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Abstract—A compact planar double inverted-F antenna (IFA) is proposed in this work. The antenna, composed of a shared short arm and two L-shaped open arms, has three operating bands, 1.7–1.9 GHz, 2.25–2.48 GHz and 5.33–5.8 GHz for Wi-Fi and LTE applications. It has the length of 80 mm, width of 23 mm and height of 1.6 mm. Near omnidirectional coverage of radiation patterns in $x$-$y$ and $x$-$z$ plane, are realized with peak gain of 3.3 dBi, 2.1 dBi and 4.1 dBi at 1.8 GHz, 2.4 GHz and 5.5 GHz, respectively. Based on reflection coefficients with different dimensions and current distributions, the functions of arms and via holes are analyzed in detail, which provide a useful guidance for design of multiband PIFA antennas.

1. INTRODUCTION

Wireless communication technology has been developed rapidly and played an important role in our works and lives [1–4]. Wireless fidelity (Wi-Fi) is a superset of the IEEE 802.11 standards for wireless communications at the frequencies of 2.4 GHz (2.4–2.483 GHz) and 5.0 GHz (5.15–5.35 GHz and 5.47–5.825 GHz) and was designated to industrial, scientific, and medical (ISM) bands [5]. Long Term Evolution (LTE) is also a standard for wireless communication which is based on GSM/EDGE and UMTS/HSPA network using mobile phones or mobile devices and has different bands for different countries [6]. Among all Wi-Fi and LTE communication components, antennas play an irreplaceable role. As the size of wireless devices becomes smaller and smaller, designing a compact, low-cost, and multiband antenna is very challenging.

Planar monopole antennas [7–9] are considered as good candidates for Wi-Fi and LTE systems because of their low profile, light weight, easy fabrication, and multiband operation. A triple-arm antenna is adopted in [7], and it naturally has three bands, but its gain is small, and radiation patterns are not measured yet. In [9], the monopole antenna employs a T-shape technique and can achieve a dual-band property. However, a shorted parasitic element used to enhance its bandwidth increases the complexity of structure, and the large ground plane also limits its application [10, 11]. To isolate the impact of the ground plane, a multiband resonator antenna is designed in [12]. The thick substrate, however, leads to a high profile. To overcome the shortcomings of above antennas, the inverted-F antenna (IFA) is proposed. In [13], a multi-branch IFA antenna was designed with a multiband feature and relatively high gain. However, the large ground and complex strip arrangement have greatly restricted its applications. A small IFA for Wi-Fi USB applications was proposed in [14], and it has two narrow bands with low gain. Furthermore, a planar hexa-band IFA was proposed and owns a better bandwidth characteristic [15], but $-6$ dB rather than $-10$ dB is used as a reference of reflection coefficient for defining the bandwidth. Besides, the antenna has a complicated branch. To simplify the structure of the antenna, a multiband IFA was presented in [16], and it has three narrow bands. The antenna was etched on an expensive 0.127-mm RT5800 substrate, and it is inconvenient to...
be integrated with printed circuit boards (PCBs). More recently, a stacked IFA with two shorted strips was proposed for the application of tablet devices [17]. Nevertheless, the cubic structure may not be desirable anymore as the tablet devices have become thinner. The planar IFA (PIFA) was proposed which lowers the profile of the IFA [18]. Then, a dual-frequency PIFA was designed in [19, 20], and its slotted patch or ground can produce two different frequency bands [21]. A miniaturized PIFA [22] with a four-element diversity was designed by employing a meandered technique [23, 24], but just one band (2.4 GHz) was achieved.

Although various efforts have been made, the performance and structure of antennas have never been perfect, and a further improvement is always needed. In this work, we propose a double PIFA antenna. Compared with those IFAs and PIFAs mentioned above, it presents some advantages, such as a small-size ground, simplified structure, and high gain. In addition, an FR4 substrate is used to reduce the manufacturing cost and be integrated with PCBs. The connected patch between the first open arm and feed port is tilted so that the gain can be enhanced. The good performance of the antenna is verified with the consistence between simulated and measured results. It is noticed that the analyses of the functions of arms and via holes provide the guidance of the PIFA design.
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**Figure 1.** Geometry of the proposed inverted-F antenna. (a) Top view. (b) Bottom view.

## 2. ANTENNA DESIGN

The proposed antenna is shown in Fig. 1, and its front and back views are sketched in Fig. 1(a) and Fig. 1(b), respectively. The antenna has two L-shaped open arms and a shared short arm. The ground plane covers part of the bottom side, and the shared short arm is connected by a shorted stub with two via holes. The two L-shaped open arms share the same short arm as well as the ground plane, yielding a double inverted-F structure. This antenna is etched on a cheap RF4 substrate with a relative permittivity of 4.4, thickness of 1.6 mm, and dielectric loss tangent of 0.02. The entire antenna has a length of 80 mm and width of 45 mm. Note that only 23 mm in the width is used as the antenna part, meaning that the antenna can be etched and integrated on a PCB diminutively and conveniently. The optimized dimensions using HFSS software are listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$b_1$</th>
<th>$l_1$</th>
<th>$l_2$</th>
<th>$l_3$</th>
<th>$d_1$</th>
<th>$d_2$</th>
<th>$d_3$</th>
<th>$q_1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_1$</td>
<td>$g_1$</td>
<td>$g_2$</td>
<td>$r_1$</td>
<td>$m_a$</td>
<td>$m_b$</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>$\theta^o$</td>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>$q_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. Reflection coefficients of the antenna with different arms combined.

The structure of the proposed antenna can be divided into two IFAs, which consist of the first L-shaped open arm and second L-shaped open arm, respectively. In order to clarify the influence of the arms, we separately investigate each of the two arms. Fig. 2 shows the reflection coefficients of the antenna with different arms combined. Dashed and dot-dashed lines represent the reflection coefficients of the first IFA and second IFA, respectively. It can be seen that the antenna with one of the two L-shape arms presents a resonant frequency lower than that of the antenna with both L-shape arms in the lower band (2.4-GHz). This means that the coupling of the two L-shape arms increases the resonant frequency in the lower band. The antenna with the first L-shape arm has a resonant frequency of 5.4 GHz, but the frequency disappears for the antenna with the second L-shape arm. Therefore, the resonant frequency of 5.8 GHz of the antenna (with two L-shape arms) in the upper band is purely produced by the coupling of two L-shape arms. Additionally, the resonant frequency of 1.82 GHz is also generated by the coupling. Solid line in Fig. 2 shows that the antenna has three frequency bands, which range from 1.7 to 1.9 GHz, 2.25 to 2.48 GHz, and 5.33 to 5.8 GHz, respectively.

3. OPTIMIZATION AND ANALYSIS

To achieve the best design for the proposed antenna, we analyze and optimize several parameters in the structure. Fig. 3 shows simulated results with varying parameters. As shown in Figs. 3(a) (b) and (c), $l_1$ has a main influence on the frequency around 1.82 GHz and the upper band (5.5-GHz). As $l_1$ increases, the resonant frequency decreases. On the other hand, $l_2$ and $w_1$ mainly determine the lower band (2.4-GHz) and upper band (5.5-GHz), respectively. This can be understood by that the length of the coupling between the two open arms mainly decides the lower band, while the distance between the two open arms in the coupling mainly contributes to the upper band.

Figure 3(d) shows the reflection coefficients with different $q_1$ values. The dimension $q_1$ changes both the distance and length of the coupling of the two open arms, so it has an influence on both lower and upper bands. Because $\theta$ in Fig. 1(a) is an acute angle, $q_1$ changes the length more than the distance of the coupling. Consequently, $q_1$ mainly determines the lower frequency band. Fig. 3(e) illustrates the relation between reflection coefficients and parameter $q_2$. With the change of $q_2$, all of these bandwidths are changed except the low band from 1.7 to 1.9 GHz, because the distance between the y axis and via holes has an influence on the overall configuration. The reason that the lowest band keeps unchanged is that this band mainly depends on the gap between the ground plane and the shared short arm. In Fig. 3(f), the reflection coefficients of the antenna with one via hole and two via holes are exhibited, respectively. It is observed that adding another via hole can expand the frequency bands, which can be understood that the addition of a via hole increases its current density and weakens the capacitance of the open arms.
Figure 3. Reflection coefficients of the proposed antenna with different dimensions (mm) or via holes. (a) $l_1$ is chosen as 70, 72, and 74, respectively. (b) $l_2$ is chosen as 64, 65, and 66, respectively. (c) $w_1$ is chosen as 22, 23, and 24, respectively. (d) $q_1$ is chosen as 9, 10, and 11, respectively. (e) $q_2$ is chosen 59, 60, and 61, respectively. (f) Using one and two via holes, respectively.

4. SIMULATION AND MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The fabricated prototype of the antenna is shown in Fig. 4. The antenna adopts a coaxial port with an SMA connector whose central conductor is connected to the upper patch while its outer conductor is soldered to the ground patch. The measured and simulated reflection coefficients are plotted in Fig. 5, and the results are in good agreement. It is noteworthy that the employment of SMA connector and soldering process can influence the impedance bandwidth especially at 4 GHz. The better match at 4 GHz may result from the soldered tin, which can slow down the input impedance growth. Fig. 6 displays the three-dimensional (3D) radiation patterns of the antenna at 1.8 GHz, 2.4 GHz, and 5.5 GHz, respectively. It can be seen that the gain of the antenna is up to 3.3 dBi at 1.8 GHz, 2.1 dBi at 2.4 GHz, and 4.1 dBi at 5.5 GHz, respectively. In Fig. 7, the details of radiation patterns are plotted at the three resonant frequencies in the $x$-$y$, $x$-$z$, and $y$-$z$ observation planes, respectively. Measured and simulated
components of the radiation patterns are traced by dashed and solid lines separately. Red and blue lines represent the $E_\phi$ and $E_\theta$ components of the radiation patterns, respectively. It can be readily observed that the cross-polarization levels in the $x$-$y$ and $x$-$z$ planes at 1.8 GHz and 2.4 GHz are very small, and the co-polarization radiation patterns in the $x$-$y$ and $x$-$z$ planes are nearly omnidirectional.

Figure 4. Fabricated prototype of the proposed antenna. (a) Top view. (b) Bottom view.

Figure 5. Simulated and measured reflection coefficients versus the frequency.

Figure 6. Three-dimension radiation patterns of the proposed antenna at 1.8 GHz, 2.4 GHz and 5.5 GHz, respectively. (a) 3D radiation pattern at 2.4 GHz. (b) 3D radiation pattern at 5.5 GHz. (c) 3D radiation pattern at 1.8 GHz.
Figure 7. Radiation patterns of the proposed antenna at three resonant frequencies in three observation planes. (a) In the $x$-$y$ plane at 1.8 GHz. (b) In the $x$-$y$ plane at 2.4 GHz. (c) In the $x$-$y$ plane at 5.5 GHz. (d) In the $x$-$z$ plane at 1.8 GHz. (e) In the $x$-$z$ plane at 2.4 GHz. (f) In the $x$-$z$ plane at 5.5 GHz. (g) In the $y$-$z$ plane at 1.8 GHz. (h) In the $y$-$z$ plane at 2.4 GHz. (i) In the $y$-$z$ plane at 5.5 GHz.

The magnitudes of surface current distributions at three resonant frequencies are plotted in Fig. 8. In Fig. 8(a), the segments labeled by 1, 1′, 2, and 2′ all contribute to the radiation pattern at 2.4 GHz because all four segments have a current flow in the $x$-$y$ plane. In addition, the segments function as dipoles, so the radiation pattern $E_{\phi}$ in the plane is in a four-petal shape, and $E_{\theta}$ is small. The radiation pattern in the $x$-$z$ plane has a good omnidirectional feature owing to segments 2 and 2′. Because segments 1 and 1′ are located longitudinally in this plane, and the currents in these two segments are out of phase, their contribution to the radiation pattern is insignificant, and the cross-polarization $E_{\theta}$ is weak. In the $y$-$z$ plane, segments 1 and 1′ contribute to the radiation pattern $E_{\phi}$. However, due to the opposite phases in the two segments in $z$-axis, the radiation pattern $E_{\phi}$ decreases significantly. Meanwhile, segments 2 and 2′ contribute to $E_{\theta}$, and $E_{\theta}$ deviates from that of dipoles when $\theta$ is close to 90°. Therefore, the two orthogonally-polarized radiation patterns present an orthogonality in spatiality as shown in Fig. 7(h). Fig. 8(b) shows that when operating at 5.5 GHz, the current distribution of the antenna mainly concentrates on segment 1′, the tilted stub, and the aperture between segment 1′...
and ground plane. Note that the aperture produces an electric field in it, and the electric field is not vertically polarized owing to the via holes. In addition, according to the relationship $\vec{M}_s = -\hat{n} \times \vec{E}$, the virtual magnetic current will have a vertical component along the aperture. Therefore, the cross-polarization components increase compared with those at 2.4 GHz. Furthermore, the tilted stub will increase the cross-polarization components as well. The higher cross-polarization level is still acceptable for the Wi-Fi and LTE applications in a multi-path signal environment [25]. Fig. 8(c) depicts the current distribution at 1.8 GHz. As explained for the radiation patterns at 2.4 GHz, the radiation patterns at 1.8 GHz are similar to those at 2.4 GHz. However, the co-polarization $E_\phi$ in the $x$-$y$ plane at 1.8 GHz presents a better omnidirectional property due to the strong current from the tilted stub.

The total efficiency of the proposed antenna was measured with the wheeler cap method [26]. The measured and simulated radiation efficiencies versus the frequency are plotted in Fig. 9. The measured radiation efficiencies are roughly 55.0% at 1.8 GHz, 80.0% at 2.4 GHz, and 70.0% at 5.5 GHz, respectively, while the HFSS simulation gives about 56.0%, 85.0%, and 80.0%. It can be seen that the measured and simulated efficiencies are quite close to each other, and the small discrepancy maybe is attributed to the error of adjusting the radius of cylindrical wheeler cap used in the measurement.

Table 2 lists recent designs of triple-band antennas. It is noted that the antennas in [29] and [30] need large grounds which are 150 × 77 mm$^2$ and 120 × 60 mm$^2$, respectively. It is observed that the proposed antenna in this work has a better comprehensive performance.

Table 2. Comparisons of the proposed antennas with state-of-the-art triple-band PIFA antennas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Size (mm$^2$)</th>
<th>Center freq. (GHz), Relative bandwidth%, Gain (dBi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>23 × 80 × 1.6</td>
<td>1.75, 5.46%, 3.3, 2.4, 9.7%, 2.1, 5.5, 8.4%, 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>105 × 70 × 4.4</td>
<td>1.8, -, 3.9, 2.1, -, 3.37, 3.55, -, 8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>40 × 41 × 3</td>
<td>2.21, 18.6%, 2.67, 2.89, 30.1%, 1.8, 5.58, 23.1%, 7.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>32 × 18 × 7</td>
<td>0.89, 4%, -, 2.2, 40%, -, 3.6, 11%, -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>12 × 60 × 1</td>
<td>1.575, 1.3%, -0.16, 2.4, 8.75%, 2.62, 5.8, 31%, 2.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. CONCLUSION

In this work, a compact double PIFA antenna with triple bands is proposed. The antenna has a size of $23 \times 80 \times 1.6$ mm$^3$ and three bands which are suitable for LTE (1.8 GHz) and Wi-Fi (2.4 GHz and 5.5 GHz) applications. The antenna is modified based on the PIFA by adding an extra L-shape open arm to broaden the bandwidth and enhance the gain. The characteristics of the antenna are carefully analyzed, and its performance is optimized in terms of its geometric dimensions for different bands. The prototype of the antenna is manufactured, and its overall performances on impedance bandwidth, radiation patterns, and radiation efficiencies are simulated and measured. The results show that the antenna has a good omnidirectional radiation pattern and the peak gain of 3.3 dBi, 2.1 dBi, and 4.1 dBi, respectively. In addition, the analyses of the functions of arms and via holes provide the guidance of the PIFA design.
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