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Abstract—The goal of vector control of interior permanent magnet synchronous motor (IPMSM) is to make IPMSM have excellent dynamic and steady-state performance, but there is coupling between the $d$-$q$ axis in the synchronous rotating coordinate system, which affects the torque response performance. In view of the fact that the traditional voltage compensation strategy is sensitive to the change of motor parameters, genetic algorithm is introduced to identify the parameters, and a feedforward voltage compensation control based on genetic algorithm parameter identification is proposed. The compensation voltage is calculated by the inductance and flux value of the motor identified by genetic algorithm. Compensation voltage is used to counteract the change of feedback voltage caused by the change of motor parameters in feedforward decoupling control. Simulated and experimental results show that the proposed strategy can effectively achieve $d$-$q$ axis current decoupling, improve the dynamic performance of the system, and have excellent robustness.

1. INTRODUCTION

Permanent magnet synchronous motor (IPMSM) is widely used in the field of new energy vehicles because of its advantages of simple structure, reliable operation, high efficiency, high power factor, large starting torque, and good force and energy index [1, 2]. Vector control is a common control strategy in IPMSM. After coordinate transformation, static decoupling between $d$-axis subsystem and $q$-axis subsystem can be realized, but dynamic coupling is not eliminated. Therefore, when a control subsystem has a dynamic disturbance, it will inevitably affect another control subsystem and reduce the reliability of the system dynamic operation. Decoupling control technology is an effective way to solve the above problems. Through decoupling control, the coupling fluctuation between $d$-$q$ axis control loops can be eliminated [3, 4].

Vector control realizes the decoupling between stator current excitation component and torque component. At present, the decoupling methods mainly include: voltage feedforward decoupling, current feedback decoupling, internal mode decoupling, deviation decoupling, etc. [5]. In [6], a nonlinear controller based on state feedback linearization is designed such that the nonlinear system with multivariable and strongly coupled motion is reduced to decoupled linear subsystems including its speed and rotor flux as well as two rotor displacements. But its robustness is decreased. In order to improve the robustness of the control system (the problem of robustness degradation caused by feedback linearization), the sliding mode control method is employed, and a novel double power reaching law is introduced to establish a feedback linearized sliding mode control system for PMSM drive system [7]. Although this method is robust against dynamic parameter changes, there will be oscillation in the process of system regulation. Aiming at the oscillation problem in the system, the strategy of using adaptive disturbance observer to observe the disturbance on the basis of internal mode is proposed [8, 9]. The strategy improves the steady-state performance of the system and has strong robustness, but the
dynamic process is still overshoot. In addition, a feed-forward decoupling scheme is widely used because of short computing time and simple implementation [10].

However, feedforward decoupling can completely eliminate $d$-$q$ axis coupling only if motor parameters are fixed. In fact, the motor parameters change with different operations of the motor, which will lead to incomplete decoupling and affect the system performance. In view of the above problems, the main solution is to identify the motor parameters online. With the development of computer technology and intelligent control technology, artificial intelligence algorithm with powerful nonlinear system processing ability and optimization ability has a wider application in the parameter identification of IPMSM. This kind of intelligent algorithm transforms IPMSM parameter identification problem into a system optimization problem. The algorithm mainly includes neural network algorithm [11, 12], particle swarm optimization algorithm (PSO) [13, 14], genetic algorithm (GA) [15, 16], etc. Among them, the neural network algorithm needs a large number of samples to train the algorithm, which will increase the time cost. The PSO algorithm is easy to fall into local optimum. Therefore, genetic algorithm is a powerful tool for solving nonlinear multi-parameter optimization problems because of its good global search ability and strong robustness.

Therefore, genetic algorithm is introduced to identify the IPMSM motor parameters online to improve the accuracy of system identification. The basic idea is to calculate the compensation voltage by the inductance and flux value of the motor identified by genetic algorithm, so as to counteract the change of the feedback voltage caused by the change of motor parameters in the feedforward decoupling control. Firstly, the IPMSM mathematical model is derived. After that, genetic algorithm and the principle of motor parameter identification of IPMSM are introduced. Based on this, a feedforward decoupling controller based on genetic algorithm parameter identification is constructed. Matlab/Simulink is used to compare the decoupling performance of the proposed control strategy and the traditional feedforward controller. Finally, the experimental platform is built for experimental verification.

2. IPMSM MODELING

In order to facilitate the analysis, the following assumptions can be adopted to simplify the mathematical model of the IPMSM by ignoring some less influential parameters:

1) Ignore the spatial harmonics; set the three-phase windings to be symmetrically placed in space; and the generated magnetomotive force is sinusoidally distributed along the circumference of the air gap.
2) Ignore core loss.
3) Ignore the damping winding of the rotor.

Therefore, in the $d$- and $q$-axis coordinate system, the mathematical model of IPMSM can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{align*}
    u_d &= L_d \frac{di_d}{dt} - \omega_e L_q i_q + Ri_d \\
    u_q &= L_q \frac{di_q}{dt} + \omega_e L_d i_d + \omega_e \psi_f + Ri_q
\end{align*}
$$

(1)

where $u_d$ and $u_q$ are the stator voltages of $d$-axis and $q$-axis, respectively; $i_d$ and $i_q$ are the stator currents of $d$-axis and $q$-axis, respectively; $L_d$ and $L_q$ are stator inductances of $d$-axis and $q$-axis, respectively; $R$ is the stator resistance; $\omega_e$ is the electrical angular velocity; $\psi_f$ is the rotor flux.

3. PRINCIPLE OF PARAMETER IDENTIFICATION OF IPMSM

Suppose that the dynamic mathematical model of the identified system is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
    \dot{x} &= f(\theta, x, u) \\
    y &= Cx
\end{align*}
$$

(2)

where $x$ is the state variable, $\theta$ the parameter to be identified, $u$ the input variable, and $C$ a constant matrix.
The tracking system of the above system is expressed as:
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= f(\theta, \dot{x}, u) \\
y &= C\dot{x}
\end{align*}
\] (3)
where \(\dot{x}\) is the state variable of the tracking system, \(\hat{\theta}\) the estimated value of \(\theta\), and \(\hat{y}\) the estimated value of \(y\).

Convert the form of Equation (1) into the form of current equation:
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{di_d}{dt} &= \frac{u_d}{L_d} - \frac{L_q}{L_d}\omega e_i - \frac{Ri_d}{L_d} \\
\frac{di_q}{dt} &= \frac{u_q - \omega e_i \psi_f}{L_q} - \frac{Ri_q}{L_q} - \frac{\omega e L_i d_d}{L_q}
\end{align*}
\] (4)

Since the actual control system is a discrete control system, the current equation needs to be discretized. Rewrite the \(d\)- and \(q\)-axis current equations in Equation (4) by using the Pade approximation method and discretizing it:
\[
\begin{align*}
i_d(k) &= \theta_{d1}i_d(k - 1) + \theta_{d2}[\omega_e(k)i_q(k) + \omega_e(k - 1)i_q(k - 1)] + \theta_{d3}[u_d(k) + u_d(k - 1)] \\
i_q(k) &= \theta_{q1}i_q(k - 1) + \theta_{q2}[\omega_e(k)i_d(k) + \omega_e(k - 1)i_d(k - 1)] + \theta_{q3}[u_q(k) + u_q(k - 1)] \\
\quad + \theta_{q4}[\omega_e(k) + \omega_e(k - 1)]
\end{align*}
\] (5)

The parameters in the equation are:
\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_{d1} &= \frac{T_s R + 2L_d}{T_s R + 2L_d} \\
\theta_{d2} &= \frac{T_s R + 2L_d}{T_s} \\
\theta_{d3} &= \frac{T_s R + 2L_d}{-T_s R + 2L_q} \\
\theta_{q1} &= \frac{T_s R + 2L_q}{-L_d T_s} \\
\theta_{q2} &= \frac{T_s R + 2L_q}{T_s} \\
\theta_{q3} &= \frac{T_s R + 2L_q}{-T_s \psi_f} \\
\theta_{q4} &= \frac{T_s R + 2L_q}{T_s \psi_f}
\end{align*}
\] (6)

where \(T_s\) is the time interval between two discrete points.

Establish an equivalent tracking function as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{i}_d(k) &= \hat{\theta}_{d1}i_d(k - 1) + \hat{\theta}_{d2}[\omega_e(k)i_q(k) + \omega_e(k - 1)i_q(k - 1)] + \hat{\theta}_{d3}[u_d(k) + u_d(k - 1)] \\
\hat{i}_q(k) &= \hat{\theta}_{q1}i_q(k - 1) + \hat{\theta}_{q2}[\omega_e(k)i_d(k) + \omega_e(k - 1)i_d(k - 1)] + \hat{\theta}_{q3}[u_q(k) + u_q(k - 1)] \\
\quad + \hat{\theta}_{q4}[\omega_e(k) + \omega_e(k - 1)]
\end{align*}
\] (7)

When the deviation between the predicted current and actual current is less than a certain accuracy, it can be considered that the estimated parameters at this time are close to the actual parameters. The identification of motor parameters \(R\), \(L_d\), \(L_q\), and \(\psi_f\) can be equivalent to the identification of parameters \(\theta_{d1}, \theta_{d2, \theta_{d3}, \theta_{q1}, \theta_{q2, \theta_{q3}, \theta_{q4, \text{and the motor parameters can be deduced by Equation (6).}}}

4. APPLICATION OF GENETIC ALGORITHM IN MOTOR PARAMETER IDENTIFICATION

Genetic algorithm has the advantages of fast search speed, simple algorithm, wide range of adaptability, and good convergence. Therefore, genetic algorithm is used to identify the parameters of IPMSM in this paper.
Figure 1. Block diagram of genetic algorithm parameter identification.

\[
\begin{align*}
J(\theta) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \left( i_d(k) - \hat{i}_d(k) \right)^2 + \left( i_q(k) - \hat{i}_q(k) \right)^2 \right\} \\
&\quad \text{where } n \text{ is the number of discrete points collected in a sampling period.}
\end{align*}
\]

According to the objective function, the fitness of each individual is calculated, and the selection, crossover, and mutation operation are performed to obtain the next generation population. Repeat the above operations until the objective function reaches the set accuracy or reaches the preset number of iterations of genetic algorithm.

Figure 3 is the block diagram of genetic algorithm parameter identification feedforward decoupling control. Use the estimated value of the IPMSM parameters identified by the genetic algorithm to
calculate the compensation voltage $u_d$, $u_q$, and feed forward into the forward channel of the control block diagram to realize the online parameter identification and feedforward decoupling of the IPMSM.

**5. SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

In this experiment, an experimental platform for the IPMSM vector control system is set up. The input of the genetic algorithm module is the stator voltage, stator current, and motor speed of the motor. Table 1 shows the motor parameters.

The parameter identification algorithm requires the stator voltage, stator current, and the first derivative of each sampling point. The interference of noise has a great influence on the calculation result, so a second-order Butterworth low-pass filter is used to filter the sampled voltage and sampled current, and the cut-off frequencies are 10 Hz and 5 Hz, respectively. Figure 4 shows the experimental platform.
Table 1. Parameter of the motor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_n$ /W</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$n$ / (r/min)</td>
<td>3600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_{dc}$ /V</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>$R$ / Ω</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_n$ /A</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>$L_d$ /μH</td>
<td>68.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi_f$ /Wb</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>$L_q$ /μH</td>
<td>189.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_e$ / (N·m)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1. Genetic Algorithm Parameter Identification and Fitting Accuracy

The genetic algorithm is used for parameter identification, and the binary coding method is adopted. The coding length of each parameter is 10 bits. According to the motor parameters in Table 1, set the parameter selection ranges of $R$, $L_d$, $L_q$, and $\psi_f$ to 0–0.01 Ω, 50–100 μH, 150–200 μH, 0–0.05 Wb. Express the accuracy of the four parameters ($R$, $L_d$, $L_q$, and $\psi_f$) as $e_1$, $e_2$, $e_3$, and $e_4$:

\[
\begin{align*}
  e_1 &= \frac{0.01}{2^{10} - 1} = 9.7752 \times 10^{-6} \Omega \\
  e_2 &= \frac{50}{2^{10} - 1} = 0.0489 \mu H \\
  e_3 &= \frac{50}{2^{10} - 1} = 0.0489 \mu H \\
  e_4 &= \frac{0.05}{2^{10} - 1} = 4.8876 \times 10^{-5} \text{Wb}
\end{align*}
\]

(9)

The crossover rate is set to 0.6; the mutation rate is set to 0.01; the population size is 20; the number of iterations is set to 500; and the system control cycle is 0.1 ms.

When the motor is running at zero $d$-axis current; the load torque is half of the motor rated torque; and the motor speed is 3600 r/min, as shown in Figure 5, it is the objective function curve during 500 generations of genetic algorithm iteration. It can be found that genetic algorithm has the advantages

![Figure 5. Objective function curve.](image1)

![Figure 6. Identification value and accuracy of $R$.](image2)
of fast convergence speed and high accuracy, and it has converged to a relatively high level of accuracy around 50 generations.

The genetic algorithm identification experiment results are shown in Figures 6–9. As can be seen from the above figure, the four parameters can converge to the true value very well, and the accuracy can be controlled at about 3%, indicating that the genetic algorithm has a good identification effect.

5.2. Decoupling Control Based on Genetic Algorithm Parameter Identification

The voltage feedforward decoupling control strategy is simple in structure, easy to implement, and widely used in industrial process control. By designing a voltage compensator to offset the coupling voltage generated by the $d$- and $q$-axis current coupling, the dynamic control performance of the system is greatly improved. However, the traditional voltage feedforward decoupling largely depends on the motor parameters. When the motor parameters change, the coupling effect becomes more serious, and the decoupling cannot be fully realized. Using the genetic algorithm parameter identification strategy, the real-time identification of the motor parameters is input to the voltage compensation module, which can solve the problem that the compensated feedforward voltage does not match the motor model due to the change of the motor parameters.
The feedforward compensation voltage in Figure 3 can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_d &= -\omega_e \hat{L}_q i_q \\
    u_q &= \omega_e \hat{L}_d i_d + \omega_e \hat{\psi}_f
\end{align*}
\]  

(10)

where \(\hat{L}_d\), \(\hat{L}_q\), and \(\hat{\psi}_f\) are the estimated values of \(L_d\), \(L_q\), and \(\psi_f\), respectively.

Due to the fast iteration speed of the genetic algorithm, it can complete the iteration of the current sampling value within a 0.1 ms control period, so the identified parameter values are updated online in the voltage compensation module in real time to achieve real-time voltage feedforward online decoupling.

Figure 10 shows the coupling relationship of \(d\)- and \(q\)-axis currents in IPMSM without feedforward voltage compensation, traditional feedforward voltage compensation, and feedforward voltage compensation with parameter identification.

![Graphs showing currentcoupling relationship](image)

**Figure 10.** Decoupling performance of \(d\)- and \(q\)-axis currents. (a) No feedforward decoupling. (b) Traditional feedforward decoupling. (c) Neural network feedforward decoupling. (d) Feedforward decoupling method proposed in this paper.

In the first two seconds, the motor was running at no-load state, and then half of the rated load was suddenly added. It can be observed that without feedforward compensation, as shown in Figure 10(a), the \(d\)- and \(q\)-axis currents fluctuate greatly when the load is suddenly applied, and the overshoot is respectively 116.67 A and 72.7 A. This is caused by the coupling existing in the \(d\)- and \(q\)-axis currents. Traditional feedforward compensation, as shown in Figure 10(b), compared with no feedforward compensation, the \(d\)- and \(q\)-axis currents have a certain improvement, but there is still a certain fluctuation when the load changes, and the overshoot is 82.64 A, 25 A, because the compensation voltage does not match the actual parameters of the motor. Neural network feedforward compensation, as shown in Figure 10(c), has good steady-state performance, but when the load changes, the \(dq\) axis current overshoot is large, and the overshoot is respectively 153.13 A and 97.83 A. Figure 10(d) is the
method proposed in this article. When the load changes, there is no obvious fluctuation in the $d$- and $q$-axis currents, and the overshoot is only 76.92 A and 16.27 A, respectively. It shows that the decoupling effect of this method is good.

6. CONCLUSION

A decoupling control strategy based on genetic algorithm parameter identification is proposed to improve the accuracy of IPMSM decoupling control. With the change of motor operating condition, the IPMSM motor parameters will change obviously, and the coupling voltage of $d$-$q$ axis cannot be calculated accurately. In this paper, the inductance and flux linkage of the motor are identified online by genetic algorithm, and the compensation voltage is calculated by using the identification results. The voltage change caused by the change of parameters is compensated. At the same time, the validity of the proposed control strategy is verified by building the simulation model and the experimental control system of IPMSM. The results show that the proposed method has good robustness and improves the performance of decoupling control.
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