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Abstract—In this paper the design and implementation of a patch antenna array using Dolph-Chebyshev current distribution operating in C-Band is demonstrated. The proposed novel omnidirectional triangular patch antenna array is a nonuniform array type with equal or uniform spacing between the antenna elements, but having the nonuniform amplitude excitation with Dolph-Chebyshev current distribution. Dolph-Chebyshev amplitude excitation suppresses the side lobes as well as the designed antenna works like an omnidirectional antenna. The proposed antenna array has a gain of 0.52 dB and return loss of $-35.0649$ dB which works as an omnidirectional antenna. This proposed antenna is suitable for C-band applications such as Wi-Fi devices, cordless phones, and keyless entry systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

In today’s wireless world, antennas have become the most important part of communication, in which microstrip patch antennas are being widely used due to their popularity. They have a thin profile, easy fabrication, shape flexibility unlike a slot antenna and dipole antenna, and can handle dual-frequency operation [1]. In the modern world where internet is needed mostly everywhere, more and more gadgets are made using microstrip patch antennas. Microstrip patch antennas are versatile and can be used for omnidirectional coverage, pencil beams and fan beams. They offer the decision of choosing linear polarization or circular polarization. Microstrip patch antennas are further combined to design antenna arrays which increase gain. An antenna array can be defined as a set of multiple single antennas and works as a single antenna to improve the gain. The larger the number of individual antenna elements is, the more the gain will be, and the narrower beam is achieved [2]. In linear antenna array, a uniform antenna array has uniform inter-element spacing as well as uniform current distribution to all antenna elements whereas a nonuniform antenna array has uniform inter-element spacing but nonuniform current distribution to all antenna elements. Binomial and Dolph-Chebyshev arrays are the different types of nonuniform antenna arrays. Binomial array has less directivity and lower number of side lobes, while Dolph-Chebyshev has good directivity as well as fewer side lobes [3–5]. Dolph-Chebyshev method is based on Chebyshev polynomial approximation for the pattern of the array. Now to distribute the output signal to the radiating antenna elements we need feed network. The effects of feed network are also very important in a high gain microstrip antenna array. There are different types of feeding techniques to improve the gain as well as directivity such as series, corporate and series-corporate, among which series-corporate feed has a higher directive gain than series and corporate feeding technique [6]. Now for an omnidirectional antenna we need to suppress side lobes. Side lobes represent unwanted radiation in undesired direction which results in wastage of energy and can cause interference to other equipments. One of the techniques for reducing the side lobes is by decreasing the distance between the
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two input ports while increasing the internal flares, which delays the occurrence of side lobes and keeping the return loss intact, i.e., below $-10 \, \text{dB}$ [7]. The authors [8] employed CSRR (Complementary Split Ring Resonator) which creates the interferometer pattern to reduce side lobes. The parasitic patches can also be used for reducing side lobes [9]. The authors in [10] have designed an omnidirectional antenna which has low side lobes. The authors in [11] have used a cylindrical patch for omnidirectional characteristics. Use of triangular antenna [12, 13] elements in an array leads to the reduction of side lobe level, i.e., side lobe suppression. Also triangular antenna elements have smaller dimensions than a rectangular structure, so it is widely used in the applications where compact antenna is required or by using evolutionary algorithms such as Firefly algorithm, self adaptive differential evolution method and biogeography based optimization method [14]. The authors in [15] have fed a patch antenna array with corporate feed with appropriate amplitude tapers. Each antenna element is fed with individual separate feedline for low side lobes. In this paper, a triangular nonuniform antenna array is used with Dolph-Chebyshev amplitude excitation with corporate feed and is applied to uniform spaced triangular patch antenna elements. The designed antenna array operates in C-band [16], i.e., 4 GHz–8 GHz. Amplitude excitations to all the patches are different according to Dolph-Chebyshev polynomials so as to reduce the side lobes. The impedances to top patches, bottom patches and middle patch are different. The orientation of the paper is such that in the second section the design of antenna element is discussed such as length and width of antenna element as well as substrate used. In the third section, the design of the antenna array is discussed. In the fourth section, simulated and measured results and comparison between them are presented. The last section of the paper concludes the proposed work.

2. THE ANTENNA ELEMENT

The proposed triangular patch is shown in Figure 1. The patch is designed on an FR4 EPOXY dielectric substrate with relative permittivity of $\gamma_r$ 4.4 and loss tangent ($\delta$) of 0.02 with thickness of 1.6 mm. The length ($L$) and width ($W$) of the patch are 14.9 and 16.8 mm, respectively. The slot length for inset feed is 4 mm while the width is 2.9 mm.

![Figure 1. Single C-band microstrip patch.](image)

The length and width of the patch antenna calculated using Equations (1), (2) and (3) are shown in Table 1.

\[
L = \frac{c}{2f_0\sqrt{\gamma_{\text{eff}}}} - 0.824h \left( \frac{\gamma_{\text{eff}} + 0.3}{h} + 0.264 \right) \left( \frac{W}{h} + 0.258 \right) \left( \frac{W}{h} + 0.8 \right)
\]

\[
W = \frac{c}{2f_0\sqrt{\gamma_r + 1}}
\]

(1)

(2)
\[
\gamma_{\text{eff}} = \frac{(\gamma_r) + 1}{2} + \frac{(\gamma_r) - 1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 12 \left( \frac{h}{W} \right)}} \right]
\]

(3)

where \( L \) and \( W \) are the length and width of the patch antenna, and the substrate relative permittivity and thickness are \( \gamma_r = 4.4 \) and \( h = 1.6 \text{ mm} \), respectively. The substrate relative permittivity and thickness are \( \gamma_r = 4.4 \) and \( h = 1.6 \text{ mm} \), respectively.

Table 1. Dimensions of the antenna element.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Dimension (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patch Length, ( L )</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch Width, ( W )</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna Substrate Thickness, ( t_a )</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot Length, ( Y_0 )</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot Width, ( Z_0 )</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. THE PROPOSED TRIANGULAR PATCH ANTENNA ARRAY

The proposed patch antenna array is shown in Figure 2. This patch array consists of 5 triangular microstrip patches and fed with nonuniform amplitude excitation with corporate feeding to reduce the side lobe level. It is designed on an FR4 EPOXY dielectric substrate with relative permittivity of \( \gamma_r = 4.4 \), loss tangent (\( \delta \)) of 0.02 and thickness of 1.6 mm. Dolph-Chebyshev amplitude excitation is applied to the patches. The bottom two patches have different feeding lines from top two and middle patches because we are using Dolph-Chebyshev amplitude excitation. The advantage of nonuniform array over uniform array is that it has low level side lobes. Binomial and Dolph-Chebyshev arrays are the different types of nonuniform antenna arrays, among which binomial array gives low level side lobes, and if the spacing between antenna elements is \( \lambda/2 \), it gives no side lobes with less gain and directivity, whereas Dolph-Chebyshev array has both good gain and directivity as well as good level of side lobe suppression. The microstrip patch antenna is designed to resonate in C-band, i.e., 4 GHz to 8 GHz. The length \( L \) and width \( W \) of the substrate are 60 mm and 51.8 mm, respectively. The impedance to top two patches

Figure 2. Proposed patch antenna array.
is 100 ohms, impedance to bottom two patches 87 ohms, and impedance to the middle patch 75 ohms. They are arranged in such a way that the resultant radiation pattern has the least side lobes. If we use an even array, i.e., even number of antenna elements, then there is no antenna element at the origin. On the other hand, if we use an odd array, an antenna element is present at the origin which is employed in our proposed antenna array.

4. SIMULATED AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The proposed antenna array is simulated on HFSS (High frequency Structure Simulator), and the results of designed antenna are verified on Vector Network Analyzer. Figure 3 shows that the designed antenna

![Figure 3. Simulated return loss of antenna element.](image)

![Figure 4. Current distribution.](image)
element resonates at 4.11 GHz and 5.68 GHz frequencies with return losses of $-15.16 \text{ dB}$ and $-11.59 \text{ dB}$, respectively, which is below $-10 \text{ dB}$ in line with antenna design requirements.

Figure 4 shows the current distribution of the patches and is different due to the difference in the feed line width. In uniform power distribution, the impedance to all the transmitting feed lines is same, whereas for Dolph-Chebyshev impedance to each transmitting feed line is different with a different width to the feed lines which can be seen in Figure 4.

Figure 5 shows the simulated return loss or $S_{11}$ parameter for the antenna array. The antenna array resonates at the frequency 5.8556 GHz and has the return loss of $-35.0649 \text{ dB}$ which is below $-10 \text{ dB}$. The working bandwidth is 90 MHz and ranges from 5.811 to 5.9 GHz.

Figure 6 shows the 3-D radiation pattern of the proposed antenna array which shows that there are minimized back lobe, least side lobes and a maximum gain of $-3 \text{ dB}$, and is almost similar to doughnut shape. It can be more optimized by increasing the substrate size, such that substrate is lambda/4 greater than the patch.

![Figure 5. Simulated return loss.](image)

![Figure 6. Radiation pattern (3-D).](image)
Figure 7 shows the two directional radiation patterns in $E$-plane and $H$-plane at the resonating frequency of 5.85 GHz and shows good omnidirectional radiation characteristics in horizontal plane.

![Radiation Pattern 2](image)

**Figure 7.** $E$-plane and $H$-plane radiation pattern.

Figure 8 shows the prototype of the designed antenna. A patch antenna array is realized by deploying it on an FR4 EPOXY substrate with relative permittivity of 4.4 and height of 1.6 mm. The measured results of return loss, bandwidth, Smith chart and experimental setup are plotted in Figures 9–12, respectively.

![Prototype of realized antenna array](image)

**Figure 8.** Prototype of realized antenna array.

Figure 9 shows the measured result of return loss. It can be seen that the realized patch antenna array resonates at frequency 5.85 GHz with $-13.21$ dB return loss compared to a simulated return loss of $-35.0649$ dB and resonating at frequency 5.85 GHz.

Smith chart of the realized prototype of the antenna array is shown in Figure 10. It shows the impedance of 38.57 Ω at 5.85 GHz frequency.

Figure 11 shows the comparison between the simulated and measured return losses which are $-35.0649$ and $-14.495$ dB, respectively. This difference between the results is due to the fabrication issues such as soldering, loss tangent and dielectric substrate.
Table 2 shows the difference between the simulated and measured parameters such as frequency, return loss, bandwidth, and impedance of the patch antenna array. Measured results slightly differ from but show good agreement with the simulated results.

**Table 2.** Parametric analysis of simulated and measured results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Simulated</th>
<th>Measured</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>5.85 GHz</td>
<td>5.85 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return Loss</td>
<td>$-35.0649$ dB</td>
<td>$-13.21$ dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>90 MHz</td>
<td>80 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impedance</td>
<td>50 Ω</td>
<td>38.57 Ω</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 11. Return loss (simulated vs measured).

Figure 12. Experimental setup.

Table 3. Comparison of antenna arrays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designed Antenna</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>$S_{11}$ (dB)</th>
<th>VSWR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antenna 1 [17]</td>
<td>42 mm (Each patch) *4</td>
<td>$-16.3353$</td>
<td>1.4 (approx)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna 2 [18]</td>
<td>74.4 mm × 74.4 mm</td>
<td>$-16$</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna 3 [19]</td>
<td>8 cm × 9 cm</td>
<td>$-20.519$</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna 4 [20]</td>
<td>70 mm × 140 mm</td>
<td>$-27$</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna 5 [21]</td>
<td>60 mm × 60 mm</td>
<td>$-27$</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Antenna</td>
<td>60 mm × 51.8 mm</td>
<td>$-35.0649$</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 12 shows the experimental setup which shows the realized prototype connected to vector network analyzer to measure the desired results.

We have simulated cross polarization, shown in Figure 13. We found that cross polarization magnitude is $-7.9\,\text{dB}$.

Table 3 shows the comparison of our proposed antenna array with different antenna array designs in the same frequency range, which clearly shows that the proposed antenna array has the highest $S_{11}$ and lowest VSWR with a compact size among all antenna arrays in the same frequency range.

5. CONCLUSION

A C-band omnidirectional triangular patch antenna array is designed, investigated and experimentally validated. The antenna array gives return loss of $-35.0649\,\text{dB}$ at 5.85 GHz frequency simulated in HFSS, and realized prototype of antenna array has return loss of $-13.21\,\text{dB}$ at 5.85 GHz frequency which is in line with the simulated results. Both measured and experimental results show that the triangular patch antenna array can be designed as an omnidirectional antenna, and therefore, the triangular patch antenna array is a cheaper solution for omnidirectional antenna. The proposed antenna array with Dolph-Chebyshev current distribution shows good omnidirectional radiation characteristics with least side lobes. The proposed antenna array can be used for Wi-Fi devices, cordless phones, and keyless entry systems for vehicles.
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