')}{dK'} = NP(M + 1 - 3K')(M + 1 - K') = 0$$

(21)

where $\frac{df(K')}{dK'}$ means taking the derivative of $f(K')$ with respect to $K'$. Obviously, the two solutions of Equation (21) are $K'_1 = (M + 1)/3$ and $K'_2 = M + 1$. But in view of the constraint in Equation (20), the solution $K'_2 > M$ should be discarded since it does not fall into the definition domain of $K'$. In contrast, the solution $K'_1$ would be located in the definition domain of $K'$ as long as $M > 1$. Moreover, we can see that the second-order derivative of $f(K')$ with respect to $K'$ is existent. We can further obtain the value of the second-order derivative at point $K'_1$, which is given by

$$\left. \frac{d^2 f(K')}{dK'^2} \right|_{K' = K'_1} = -2NP(M + 1) < 0.$$  

(22)

Based on Eq. (22), it is shown that the value of the second-order derivative at point $K'_1$ is less than zero, which illustrates that $K'_1$ is a local maximum point of $f(K')$ in the continuous domain $1 < K' < M$. Furthermore, it is noted that

$$f(K' = K'_1) > f(K' = 1) > f(K' = M)$$

(23)

when $M > 1$. We can see that the function value at $K'_1$ is larger than or equal to the function values at the boundary points of the definition domain. Hence, $K'_1$ can be seen as the global maximum point of $f(K')$ in the whole domain $1 \leq K' \leq M$ when $M > 1$. Furthermore, when $M = 1$, $K' = 1$ is actually the only point of $f(K')$, which is still reasonable to be seen as the global maximum point.

Note that $f(K)$ has the same formulation with $f(K')$ except for the different definition domains of function variables. Hence, we can derive the global maximum point of $f(K)$ through rounding $K'_1$ to the nearest integer, since $K'_1$ may be a decimal number that is not included in the definition domain of $K$. In other words, the optimum number of subarrays corresponding to the maximum SINR can be computed by

$$K_{\text{max}} = \text{round}(K'_1) = \text{round}\left(\frac{M + 1}{3}\right)$$

(24)

where $\text{round}(\cdot)$ denotes the rounding operation. In summary, for achieving a maximum STAP SINR, an effective array partitioning scheme of the airborne Phased-MIMO radar with collocated ULA is to divide the transmitting array into $K_{\text{max}}$ fully overlapped subarrays, each with $M - K_{\text{max}} + 1$ elements. Though the above deduction is carried out in the background of only noise, the derived formula is still reasonable to be applied in the background of clutter plus noise owing to the aforementioned concept of SINR-loss in the STAP application, as described in Eq. (18). This result can be verified as follows.
4. SIMULATIONS

In the simulations, we set $\gamma = 1$, $\lambda = 0.23 \text{ m}$, $v = 140 \text{ m/s}$, $T = 0.41 \text{ ms}$, then $\beta = 1$. There are $N_c = 180$ clutter patches uniformly distributed in the forward area of the antenna array. The noise power is normalized to 0 dB, and the clutter-to-noise ratio (CNR) is 30 dB. The spatial frequency, normalized Doppler frequency and power of target are supposed to be $f_{s,t} = 0$, $f_{d,t} = -0.25$ and 10 dB, respectively. The number of pulses in a CPI is $P = 8$.

The optimum SINR performances versus the normalized Doppler frequencies for different numbers of subarrays with $M = N = 5$, $M = N = 6$ and $M = N = 7$, which are computed according to Eq. (17), are shown in Fig. 1, Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, respectively. From Fig. 1, we can observe that the best SINR performance is obtained at $K = 2$. Meanwhile, we can see that $K_{\text{max}} = K_1' = 2$ when $M = 5$ according to Eq. (24), which is coincident with the results in Fig. 1. Moreover, the highest SINR level in Fig. 2 is also reached at $K = 2$ while $K_1' = 2.33$ and $K_{\text{max}} = 2$ when $M = 6$. Note that the highest SINR in Fig. 2 is just slightly higher than that at $K = 3$ while the best SINR in Fig. 1 is obviously larger than the others. It is because $K_{\text{max}} = 2$ is not the true solution of Eq. (21) when $M = 6$ but just a rounding approximation that is more close to the optimum value of $K_1' = 2.33$ than $K = 3$. At last, in Fig. 3, the partitioning scheme for maximum SINR is changed to $K = 3$ in the condition of $M = 7$ while $K_1' = 2.67$ and $K_{\text{max}} = 3$, since $K = 3$ is more adjacent to $K_1'$ than $K = 2$. The results in Fig. 3 are still identical with formula (24).

![Figure 1](image1.png)  ![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 1.** Optimum SINR performances versus the normalized Doppler frequencies for different numbers of subarrays with $M = N = 5$.

**Figure 2.** Optimum SINR performances versus the normalized Doppler frequencies for different numbers of subarrays with $M = N = 6$.

In addition, seen from Fig. 1 to Fig. 3, the worst SINR performances always appear at $K = M$. It is because Phased-MIMO radar would be transformed into MIMO radar when $K = M$, and the coherent gain of phased array radar is completely lost. Furthermore, though Phased-MIMO radar would be transformed into phased array radar and the coherent gain would reach the maximum at $K = 1$, the SINR levels do not reach the maximums in this case, as shown in these figures. It is because phased array radar is unable to combine the transmitting and receiving arrays into a larger virtual array for SINR improvement due to the lack of waveform diversity property of MIMO radar. Therefore, the Phased-MIMO radar with the proposed partitioning scheme can provide a significant tradeoff between the coherent gain and the waveform diversity to maximize the STAP SINR performance.

Furthermore, setting the probability of false alarm (Pfa) to $10^{-4}$, we carry out 100 Monte Carlo simulations to get an average performance for the probability of detection (Pd). Fig. 4, Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 show Pd curves versus signal-to-clutter-ratio (SCR) for the cases of $M = N = 5$, $M = N = 6$ and $M = N = 7$, respectively. It is clear that the best Pd performance is achieved when the array is partitioned according to the proposed scheme in Eq. (24). Additionally, compared with the results
depicted in Fig. 1 to Fig. 3, the descending order and spaces for the Pd performance degradation associated with different values of $K$ are also coincident with the descending order and spaces for the SINR performance degradation associated with different values of $K$.

5. CONCLUSION

In this letter, we have considered the issue of array partitioning scheme of airborne Phased-MIMO radar with collocated ULA for maximum STAP SINR. Particularly, based on STAP application, we mainly investigate the array partitioning scheme of the airborne Phased-MIMO radar with equal uniform linear subarrays that are fully overlapped. A mathematical formula is derived to determine the number of subarrays and the elements in each subarray according to the principle of maximum STAP SINR. The SINR performances for different numbers of subarrays are simulated and analyzed. The simulations demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed mathematical formula for array partitioning in the sense of maximum STAP SINR. It is also shown that the proposed partitioning scheme provides a remarkable tradeoff between the coherent gain of phased array radar and the waveform diversity of MIMO radar.
to achieve a maximum STAP SINR. In the future, we could further study the partitioning scheme using adaptive beamforming for subarrays. Additionally, except for SINR, research on the relationships between some other STAP performances and the partitioning scheme of airborne Phased-MIMO radar might be an interesting work too.
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