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Abstract—Energy-efficient transmission is fast becoming a critical factor in designing future mobile broadband cellular communication systems. This research work examines the constraints with regard to the achievable throughput and energy efficiency that can be attained on the use of precoding-based massive MIMO systems, bearing in mind the effect of some key performance impacting parameters. We first introduced an absolute energy efficiency-based model to evaluate the deep-down relationship among the packet length, the Bit error rate (BER) and throughput. Then, by means of simulation with cyclic coordinated search algorithm, optimal achievable throughput and energy efficiency performance have been shown and demonstrated for variable capacity of users and number of transmission antennas. This work is expected to be of enormous importance to practical system design on the use of massive MIMO antenna technology for data throughput and energy efficiency maximization in future 5G systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

The past few decades have been marked by a rapidly growing mobile cellular communication industry, globally. Presently, according to reports, the number of mobile telephony and wireless network service subscriptions are estimated to be more than four billion people worldwide. This in turn has led to the deployment and proliferation of Base Stations (BSs) transceivers everywhere, to serve mobile network subscribers. These BSs consume a lot of power due to large traffic volume of calls and data packets transmission in the cellular networks. According to a report in [1], it is predicted that by year 2020, telecommunication infrastructure and mobile networks alone would account for approximately 25% of CO2 gas emissions from the ICT sector. Evidently, high power consumption and operational energy efficiency cost problems in the mobile networks and their BS infrastructure are becoming major problems for the governments, telecom industries, and scientific research communities at large. This situation explains, in a way, why ideas like “green radio communications concept” have arisen in recent time, as clearly seen in [2–5]. The use of massive multiple input multiple output (Ma-MIMO) systems, virtual MIMO systems, white spaces and adaptive resource management techniques are some of the envisioned ways to significantly reduce energy consumption in mobile cellular networks in literature.

In [6], an iterative algorithm, which provides a means of determining the optimal packet size in wireless sensor networks (WSN), is presented, utilizing accurate link and lightweight estimation method. The authors in [7] adapt the packet size to enhance efficient code dissemination in WSN, using 1-hop link quality approach. Also, the authors in [8] and [9] present a packet size adaptation for performance enhancement of WSNs method, but exploiting source authentication and error estimation scheme for code dissemination, respectively. A transmit power optimization algorithm is proposed in [10], for
WSNs which utilizes space diversity. The authors aim to ascertain the smallest amount of power that is required to attain the desired BER target. This is to save energy and at the same time reduce interference in WSNs. By means of maximal ratio combining (MRC) with space diversity, the authors discover that the required transmit power can be reduced. Evidently, these previous works were all directed toward enhancing energy efficiency in low-power WSNs where energy or power consumption was of less concern than cellular networks with high percentage of energy consumption.

In [11], a fast link adaptation based method which uses both bit error rate (BER) and packet error rate (PER) quality of service constraints was investigated to enhance the throughput performance of MIMO-OFDM systems. In [12], the authors studied the impact of transmission power, channel width, modulation and coding scheme on the total energy consumption in 802.11n. However, these works were solely within the structure of WLANs.

In [13], radio resource management algorithm is proposed to conserve energy efficiency in heterogeneous networks. The algorithms provided means of determining optimal traffic allocation for 2G/3G networks considering low or high traffic scenarios. Heterogeneous networks settings are also presented in [14], wherein the authors presented a different power consumption model which takes account the back haul power for energy efficiency analysis of different cellular base stations scenarios. The authors in [15] presented a power consumption model to study and compare SISO and MIMO systems for different wireless access networks, as a function of their range, power consumption, and energy efficiency, using suburban area setting. The authors also presented some substantial analysis to ascertain the best technology among WiMAX, HSPA and LTE, in terms power consumption compared to wired access networks. In our previous work [16], the influence of nonlinear and linear precoding schemes on the achievable data throughput and energy efficiency was investigated and quantified in a single-cell downlink M-MIMO systems.

This work proposes a methodology to typify the limitations regarding the achievable throughput and energy efficiency in Ma-MIMO systems, as a function of (a) the number of users, (b) the number transmission antennas, (c) different bit error rates, and (d) different packet lengths. To do so, an absolute energy efficiency-based model has been introduced and studied through simulation using the cyclic coordinated search algorithm. This has enabled us to determine the optimal achievable throughput and energy efficiency can be achieved for variable capacity of users and number of transmission antennas.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. System Model

Notation: We employ bold letters to indicate matrices (vectors). The superscripts $H$ represent conjugate-transpose; the mathematical operators, $tr(.)$ and $E[.]$, denote trace and statistical expectation of a matrix, respectively.

As illustrated in Figure 1, we consider a Ma-MIMO transmission system model which has a single-cell Base Station (BS) to serve $K$-user equipment (EU) terminals via a flat fading channel. Let $H \in C^{Nt \times Nr}$ designate Hermitian channel matrix, with $Nt$ and $Nr$ being the BS transmission antennas and EU reception antennas, respectively. The received complex signal vector at the EU can be defined by:

$$y = H^H x + n$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where, $y \in C^{Nr \times 1}$, $x \in C^{Nt \times 1}$ denotes the transmitted signal vector, and $n \sim CN(0, \sigma^2 I)$ is the Gaussian noise vector, with $CN(0, \sigma^2)$ and $I$ representing complex Gaussian noise and the identity matrix, respectively.

The transmitted signal vector $x$, can be defined as:

$$x = \sum_{k}^{K} g_k s_k = G s$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

with symbol vector $s \sim CN(0, I_k)$ and precoding matrix $G = [g_1, \ldots, g_k] \in C^{Nt \times k}$. Now, assuming that the BS transmitter is power constrained, $P_{TX} > 0$, then the constraint:

$$tr \left( E[xx^H] \right) = tr(GG^H) \leq P_{TX}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)
Also, \( E[(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{n}^H)] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} \), with \( \sigma^2 \) being the noise variance. Thus, the mean equivalent signal-to-noise (SNR) available at the receiver can be written as \( \text{SNR} = \frac{P_{\text{TX}}}{\sigma^2} = \rho \).

Now, let \( y_k \) and \( s_k \) denote the \( k \)th elements of \( N_r \times 1 \) vectors \( y \) and \( s \), respectively. Accordingly, \( y_k \) of user \( k \) can be written as:

\[
y_k = \sqrt{p_k} \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{g}_k s_k + \sqrt{p_j} \sum_{j=1,j\neq k}^{K} \mathbf{h}_j \mathbf{g}_j x_j + n \tag{4}
\]

where \( \sqrt{p_k} \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{g}_k s_k \) and \( \sqrt{p_j} \sum_{j=1,j\neq k}^{K} \mathbf{h}_j \mathbf{g}_j x_j \) are the desired signal and interference signal, respectively.

The signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR) of user \( k \) can be expressed as:

\[
\text{SINR}_k = \frac{p_k |\mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{g}_k|^2}{1 + p_k \sum_{j=1,j\neq k}^{K} |\mathbf{h}_j \mathbf{g}_j|^2} \tag{5}
\]

One key technique to cancel or reduce inter-users interference and maximize desired signal of user \( k \) over the communication channel is by means of precoding. In this work, we employ the Zero forcing (ZF) precoding; it is expressed as [17]:

\[
\mathbf{G}_{ZF} = \frac{1}{\beta} (\mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^H)^{-1} \mathbf{H}^H \tag{6}
\]

where \( \beta = \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}^H)}{P_{\text{TX}}}} \) and \( \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{H}^H (\mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^H)^{-1} \).

Thus, with ZF precoding, SINR of user \( k \) in Equation (5) can be rewritten as:

\[
\text{SINR}_k = \frac{\frac{1}{\beta} |\mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{H}^H \mathbf{g}_k|^2}{1 + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \sum_{j=1,j\neq k}^{K} |\mathbf{h}_j \mathbf{H}^H \mathbf{g}_j|^2} \tag{7}
\]
Now, from the general Shannon theorem, the throughput capacity via the Gaussian noise communication channel is defined by:

\[ T_c = \log_2 (1 + SNR) \]  

Accordingly, the achievable throughput of \( K \)th user can be modeled as:

\[ T_k = \sum_{k=1}^{K} E \left[ \log_2 (1 + SINR_k) \right] \]

\[ T_k = \sum_{k=1}^{K} E \left[ \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta^2} |h_k H^H g_k|^2 \right) \right] \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \sum_{j=1, j\neq k}^{K} |h_k H^H g_j|^2 \right) \]

### 2.2. Power Model

There are several power consumption models in literature for appraising energy efficiency of cellular BSs. A key and most detailed one among them is that which was developed for 'Energy Aware Radio and Network Technologies', and it is commonly referred to as the EARTH project model [15, 18] and expressed in Equation (10) by:

\[ P_{BS} = N_{TRX} \left[ \frac{P_{TX}/\eta_{PA}(1 - \sigma_{feed}) + P_{RF} + P_{BB}}{(1 - \sigma_{DC})(1 - \sigma_{MS})(1 - \sigma_{cool})} \right] \]

where \( \sigma_{MS}, \sigma_{DC}, \sigma_{MS}, \sigma_{cool}, \) and \( \sigma_{feed} \) represent the losses incurred by main supply, DC-DC power supply, cooling and the feeder, respectively. \( P_{TX}, P_{BB} \) and \( P_{RF} \) denote the antenna transmission power, baseband power and the radio frequency power, respectively. \( N_{TRX} \) and \( \eta_{PA} \) denote the number of transceiver and power efficiency of the amplifier, respectively.

It was further shown in some previous works (e.g., State-Of-The-Art (SotA) [17], Market 2014 [19], Improved DTX [20] and Future Model [21]) that the BS power consumption or supply can be approximated by linear function of the transmission power. In this work, we concentrate on the Future Model, and it is summarised in Equation (11) by:

\[ P_{supply} = \begin{cases} 
P_0 + mP_{TX} & \text{if } 0 < P_{TX} \leq P_{max} \\
P_s & \text{if } P_{TX} = 0 
\end{cases} \]

where \( m \) indicates load of trajectory, and it measures the load dependence of the BS transmitter. \( P_{TX} \) and \( P_{max} \) denote the transmit power and the maximum transmit power of the BS. \( P_s \) accounts for when the BS is not transmitting or in stand-by mode.

### 2.3. Energy Efficiency Model

Based on [22, 23], we consider an Absolute Energy Efficiency (AEE) model:

\[ AEE = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\text{Power/Bit Rate}}{(K_c T_a \ln 2)} \right) \]

where \( K_c \) and \( T_a \) denote the Boltzmann constant in \( J/K \) and absolute temperature in kelvin, respectively. The smaller the value of AEE is, the better of the achieved energy efficiency is.

Achievable throughput is related to Bit Rate by:

\[ \text{Throughput} = (1 - BER)^L \text{Bit Rate} \]

Equation (13) also implies that:

\[ \text{Bit Rate} = \frac{(1 - BER)^L}{\text{Throughput}} \]

Thus, with Equation (13), the AEE expression in Eq. (12) can be rewritten as:

\[ AEE = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\text{Power}(1 - BER)^L}{\text{Throughput} (K T \ln 2)} \right) \]
where BER is the bit error rate, and it can be linked to packet error rate (PER) by
\[ \text{PER} = 1 - (1 - \text{BER})^L, \]
where \( L \) is the packet length in bits.

By considering the achievable throughput of Equation (9) and the power consumption model of Equation (11) into Equation (15), respectively, the AEE can be reformulated as:
\[
\text{AEE} = \log_{10} \left( \frac{(P_0 + m P_{TX})(1 - \text{BER})^L}{T_f (K_c T_a \ln 2)} \right)
\]
(16)

Our next focus is to determine the maximal achievable throughput and minimized energy consumption that obtained by adapting the antenna transmission number for variable capacity of users, different packet lengths and the bit error rates. This is the same as minimizing the total energy consumption for every successfully transmitted data stream. Thus, our problem can be conveyed as:

Minimise: \( \text{AEE}(\text{BER}, P_k, T_k) \)

Subject to: \( (1 - \text{PER}) = (1 - \text{BER})^L \)
\[
T_k \geq T_{\text{max}} \\
P_k \geq P_{\text{TX}_{\text{max}}}
\]

where \( T_k \) and \( T_{\text{max}} \) denote the achievable throughput and maximum achievable sum throughput, and \( P_{\text{TX}_{\text{max}}} \) is the maximum transmit power of the BS.

Notice that the optimisation problem above is related to convex optimisation problem in [24], which can be solved iteratively by using our optimal coordinated search algorithm as described in 1.

### Algorithm 1: Optimal Coordinated Search Algorithm

1. **Inputs:** \( T, P_0, m, P_{\text{TX}_{\text{max}}}, T_c, K_a, L, N_t; \)
2. choose \( T_k \geq T_{\text{target}}, \) set \( \text{AEE}_e = 0; \)
3. **While** \( \text{AEE}_e \geq 0 \) **do**
   4. Iterations \( i \geq 1, i \in k = \{1, \ldots, K\}; \)
   5. Calculate \( P_k^{(i+1)} = P_k^{(*)} (T_k^{(i)}); \)
   6. Calculate \( T_k^{(i+1)} = T_k^{(*)} P_k^{(i)}; \)
   7. Calculate \( \text{AEE} = \text{AEE} (T_k, P^*) \) using Equation (13);
4. **end while**
5. **Outputs:** \( \text{AEE}^* \)

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the simulation results related to achievable throughput and energy efficiency as a function of number of users, number transmission antennas, bit error rates, and packet lengths. All our simulation results are average over 1000 interactions and the values of the simulation parameters trails of the frameworks in [25–28]. A lower AEE implies a more energy efficient system. In Figures 2 and 3, the plots of throughput and AEE versus SNR are displayed at different antenna numbers, \( N_t \). It is obvious from the plotted figures that better throughput and AEE can be achieved if the transmission SNRs are boosted. The plotted figures also reveal that the better system performance can be attained in terms of data transmission quality and energy efficiency if the base stations transceivers are equipped with more transmission antennas.

Figures 4 and 5 display how the throughput and AEE are impacted with increasing number of users, \( K \) and at different numbers of antennas. The results indicate that the system performance first improves and later starts degrading as the number of users approaches the number of transmission antennas. This is because an increasing user number means growing number of EU terminals, higher intra-cell interference and higher total power consumption. The resultant of this phenomenon is lower data transmission rate and poor energy efficiency. However, as the number of transmission antennas is
Figure 2. Achieved throughput versus SNR at different antenna transmission number.

Figure 3. Achieved AEE versus SNR at different antenna transmission number.

Figure 4. Optimal throughput as a function of varied $k$-users for $Nt = 100$ and 200.

Figure 5. Optimal AEE as a function of varied $k$-users for $Nt = 100$ and 200.

raised from 100 to 200, the optimal throughput and AEE improves by about 30% and 35%, respectively. Again, this shows that better optimal performance achievement can be attained if more antennas are deployed at the base station transceiver. Nevertheless, there should be a harmonization between the number of users that the system network can accommodate and the base station antenna resources.

Figures 6 and 7 also display plots of AEE performance versus increasing number of users, but at different bit error rates. Figure 6 shows that at 0.003 BER channel quality, the optimal AEE attains 207, but at 0.002 and 0.001 BER values, the optimal AEE improves to 203 and 197, respectively. In another effort, Figure 7 shows AEE performance for different BER values using probability function plot. From this figure, it is clear that AEE performance degrades with increasing BER, thus confirming that environmental channel condition greatly impacts data transmission throughput and energy efficiency negatively. This is because an increase in bit error during poor channel condition stimulates increase in packet losses and consequently, leading to high consumption of energy. Thus, a tradeoff exists between BER channel quality and transmitting energy.

The displayed results in Figures 8 and 9 relate how packet length impact the energy efficiency within decreasing number of antennas. Specifically, by means of cumulative probability plot, Figure 9 displays the optimal AEE that can be achieved when the antenna number is varied at different packet
lengths. For $L = 500$, the optimal AEE achieves 190. But, for higher packet lengths of $L = 1000$ and 1500, the optimal AEE values improve to 178 and 160 respectively. This performance is a clear indication that larger packet lengths are more energy efficient than lower ones. This can be ascribed to lesser payload data overheads with longer packet lengths.

4. CONCLUSION

Energy efficiency is increasingly becoming an essential indicator for evaluating the performance of wireless broadband communication systems, owing to high power consumption at the mobile user equipment (UE) terminals and their supporting backbone base station transceivers.

This research work studies the constraints with respect to the achievable throughput and energy efficiency that can be attained on the use of precoding-based massive MIMO systems, bearing in mind the effect of some key performance impacting parameters. First, we introduced an absolute energy efficiency-based model to evaluate the deep-down relationship among the packet length, the bit error rate (BER) and throughput. Then, simulation and analysis of the optimal achievable throughput and energy efficiency are presented for variable capacity of users and number of transmission antennas, using the cyclic coordinated search algorithm.
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