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Abstract—Space-time antijamming problems cause widespread concern recently in global navigation satellite system. Space-time adaptive procession (STAP) is an effective method to suppress interference signals, which contains two adaptive filters, i.e., spatial filter and temporal filter, and the array pattern can be automatically optimized by adjusting the weights obtained from a prescribed objective function. However, mismatch may occur between adaptive weights and data, due to the change of the interference location when receiver is shaking. In this case, the performance of STAP will degrade dramatically. To solve this problem, an effective nulling widen method based on uniform circular array (named as UCA-NW algorithm) is proposed for space-time antijamming. Through this method, an extension matrix is given to modify the covariance matrix, and the formed null can be broadened from azimuth angle and pitch angle, respectively. Thus, this algorithm can suppress interference signals effectively when the receiver is shaking, and the width of nulls can be controlled easily. Simulation results are presented to verify the feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed algorithm.

1. INTRODUCTION

Global navigation satellite system (GNSS) is fully operational presently and provides accurate, continuous, three-dimensional position and velocity information to users with appropriate receiving equipment at any time [1]. However, due to the long distance between satellite and receiver, the power level of satellite signals is so weak that the performance of GNSS can be affected by various interference signals from either intentional or unintentional sources. Therefore, the performance of navigation and positioning might degrade dramatically [2]. Space-Time Adaptive Procession (STAP) is an effective technique to mitigate interference signals, which can not only enhance satellite signals in the presence of interference signals and noise but also suppress interference signals through an array of antennas. This technique is widely used in radar, sonar, wireless communication, radio astronomy, and many other fields [3]. In practice, due to the shake of receiver, the directions of arrival (DOA) of interference signals could change rapidly with time going on, which may cause the interference signals moving out of the narrow nulls formed by conventional space-time adaptive beamformers easily [4]. Thus conventional STAP algorithms are quite susceptible to the nonstationary interference signals, and the performance of conventional STAP algorithms may degrade seriously with the change of interference location.

In order to solve such problems, null-widen technique is presented to improve the performance of conventional STAP algorithms when the receiver is shaking. This technique can form a null with certain width at the location of the interference signals. Even if the receiver is shaking, the interference signals cannot move out of the formed null range. A null-widen method by adding virtual interference sources and constructing new covariance matrix is proposed by Mailloux [5]. Through expanding the bandwidth of interference signals, Zatman also gives a null-widen algorithm [6]. A concept of “Covariance Matrix Taper (CMT)” is proposed by Guerci through generalizing Mailloux’s method and Zatman’s method [7].
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Combined with the CMT approach and adaptive variable diagonal loading technique, an approach for null broadening beamforming is proposed. This approach can improve the robustness of adaptive antenna null broadening beamforming when array calibration error exists [8]. The convex programming is used in [9] to optimize the covariance matrix. Through this method, the null can be broadened, and the sidelobe level can be controlled efficiently. Unfortunately, this method has a higher computational complexity. In [10], genetic algorithm is used to broaden nulls, but the depth of the null may be too low to suppress interference signals efficiently, and the output signal to interference plus noise ratio (SINR) could decrease dramatically. The space-time averaging technique and the rotation technique of the steering vectors are exploited in [11]. Through these two techniques, the proposed algorithm can widen the width of nulls and provide increased robustness against the mismatch problem as well as control over the sidelobe level. In order to gain deeper nulls, a new method is proposed by reconstructing the interference-plus-noise covariance matrix to improve the performance of null level. This algorithm can get a deeper null although the receiver is shaking [12]. A robust beamforming control algorithm based on semidefinite programming is presented to broaden nulls of adaptive antenna array. In the actual system, this proposed approach is proved effectively through imposing broadened nulls on the interference region while possessing a well-maintained pattern [13]. A novel statistical null-widen method is proposed in [14]. Using this method, interference signals can be suppressed effectively, and the robustness of antijamming algorithm can be improved remarkably with limited array size under uniform linear array (ULA).

However, most of the null widening algorithms researched recently are used in spatial adaptive beamforming algorithms based on ULA. In order to improve the performance of the null widening algorithm and the freedom of antijamming, in this paper, a UCA-NW algorithm is proposed. By using the extension matrix, the formed null can be widened from azimuth angle and pitch angle, respectively, while possessing a well-maintained pattern [13]. A novel statistical null-widen method is proposed in [14]. Using this method, interference signals can be suppressed effectively, and the robustness of antijamming algorithm can be improved remarkably with limited array size under uniform linear array (ULA).

2. DATA MODEL

The geometric structure of a uniform circular array (UCA) with $M$ elements is shown in Figure 1. Each element in the UCA is equally spaced with $K$ taps, and the space-time filter structure is given in Figure 2.

The $MK \times 1$ space-time observation signal $x(t) = [x_{11}, x_{12}, \ldots, x_{1K}, \ldots, x_{MK}]^T$ can be expressed as

\[
x(t) = us(t) + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} g_q j_q(t) + n(t)
\]

where $s(t)$ denotes the desired satellite signal, and $j_q(t)$ denotes the $q$th interference signal. $t$ is the index of time. $n(t)$ represents the white Gaussian noise of antenna array. $u$ and $g_q$ stand for the space-time steering vector of the desired satellite signal and the $q$th interference signal, which have the following forms

\[
\begin{align*}
    u &= a(\theta, \phi_0) \otimes a_i(T) \\
    g_q &= a(\theta_q(t), \phi_q(t)) \otimes a_i(T)
\end{align*}
\]

where $\theta_0$ and $\phi_0$ are the azimuth angle and pitch angle of the desired satellite signal. $\theta_q$ and $\phi_q$ are the azimuth angle and pitch angle of the $q$th interference signal. $a(\theta, \phi)$ and $a_i(T)$ in (2) can be written as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
    a(\theta, \phi) &= \left[ e^{-jv^T(\theta, \phi)p_1}, e^{-jv^T(\theta, \phi)p_2}, \ldots, e^{-jv^T(\theta, \phi)p_M} \right]^T \\
    a_i(T) &= \left[ 1, e^{-j2\pi f_0T}, \ldots, e^{-j2\pi f_0(K-1)T} \right]^T
\end{align*}
\]

where $a(\theta, \phi)$ is the space steering vector of the array, and $a_i(T)$ is the time steering vector. $T$ denotes the time delay in Figure 2. The superscript $(\cdot)^T$ represents the transpose operation. The vector $v$ and
Figure 1. Uniform circular array model. Figure 2. Space-time filter structure.

position vector of the \( m \)th element \( p_m \) have the following forms

\[
\mathbf{v}(\theta, \phi) = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta \\ \cos \phi \\ \sin \theta \\ \sin \phi \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
p_m = r [\cos r_m, \sin r_m]
\]

where \( r \) is the radius of the UCA and \( r_m = 2\pi(m - 1)/M \).

Thus, the beamformer output of the space-time filter can be expressed as

\[
y(t) = \mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{x}(t)
\]

where \( \mathbf{w} = [w_{11}, w_{12}, \ldots, w_{1K}, \ldots, w_{M1}, \ldots w_{MK}]^T \) is the \( MK \times 1 \) weight vector. The superscript \((\cdot)^H\) denotes the conjugate transpose.

3. ALGORITHM FORMULATION

In order to suppress the interference signal, the DOA of the \( q \)th interference signal changing with time can be described as

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{\theta}_q &= \theta_q + \Delta \theta_q \\
\bar{\phi}_q &= \phi_q + \Delta \phi_q
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \theta_q \) and \( \phi_q \) are azimuth angle and pitch angle of the \( q \)th interference signal. \( \Delta \theta_q \) and \( \Delta \phi_q \) are the changes of azimuth angle and pitch angle. Because the change of DOA is very small with high probability, suppose that \( \Delta \theta_q \) obeys normal distribution with a mean of 0 and a variance of \( \xi_{q1}^2 (\Delta \theta_q \sim N(0, \xi_{q1}^2)) \), and \( \Delta \phi_q \) obeys normal distribution with a mean of 0 and a variance of \( \xi_{q2}^2 (\Delta \phi_q \sim N(0, \xi_{q2}^2)) \). The above model is used in [15] and [16] to describe the distributed targets and the transmit characteristics of mobile communication. Thus, the mean covariance matrix can be given by

\[
\mathbf{R}_L(m, n) = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sigma_q^2 \int \int f(\Delta \theta_q, \Delta \phi_q) e^{-j[p_m-p_n]^T\mathbf{v}(\theta_q, \phi_q)} d(\Delta \theta_q) d(\Delta \phi_q) + \sigma^2 \delta_{mn}
\]
where \( \sigma_q^2 \) and \( \sigma_n^2 \) denote the power of the \( q \)th interference signal and noise signal, respectively. \( f(\Delta \theta_q, \Delta \phi_q) \) stands for the joint probability density function of \((\Delta \theta_q, \Delta \phi_q)\). \( \delta_{mn} = 0 \) when \( m \neq n \), and \( \delta_{mn} = 1 \) when \( m = n \). The vector \( \mathbf{v}(\theta, \phi) \) and the position vector \( \mathbf{p}_m \) are given in Eq. (4). Thus, the vector \( \mathbf{v}(\theta_q, \phi_q) \) in Eq. (7) can be expressed as

\[
\mathbf{v}(\theta_q, \phi_q) = \mathbf{v}(\theta_q + \Delta \theta_q, \phi_q + \Delta \phi_q).
\]  

(8)

Owing to the extension angle \( \Delta \theta_q \) and \( \Delta \phi_q \) are statically independent of each other. Thus, the vector \( \mathbf{v}(\theta_q + \Delta \theta_q, \phi_q + \Delta \phi_q) \) in (8) can be simplified by Taylor series, and \( \mathcal{R}_L(m, n) \) can be written as

\[
\mathcal{R}_L(m, n) \approx \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sigma_q^2 e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} [\mathbf{p}_m - \mathbf{p}_n]^T \mathbf{v}(\theta_q, \phi_q)} A_{mn} B_{mn} + \sigma_n^2 \delta_{mn}
\]  

(9)

where \( A_{mn} \) and \( B_{mn} \) in Eq. (9) can be expressed as

\[
A_{mn} = \int f(\Delta \theta_q) e^{\frac{-j \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} [\mathbf{p}_m - \mathbf{p}_n]^T \mathbf{v}(\theta_q, \phi_q)} A_{mn} B_{mn} + \sigma_n^2 \delta_{mn}
\]  

(10)

The integrals in Eq. (10) can be computed as

\[
\int f(\Delta \theta_q) e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} [\mathbf{p}_m - \mathbf{p}_n]^T \mathbf{v}(\theta_q, \phi_q)} \Delta \theta_q = \exp \left( \frac{\xi_1^2 D_{mn}^2}{2} \right)
\]  

(11)

\[
\int f(\Delta \phi_q) e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} [\mathbf{p}_m - \mathbf{p}_n]^T \mathbf{v}(\theta_q, \phi_q)} \Delta \phi_q = \exp \left( \frac{\xi_2^2 F_{mn}^2}{2} \right)
\]

where \( D_{mn} \) and \( F_{mn} \) in (11) have the following forms

\[
D_{mn} = -j \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} [\mathbf{p}_m - \mathbf{p}_n]^T \mathbf{v}(\theta_q, \phi_q)
\]

(12)

\[
F_{mn} = -j \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} [\mathbf{p}_m - \mathbf{p}_n]^T \mathbf{v}(\theta_q, \phi_q)
\]

Substitute Eqs. (11) and (12) into Eq. (9), Eq. (9) can be written as

\[
\mathcal{R}_L(m, n) \approx \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sigma_q^2 e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} [\mathbf{p}_m - \mathbf{p}_n]^T \mathbf{v}(\theta_q, \phi_q)} \exp \left( \frac{\xi_1^2 D_{mn}^2}{2} \right) \exp \left( \frac{\xi_2^2 F_{mn}^2}{2} \right) + \sigma_n^2 \delta_{mn}.
\]  

(13)

Introduce a extension matrix \( \mathbf{T}_L \), and each element in \( \mathbf{T}_L \) can be expressed as

\[
\mathbf{T}_L(m, n) = \exp \left( \frac{\xi_1^2 D_{mn}^2}{2} \right) \exp \left( \frac{\xi_2^2 F_{mn}^2}{2} \right).
\]  

(14)

In order to get the biggest extension angle, let \( \xi_1 = \xi_2 = \xi_q \), and expression (14) can be rewritten as

\[
\mathbf{T}_L(m, n) = \exp \left( \frac{\xi_q^2}{2} \left( D_{mn}^2 + F_{mn}^2 \right) \right).
\]  

(15)

Utilizing expression (12), the above expression can be modified as

\[
\mathbf{T}_L(m, n) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{\xi_q^2}{2} \left[ (G_{mn} \cos \theta_q \cos \phi_q + H_{mn} \cos \theta_q \sin \phi_q)^2 \right. \right. \\
+ \left. \left. (-G_{mn} \sin \theta_q \sin \phi_q + H_{mn} \sin \theta_q \cos \phi_q)^2 \right] \right\}
\]  

(16)
where \( G_{mn} = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}r (\cos r_m - \cos r_n) \), \( H_{mn} = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}r (\sin r_m - \sin r_n) \), \( r_m = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}(m - 1)/M \).

From Eq. (16), it is clear that the extension matrix \( \mathbf{T}_L \) is related to the azimuth angle and pitch angle of the interference signal. However, these two angles are difficult to attain in practical application. In order to gain the biggest extension angle when \( \xi_1 = \xi_2 = \xi_q \), Eq. (16) can be simplified as

\[
\mathbf{T}_L(m, n) = \exp \left( -\frac{\xi_q^2}{2} \sqrt{G_{mn}^2 + H_{mn}^2} \right).
\]

Utilizing the extension matrix \( \mathbf{T}_L \) in Eq. (17), the mean covariance matrix in Eq. (13) can be written as

\[
\mathbf{\hat{R}}_L = \mathbf{R}_x \odot \mathbf{T}_L.
\]

The common formulation of the beamforming problem is solved by minimum variance distortionless response (MVDR). Standard MVDR algorithm can minimize the array output power while maintaining a distortionless mainlobe response. Determine the vector \( \mathbf{w} \) as the solution to the following linearly constrained quadratic problem

\[
\text{min}_w \mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{R}_x \mathbf{w} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{u} = 1
\]

where the space-time steering vector \( \mathbf{u} \) is given in Eq. (2). Thus, the optimal solution of Equation (19) can be expressed as

\[
\mathbf{w}_{\text{opt}} = \frac{\mathbf{R}_x^{-1} \mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{u}^H \mathbf{R}_x^{-1} \mathbf{u}}
\]

where the superscript \((-)^{-1}\) denotes the inverse operation, and \( \mathbf{R}_x \) denotes covariance matrix. In practice, the covariance matrix \( \mathbf{R}_x \) is difficult to obtain and is usually replaced by \( \mathbf{\hat{R}}_x \)

\[
\mathbf{\hat{R}}_x = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{x}(k)\mathbf{x}^H(k)
\]

where \( K \) denotes the number of snapshots.

**Summary of the Proposed Method**

**Step 1** Collect the sample data matrix \( \mathbf{x}(t) \) according to Eq. (1).

**Step 2** Use the collected sample data in step 1 and estimate the covariance matrix \( \mathbf{\hat{R}}_x \) according to Eq. (21).

**Step 3** Modify the matrix \( \mathbf{T}_L \) using Eq. (17).

**Step 4** Use the estimated covariance matrix \( \mathbf{\hat{R}}_x \) in step 2 to replace \( \mathbf{R}_x \) in Eq. (18) and compute the matrix \( \mathbf{\hat{R}}_L \).

**Step 5** Replace the covariance matrix \( \mathbf{R}_x \) in Eq. (20) with the \( \mathbf{\hat{R}}_L \) computed in Eq. (18) to gain the optimal weights.

**4. SIMULATION RESULTS**

In this section, in order to evaluate the efficiency of the UCA-NW algorithm, several simulated examples are conducted to illustrate the results. Consider a UCA with \( M = 7 \) antenna elements, where each element is equally spaced with \( P = 5 \) taps. The signal to noise ratio (SNR) is set to \(-17\) dB, and the jam to signal ratio is set to \(54\) dB. Due to the long distance between satellites and receivers, the DOA of the desired satellite signal can be considered as constant. Assume that the DOA of the desired satellite signal is \((280^\circ, 30^\circ)\). In the simulation, suppose that there is one interference signal, that the initial DOA is \((80^\circ, 45^\circ)\), and that the DOA changes from \((80^\circ, 45^\circ)\) to \((85^\circ, 50^\circ)\). The weights are obtained by the snapshots at \((80^\circ, 45^\circ)\). The number of snapshots \( N \) is set to \( N = 1024 \).

Figure 3 shows the three-dimensional mesh diagram for space frequency response of MVDR algorithm and the UCA-NW algorithm. As shown in this figure, both of the algorithms can form a null at the DOA of the interference signal and maintain a distortionless response for the desired satellite signal.
In order to analyse the null level clearly, the lateral views of MVDR algorithm and UCA-NW algorithm are given in Figure 4, respectively. From Figure 4, it is obvious that the azimuth angle of the interference signal is 80°, and both of the algorithms can form a deep null at 80°. Compared with conventional MVDR algorithm, the UCA-NW algorithm can broaden the null about 30° at the direction of interference signal. The UCA-NW algorithm can form a deeper null lever, and the null level of the UCA-NW algorithm is deeper than that of MVDR algorithm about 20 dB.

Figure 5 shows the three-dimensional mesh diagram for azimuth angle and pitch angle of MVDR algorithm and UCA-NW algorithm, respectively. From Figure 5, it is easy to know that there is one interference signal located at \((\theta, \phi) = (80^\circ, 45^\circ)\). It is clear that both of the algorithms can form a deep null at the direction of the interference signal, and the null level of the UCA-NW algorithm is deeper
Figure 5. Beam pattern of MVDR algorithm and UCA-NW algorithm. (a) MVDR algorithm. (b) UCA-NW algorithm.

Figure 6. Contour of MVDR algorithm and UCA-NW algorithm. (a) Contour of MVDR algorithm. (b) Contour of UCA-NW algorithm.

than that of the MVDR algorithm distinctly.

In order to show the range of the formed null distinctly, the contours of MVDR algorithm and UCA-NW algorithm are plotted in Figure 6. In Figure 6, the azimuth angle range from 0° to 360° and the pitch angle range from 0° to 90°. From Figure 6, it is clear that there is one interference signal located at \((\theta, \phi) = (80°, 45°)\). Moreover, the UCA-NW algorithm can explicitly broaden the width of the null about 30° from both azimuth angle and pitch angle, respectively.

Figure 7 plots the output SINR of the UCA-NW algorithm, MVDR algorithm and Laplace null-widen algorithm (named as L-NW) [17] via the input SNR changing from −26 dB to −17 dB. From the figure, obviously, (1) with the growth of the input SNR, all the output SINRs of these three algorithms increase linearly. (2) The output SINR of the UCA-NW algorithm is higher than the L-NW algorithm.
Figure 7. The output SINR versus input SNR.

about 3 dB and much higher than the MVDR algorithm about 10 dB. Because the null width of the UCA-NW algorithm is wider than that of MVDR algorithm, the performance of the UCA-NW algorithm is better than MVDR algorithm when the DOA of interference signals is changing.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents a novel null-widen algorithm based on the normal distribution model under uniform circular array to improve the performance of conventional space-time adaptive anti-jamming algorithms when the receiver is shaking. By using the extension matrix to modify the covariance matrix, the proposed UCA-NW algorithm can explicitly broaden the width of the null from azimuth angle and pitch angle, respectively, without the need to know the information of the interference signal. The results in simulation demonstrate that the proposed algorithm can not only suppress the interference signals effectively but also get a better null level.
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