Abstract—Air-gap magnetic energy variation with angular position produces cogging torque, which may result in mechanical vibration, acoustic noise, and torque ripple. Various cogging reduction methods of design modifications viz. skewed magnets, skewed slot, asymmetrical displacement of magnets/slots, etc. are reported in the literature. All such methods adversely affect machine performance in terms of air-gap magnetic field, back emf, and induced voltage. This paper introduces the cogging torque reduction by skewing of slot opening. In order to obtain machine performance, the no load magnetic field of the proposed machine is determined using combined methods of two-dimensional subdomain analytical analysis method and multislice method. The machine is considered as a stack of slices along axial direction. The adjacent slices differ in relative location of slot openings. The analytical field solution of each slice is obtained by use of subdomain method, and algebraic summation of slices is taken as field solution of actual machine. The analytical analysis developed is compared with finite element analysis (FEA). The close agreement of analytical results with FEA results confirms the validation of analytical solution. Furthermore, the machine parameters viz. cogging torque, back emf, and induced voltage are evaluated analytically, and results are compared with FEA solution. To demonstrate the effect of skewed slot opening on machine’s performance, a machine of same rating without skewing of slot opening is investigated, and their performances are compared.

1. INTRODUCTION

The advent of permanent magnets with high energy density and linear demagnetization characteristic has attracted the electrical machine manufacturing industry towards development and design of permanent magnet (PM) machines. Additional advantages such as high power density, efficiency, power factor, torque capacity, and ease of PM machines control make them advantageous over other alternatives. Nowadays the PM machine drive application widely covers small as well as medium power applications ranging from domestic appliances for example, brushless dc (BLDC) motor for fan, blower, dryer, grinders applications, and many more to medium power utilizations such as electrical vehicle drives, wind power, and tidal wave energy generator. However, the cogging torque produced in PM machine is due to airgap magnetic energy variation with machine peripheral distance. Cogging torque is always a well-known problem of permanent magnet machine, which may cause mechanical vibration, acoustic noise, and torque ripple. Cogging torque significantly affects the machine performance and is severe in case of light load, low speed, and direct drive applications.

The interaction of permanent magnet flux and the permeance variation arises because of slots and tooth result in airgap magnetic energy variation with relative angular position of magnets and stator. This angular variation of airgap magnetic energy results in magnetic torque commonly known as cogging torque and is present even in absence of armature excitation. Cogging torque may cause mechanical vibration, acoustic noise, and torque ripple. These effects are significant and severe for
light load, low speed, and direct drive. Numerous design modifications and techniques are suggested in the literature, and all these can be broadly classified as either modification of stator slots or rotor side modification [1]. Stator side modifications include slots shifting [2], slot skewing [3, 4], teeth paring [5], teeth notching [6, 7], axillary tooth [4], axillary slots [4, 7], tooth shape variation, and slot opening variation [4]. Rotor side modifications involve magnet skewing [4], magnet shifting [2, 8], optimizing magnet pole arc to pole pitch ratio [8, 9], and machine made of different magnet pole arc [7]. Significant cogging torque reduction is achieved by modifying both sides viz. stator side modifications and rotor side modifications such as slot and pole number combination [4], and optimal choice of the width ratio of armature teeth to magnet pole arc [10]. These modifications enhance design complications and manufacturing cost. Furthermore, airgap magnetic field density gets modified, which adversely reduces the magnetic flux linkage, electromotive back emf, induced emf, and average torque of the machine.

This paper introduces a new method of cogging torque reduction in radial flux permanent magnet machines. The advantage of this method is that it does not compromise the machine’s performance. The cogging torque reduction is achieved by skewing of slot opening. The slot opening is skewed over the slot width. The skewed slot opening is as shown in Fig. 2. Due to skewed slot opening, the airgap magnetic field is distorted, which results in machine performance deviations from unskewed one. However, the magnetic position of armature coil is kept undisturbed. Hence, the machines’s performance deviates very insignificantly from unskewed machine. In order to obtain machine performance, the no-load magnetic field of the proposed machine is determined by combined methods of two-dimensional subdomain analytical analysis and multislice method along axial direction. The field solution is obtained by the use of superposition of solution of magnetic field for each slice. The developed analytical results are compared with finite element analysis (FEA). The close agreement of analytical results with FEA results confirms the validation of analytical solution. Furthermore, the machine parameters viz. cogging torque, back emf, and induced voltage are evaluated analytically, and results are compared with FEA solution. To demonstrate the effect of skewed slot opening on machine’s performance, a machine of same rating without skewing of slot opening is investigated, and their performances are compared.

2. ANALYTICAL MODELING OF PERMANENT MAGNET MACHINE WITH SKewed SLOT OPENING

The permanent magnet machine with skewed slot openings is shown in Fig. 1. The stator core of PM machines with skewed slot openings is considered as an axial stack of stator laminated core with gradual variation of slot openings along the axial direction. The slot opening at one end of the machine is at beginning of the slot, while for the other end of the machine the opening is at the other end of the same slot. The possible angular variation of slot opening is \( \alpha_{sk} = \beta_o - \alpha_o \), where \( \alpha_o \) and \( \beta_o \) are angular slot opening and slot width.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** Permanent magnet machine with skewed slot openings, (a) stator with skewed opening, (b) cross sectional view of machine.
2.1. No Load Magnetic Field Distributions in Permanent Magnet Machine with Skewed Slot Opening

The analytical model is developed by combined use of multi-slices method and two-dimensional subdomain analysis. Following assumptions are made to establish the analytical solution

(i) Machine axial length is assumed to be infinite, and hence end effects are ignored.
(ii) The permeability of stator and rotor back iron is infinite.
(iii) The demagnetization characteristics of magnet are linear.
(iv) Magnetic coupling between adjacent slices is ignored.

The slot opening of each slice is at a different location. Taking center slice as a reference, the actual machine is modeled by considering infinitesimally small thick slice $dz$ located at $z$. The angular shift of the slot opening of this slice caused by skewing of slot opening is $\alpha_{oi} = (z/L)\alpha_{sk}$, where $L$ is axial length of machine. The angles $\alpha_i$ and $\beta_i$ are central angular positions of slot opening and slot corresponding to the $i$th slot, which is shown in Fig. 3 with respect to the reference coordinate, and are given as

$$\alpha_i = \frac{2i}{Q} \pi + \alpha_{oi}$$
$$\beta_i = \frac{2i}{Q} \pi$$

where $Q$ is the number of slots. The model shown in Fig. 3 is divided into four types of regions: region 1i ($i$th slot region), region 2i ($i$th slot opening region), region 3 (air-gap region), and region 4 (permanent magnet region). The governing equations in coordinate frame attached with stator and centered with machine, the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ in terms of magnetic vector potential $\mathbf{A}$, under consideration of Coulomb’s
Figure 4. Magnetization distribution of (a) radial component of radial magnetized magnet, (b) radial component, and (c) tangential components of parallel magnetized magnet.

gauge \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0 \) are given as

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^2 A_{1i}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial A_{1i}}{r \partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 A_{1i}}{r^2 \partial^2 \theta} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial^2 A_{2i}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial A_{2i}}{r \partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 A_{2i}}{r^2 \partial^2 \theta} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial^2 A_{3i}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial A_{3i}}{r \partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 A_{3i}}{r^2 \partial^2 \theta} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial^2 A_{4i}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial A_{4i}}{r \partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 A_{4i}}{r^2 \partial^2 \theta} &= -\frac{\mu_0}{r} \left( M_\theta - \frac{\partial M_r}{\partial \theta} \right)
\end{align*}
\]  

where \( \mu_0 \) is the air permeability. \( M_\theta \) and \( M_r \) are the radial and tangential components of the residual magnetization vector, respectively. The residual magnetization of radial and parallel magnetized magnets in stator coordinate frame are shown in Fig. 4, which are expressed in Fourier series expansion as [11]

\[
\begin{align*}
M_r &= \sum_{n=1,3,5,...}^{\infty} M_{rn} \cos(n(\theta + \theta_0)) \\
M_\theta &= \sum_{n=1,3,5,...}^{\infty} M_{\theta n} \sin(n(\theta - \theta_0))
\end{align*}
\]

where \( p \) is the number of pole-pairs, \( \theta_0 = \omega_r t - \theta_i, \omega_r \) the rotor angular speed, \( \theta_i \) the initial rotor position with respect to the reference slot, \( \theta_m \) the magnet pitch, \( \theta_p \) the pole pitch of machine, and \( \alpha_p = \theta_m/\theta_p \). The \( M_{rn} \) and \( M_{\theta n} \) for radial and parallel magnetized magnet are given in Eqs. (7) and (8) respectively.

\[
\begin{align*}
M_{rn} &= (4B_r p/\mu_0 n \pi) \sin(n \pi \alpha_p / 2p); \quad M_{\theta n} = 0; \quad \forall \frac{n}{p} = 1, 3, 5, \ldots
\end{align*}
\]

and,

\[
\begin{align*}
M_{rn} &= B_r \alpha_p (C_{1n} + C_{2n})/\mu_0; \quad M_{\theta n} = B_\theta \alpha_p (C_{1n} - C_{2n})/\mu_0; \quad \forall \frac{n}{p} = 1, 3, 5, \ldots
\end{align*}
\]

where \( C_{1n} \) and \( C_{2n} \) are given in Eq. (9).

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{1n} &= \frac{\sin \left( \frac{(np + 1) \alpha_p \pi}{2p} \right)}{(np + 1) \alpha_p \pi / 2p}; \quad C_{2n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } np = 1 \\ \sin \left( \frac{(np + 1) \alpha_p \pi}{2p} \right) / (np + 1) \alpha_p \pi / 2p & \text{for } np \neq 1 \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( B_r \) is the residual flux density of the magnet. The boundary conditions derived from Maxwell’s equation at the boundary interfaces are continuity of normal flux density \( B_n \) and absence of surface current density at boundary interface enforce for continuity of tangential \( H_t \). Moreover, the assumption
of infinite permeability allows flux to fall normally at stator and rotor iron core. Mathematically, these boundary conditions are summarized as

\[
B_1 \theta (r, \theta) |_{r=R_b} = 0; \quad B_{1ir} = B_{2ir} |_{r=R_s}; \quad B_{2ir} = B_{3r} |_{r=R_{so}}; \quad H_1 \theta = H_2 \theta |_{r=R_m}; \quad B_{1r} = B_{2r} |_{r=R_m}; \quad B_{1\theta} (\theta, z) |_{r=R_r} = 0
\]

\[
B_{1ir} (\theta, z) = 0; \quad \forall \theta \in \left\{ -\frac{\alpha_1}{2} + \beta_i, \frac{\alpha_2}{2} + \beta_i \right\} \quad B_{2ir} (\theta, z) = 0; \quad \forall \theta \in \left\{ -\frac{\alpha_1}{2} + \beta_i, \frac{\alpha_2}{2} + \beta_i \right\}
\]

\[
H_{2\theta} |_{r=R_s} = \begin{cases} H_{1\theta}; & \forall \theta \in \left\{ -\frac{\alpha_1}{2} + \beta_i, \frac{\alpha_2}{2} + \beta_i \right\} \\ 0; & \forall \theta \notin \left\{ -\frac{\alpha_1}{2} + \beta_i, \frac{\alpha_2}{2} + \beta_i \right\} \end{cases}
\]

Apply variable separable method for solving boundary valued problem. The general solutions to the governing equations, the vector potential in all regions are expressed as [11, 12]

\[
A_{1rz} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{1inz} \left( \frac{R_s}{R_b} \right)^{E_k} \left( r \frac{E_k}{R_b} \right) + \left( r \frac{E_k}{R_s} \right)^{-E_k} \times \cos E_k \left( \theta + \frac{\beta_0}{2} \right) \tag{11}
\]

\[
A_{2rz} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( a_{2inz} \left( r \frac{F_m}{R_s} \right) + b_{2inz} \left( r \frac{F_m}{R_{so}} \right)^{-F_m} \right) \times \cos F_m \left( \theta + \frac{\beta_0}{2} - \alpha_{so} \right) \tag{12}
\]

\[
A_{3rz} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( a_{3inz} \left( r \frac{R_{so}}{R_m} \right)^{n} + b_{3inz} \left( r \frac{R_{so}}{R_m} \right)^{-n} \right) \cos (n\theta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( c_{3inz} \left( r \frac{R_{so}}{R_m} \right)^{n} + d_{3inz} \left( r \frac{R_{so}}{R_m} \right)^{-n} \right) \sin (n\theta) \tag{13}
\]

\[
A_{4rz} = A_{4p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( a_{4inz} \left( r \frac{R_{m}}{R_r} \right)^{n} + b_{4inz} \left( r \frac{R_{m}}{R_r} \right)^{-n} \right) \cos (n\theta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( c_{4inz} \left( r \frac{R_{m}}{R_r} \right)^{n} + d_{4inz} \left( r \frac{R_{m}}{R_r} \right)^{-n} \right) \sin (n\theta) \tag{14}
\]

where \( A_{4p} \) is the particular solution of Eq. (5), and \( E_k = k\pi/\beta_0 \) and \( F_m = m\pi/\alpha_{so} \) are harmonics orders of magnetic field distributions in slot openings and slots regions. All coefficients involve in solutions: \( a_{1inz}, b_{1inz}, c_{1inz}, d_{1inz}, a_{2inz}, b_{2inz}, c_{2inz}, d_{2inz}, a_{3inz}, b_{3inz}, \) and \( a_{4inz} \) are evaluated with boundary conditions at interface between subdomain and well explained in papers [11, 12].

**2.2. Cogging Torque, Flux Linkage, and Induced Voltage Calculation**

Numerous analytical methods are developed to estimate the cogging torque in PM machines. Recent papers [13, 14] summarized and compared analytical methods for cogging torque calculation in surface mounted PM machines. The authors discussed pros and cons of analytical models for predicting the cogging torque in PM machines. They incorporated four analytical models: based on energy variation method, lateral force (LF), complex permeance (CP), exact subdomain (SD) models, and superposition technique of cogging torque. In energy variation based method, the cogging torque is calculated from airgap magnetic energy variation with the angular rotation. The assumption of no fluxes crossing slots, i.e., all fluxes are confined to tooth regions excludes the magnetic energy variation in the tooth [6], which results in discrepancy with cogging torque prediction. However, the LF method is based on the assumption that the magnetic flux lines have semicircular paths in the slots, and relative permeance is introduced [2]. For the calculation of cogging torque, the radial and tangential components of magnetic field are evaluated by the use of magnetic field densities in slotless machine, and slotting effects are further incorporated with relative permeance [2, 15]. This method accurately predicts radial flux density, and the discrepancy in tangential component arises due to the assumption made for flux lines in slots. The complex permeance (CP) method is based on the conformal mapping which transforms slotted machine into slotless machine, in order to predict airgap magnetic field density [16]. The cogging torque can be obtained by applying the Maxwell stress tensor on a circular path in the air gap [16–19]. However, complexity is increased as numerical iterative solution which is required for complex permeance function calculation. Moreover, the CP model assumes no shape deformations in the magnets and a circular path to predict the air-gap flux density. However, the deformations do occur when the slotted machine is mapped to the slotless machine [14]. Hence, the assumption results in errors in
the predicted air-gap flux density. Alternatively, in exact subdomain (SD) models, the field domain is divided into three types of SDs, viz., magnets, air gaps, and slots. The expression of field distribution in each SD is derived separately. The field solution is obtained by applying the boundary and interface conditions [20–22]. However, the subdomain model takes significantly long computational time, since it requires solving $M^2$ or $N_s^2M^2$ sets of equations, where $M$ is the harmonics order and $N_s$ the number of slots. Nevertheless, the complexity is reduced by the use of superposition technique [23, 24]. The supposition techniques evaluate the cogging torque produced in machine by superposition of cogging torque produced by individual slot of machine, with assumption of no mutual influence of slots. This assumption results in magnetic field as well as cogging torque prediction error. The exact SD model is the most accurate among all analytical models by accounting for mutual influence between slots. The obtained no-load magnetic field radial and tangential flux density in the air gap by the use of subdomain method is used for the cogging torque, flux linkage, and induced voltage. The cogging torque is calculated by the use of Maxwell stress tensor, and for infinitesimally small length (dz) machine, the cogging torque is expressed as

$$dt_{cog} = \frac{r^2dz}{\mu_o} \int_0^{2\pi} B_{3r}B_{3\theta}d\theta$$

(15)

where $B_{3r}$ and $B_{3\theta}$ are radial and tangential flux density components at PM surface. The total cogging developed in machine is calculated as integration of cogging torque produced due to elementary machine portion over its length.

$$T_{cog} = \int_{-L_a/2}^{L_a/2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{r^2}{\mu_o} B_{2r}B_{2\theta}d\theta dz$$

(16)

The flux linkage $\phi_i$ per phase is calculated as

$$\phi_i = \int_{-L_a/2}^{L_a/2} \int_i^1 \frac{N_cR_s}{a}B_{2r}d\theta dz$$

(17)

where $i = A, B, C$ represents the phases of the stator winding, $N_c$ the number of turns per phase, and $a$ the parallel-circuits per phase. The induced voltage per phase in the winding is evaluated by

$$E_i = -\frac{d\phi_i}{dt}$$

(18)

**Table 1.** Parameters of machines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of poles</td>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of Slots</td>
<td>$Q_s$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial Length</td>
<td>$L_a$</td>
<td>100 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor Radius</td>
<td>$R_r$</td>
<td>18 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnet Radius</td>
<td>$R_m$</td>
<td>22 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator Bore Radius</td>
<td>$R_s$</td>
<td>23 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slots Inner Radius</td>
<td>$R_s$</td>
<td>25.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slots Outer Radius</td>
<td>$R_s$</td>
<td>45 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slots Opening</td>
<td>$\alpha_o$</td>
<td>5$^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slots Width</td>
<td>$\beta_o$</td>
<td>30$^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening Skew</td>
<td>$\theta_{ok}$</td>
<td>25$^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remanent Magnetization</td>
<td>$B_r$</td>
<td>1.1 Tesla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Permeability</td>
<td>$\mu_r$</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. COMPARISON OF ANALYTICAL WITH FEA ANALYSIS

For the validation of the analytical model, a 3D FEM model of PM machine is simulated in Ansoft Maxwell. The machine dimensions given in Table 1 is used. The machine’s slot opening is skewed with an angle 25°. The slot opening angular position varies with axial length of machine, and hence their effects on the magnetic field are different. To evaluate the effects of slot opening displacement, the radial and tangential components of magnetic field density at different axial length: 1/4th and 1/2th, are obtained from analytical solution and compared with FEA results. These comparisons are

Figure 5. Comparison of $B_r$ and $B_t$ at $r = (R_m + R_s)/2$, and $Z = 25$ mm.

Figure 6. Comparison of $B_r$ and $B_t$ at $r = (R_m + R_s)/2$, and $Z = 50$ mm.

Figure 7. Effect of slot opening on radial flux density, and tangential flux density.
shown in Figs. 5(a), 5(b), 6(a), and 6(b). The resemblance of analytical and FEM results verify the correctness of analytical solution. Furthermore, the effect of skewed slot opening is realized by plotting the magnetic field densities at different axial lengths as shown in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b). The relative position of slot opening with respect to permanent magnet changes in machine along axial length, and its effects on radial flux density and tangential flux density are reflected in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b). This contributes to a phase displacement in cogging torque waveform produced by each slice and results in reduction of total cogging torque developed in machine. The same physics is responsible for cogging torque reduction in skewed slots permanent magnet machine. However, in skewed slots machine, the magnetic position of armature coil along axial length changes and hence, results in reduction in the flux linkage and induced voltage reduction. In order to elaborate advantages of the proposed method of cogging reduction, the performance of this machine and a machine with unskewed opening is obtained with the help of Ansoft Maxwell simulation. The parameters of unskewed slot opening machine are kept the same as the proposed machine’s parameters (Table 1) with the slot opening skewing angle $\theta_{ok}$ being zero. The performances of the proposed machine are evaluated and compared in Fig. 8. Further comparisons of performances of the proposed machine and the machine with unskewed slots are shown in Fig. 9.
4. CONCLUSION

A new method of cogging torque is proposed. To analyze the effect of this method on machine performance, an analytical model is developed. The analytical result is validated with FEM result, and further investigation suggests that 83% of cogging reduction in permanent magnet machine can be achieved by skewing of slot openings. Furthermore, the flux linkage and induced voltage reduction are insignificant for skewed openings machine. This method of cogging reduction is more advantageous than reported methods as the direct axes of rotor and stator are parallel to each other throughout machine's axial length for this machine. Hence, this design modification does not increase the complexity of machine control as it happens with other methods: skewed slots, skewed magnets, and asymmetrical displacement of slots/magnets for cogging reduction.

5. RECOMMENDATION

This machine can be used for light load and direct drive applications. For example, it is more suitable for servo application such as positioning motor. It is also useful in aerospace applications where the torque ripple is major concern.
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