

ADIABATIC DYNAMICS OF GAUSSIAN AND SUPER-GAUSSIAN SOLITONS IN DISPERSION-MANAGED OPTICAL FIBERS

R. Kohl and A. Biswas

Center for Research and Education in Optical Sciences
and Applications
Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics
Delaware State University
Dover, DE 19901-2277, USA

D. Milovic

Faculty of Electronic Engineering
Department of Telecommunications
University of Nis
Aleksandra Medvedeva 14, 1800 Nis, Serbia

E. Zerrad

Department of Physics and Pre-Engineering
Delaware State University
Dover, DE 19901-2277, USA

Abstract—This paper talks about the adiabatic parameter dynamics of Gaussian and super-Gaussian optical solitons that propagate through dispersion-managed optical fibers. These parameter dynamics are useful in further study of various aspects of optical solitons, including the quasi-particle theory of optical solitons, collision induced timing jitter, the four-wave mixing and various other features. These perturbation terms and its corresponding adiabatic dynamics also can be used to study the aspects of ghost pulses and the effects of randomness in dispersion-managed optical fibers.

1. INTRODUCTION

The propagation of solitons through optical fibers has been a major area of research given its potential applicability in all optical communication systems [1–30]. The field of telecommunications has undergone a substantial evolution in the last couple of decades due to the impressive progress in the development of optical fibers, optical amplifiers as well as transmitters and receivers. In a modern optical communication system, the transmission link is composed of optical fibers and amplifiers that replace the electrical regenerators. But the amplifiers introduce some noise and signal distortion that limit the system capacity. Presently the optical systems that show the best characteristics in terms of simplicity, cost and robustness against the degrading effects of a link are those based on intensity modulation with direct detection (IM-DD). Conventional IM-DD systems are based on non-return-to-zero (NRZ) format, but for transmission at higher data rate the return-to-zero (RZ) format is preferred. When the data rate is quite high, soliton transmission can be used. It allows the exploitation of the fiber capacity much more, but the NRZ signals offer very high potential especially in terms of simplicity [9].

There are limitations, however, on the performance of optical system due to several effects that are present in optical fibers and amplifiers. Signal propagation through optical fibers can be affected by group velocity dispersion (GVD), polarization mode dispersion (PMD) and the nonlinear effects. The chromatic dispersion that is essentially the GVD when waveguide dispersion is negligible, is a linear effect that introduces pulse broadening generates intersymbol interference. The PMD arises due the fact that optical fibers for telecommunications have two polarization modes, in spite of the fact that they are called monomode fibers. These modes have two different group velocities that induce pulse broadening depending on the input signal state of polarization. The transmission impairment due to PMD looks similar to that of the GVD. However, PMD is a random process as compared to the GVD that is a deterministic process. So PMD cannot be controlled at the receiver. Newly installed optical fibers have quite low values of PMD that is about $0.1 \text{ ps}/\sqrt{\text{km}}$.

The main nonlinear effects that arises in monomode fibers are the Brillouin scattering, Raman scattering and the Kerr effect. Brillouin is a backward scattering that arises from acoustic waves and can generate forward noise at the receiver. Raman scattering is a forward scattering from silica molecules. The Raman gain response is characterized by low gain and wide bandwidth namely about 5 THz. The Raman threshold in conventional fibers is of the order of 500 mW for copolarized pump

and Stokes' wave (that is about 1 W for random polarization), thus making Raman effect negligible for a single channel signal. However, it becomes important for multichannel wavelength-division-multiplexed (WDM) signal due to an extremely wide band of wide gain curve.

The Kerr effect of nonlinearity is due to the dependence of the fiber refractive index on the field intensity. This effect mainly manifests as a new frequency when an optical signal propagates through a fiber. In a single channel the Kerr effect induces a spectral broadening and the phase of the signal is modulated according to its power profile. This effect is called self-phase modulation (SPM). The SPM-induced chirp combines with the linear chirp generated by the chromatic dispersion. If the fiber dispersion coefficient is positive namely in the normal dispersion regime, linear and nonlinear chirps have the same sign while in the anomalous dispersion regime they are of opposite signs. In the former case, pulse broadening is enhanced by SPM while in the later case it is reduced. In the anomalous dispersion case the Kerr nonlinearity induces a chirp that can compensate the degradation induced by GVD. Such a compensation is total if soliton signals are used.

If multichannel WDM signals are considered, the Kerr effect can be more degrading since it induces nonlinear cross-talk among the channels that is known as the cross-phase modulation (XPM). In addition WDM generates new frequencies called the Four-Wave mixing (FWM). The other issue in the WDM system is the collision-induced timing jitter that is introduced due to the collision of solitons in different channels. The XPM causes further nonlinear chirp that interacts with the fiber GVD as in the case of SPM. The FWM is a parametric interaction among waves satisfying a particular relationship called phase-matching that lead to power transfer among different channels.

To limit the FWM effect in a WDM it is preferable to operate with a local high GVD that is periodically compensated by devices having an opposite sign of GVD. One such device is a simple optical fiber with opportune GVD and the method is commonly known as the dispersion-management. With this approach the accumulated GVD can be very low and at the same time FWM effect is strongly limited. Through dispersion-management it is possible to achieve highest capacity for both RZ as well as NRZ signals. In that case the overall link dispersion has to be kept very close to zero, while a small amount of chromatic anomalous dispersion is useful for the efficient propagation of a soliton signal. It has been demonstrated that with soliton signals, the dispersion-management is very useful since it reduces collision induced timing jitter [3] and also the pulse

interactions. It thus permits the achievement of higher capacities as compared to the link having constant chromatic dispersion [9, 28].

2. GOVERNING EQUATIONS

The relevant equation, for studying the propagation of solitons through polarization-preserving optical fibers, is the nonlinear Schrödinger's equation (NLSE) with damping and periodic amplification [1]. In the dimensionless form, the NLSE is given by

$$iu_z + \frac{D(z)}{2}u_{tt} + |u|^2u = -i\Gamma u + i[e^{\Gamma z_a} - 1] \sum_{n=1}^N \delta(z - nz_a)u \quad (1)$$

Here, Γ is the normalized loss coefficient, z_a is the normalized characteristic amplifier spacing and z and t represent the normalized propagation distance and the normalized time, respectively, while u represents the wave profile expressed in the nondimensional units.

Also, $D(z)$ is used to model strong dispersion management. The fiber dispersion $D(z)$ is decomposed into two components namely a path-averaged constant value δ_a and a term representing the large rapid variation due to large local values of the dispersion [2]. Thus,

$$D(z) = \delta_a + \frac{1}{z_a} \Delta(\zeta) \quad (2)$$

where $\zeta = z/z_a$. The function $\Delta(\zeta)$ is taken to have average zero over an amplification period namely

$$\langle \Delta \rangle = \frac{1}{z_a} \int_0^{z_a} \Delta\left(\frac{z}{z_a}\right) dz = 0 \quad (3)$$

so that the path-averaged dispersion D will have an average δ_a namely

$$\langle D \rangle = \frac{1}{z_a} \int_0^{z_a} D(z) dz = \delta_a \quad (4)$$

The proportionality factor in front of $\Delta(\zeta)$, in (2), is chosen so that both δ_a and $\Delta(\zeta)$ are quantities of order one. In practical situations, dispersion management is often performed by concatenating together two or more sections of given length with different values of fiber dispersion. In the special case of a two-step map it is convenient to write the dispersion map as a periodic extension of [2]

$$\Delta(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \Delta_1 & : 0 \leq |\zeta| < \frac{\theta}{2} \\ \Delta_2 & : \frac{\theta}{2} \leq |\zeta| < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where Δ_1 and Δ_2 are given by

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{2s}{\theta} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\Delta_2 = -\frac{2s}{1-\theta} \quad (7)$$

with the map strength s defined as

$$s = \frac{\theta\Delta_1 - (1-\theta)\Delta_2}{4} \quad (8)$$

Conversely,

$$s = \frac{\Delta_1\Delta_2}{4(\Delta_2 - \Delta_1)} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\theta = \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_2 - \Delta_1} \quad (10)$$




Figure 1. Schematic diagram of a two-step dispersion map.

A typical two-step dispersion map is shown in the following figure. The solution to (1) is chosen of the form $u(z, t) = P(z)q(z, t)$, for real P . Taking P to satisfy

$$P_z + \Gamma P - [e^{\Gamma z_a} - 1] \sum_{n=1}^N \delta(z - nz_a) P = 0 \quad (11)$$

(1) transforms to

$$iq_z + \frac{D(z)}{2}q_{tt} + g(z)|q|^2q = 0 \quad (12)$$

where

$$g(z) = P^2(z) = a_0^2 e^{-2\Gamma(z-nz_a)} \quad (13)$$

for $z \in [nz_a, (n+1)z_a]$ and $n > 0$ and also

$$a_0 = \left[\frac{2\Gamma z_a}{1 - e^{-2\Gamma z_a}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (14)$$

so that over each amplification period

$$\langle g(z) \rangle = \frac{1}{z_a} \int_0^{z_a} g(z) dz = 1 \quad (15)$$

Thus, in equation (12), qz represents the evolution term, while the second term is the dispersion term and the third term represents the Kerr law nonlinearity. The optical soliton is the result of a delicate balance between dispersion and nonlinearity. Equation (12) is commonly known as the Dispersion-Managed Nonlinear Schrodinger's equation (DM-NLSE) and it governs the propagation of a dispersion-managed soliton through an optical fiber with damping and periodic amplification [2–4, 9].

3. POLARIZATION PRESERVING FIBERS

In a polarization preserved optical fiber, the propagation of solitons is governed by DM-NLSE given by (12). If $D(z) = g(z) = 1$ in (12), the NLSE is recovered. It is possible to integrate NLSE by the method of Inverse Scattering Transform (IST) and thus the NLSE falls into the category of S -integrable partial differential equations [15]. The IST is the nonlinear analog of Fourier transform that is used for solving linear partial differential equations. Moreover, the NLSE has an infinite number of conserved quantities. However, (12), as it appears, is no longer integrable and thus it does not belong to IST picture. Now, it is assumed that the solution of (12) is given by a chirped pulse of the form [3, 4, 6]

$$q(z, t) = A(z) f[B(z) \{t - \bar{t}(z)\}] \exp \left[iC(z) \{t - \bar{t}(z)\}^2 - i\kappa(z) \{t - \bar{t}(z)\} + i\theta(z) \right] \quad (16)$$

where f represents the shape of the pulse. Also, here the parameters $A(z)$, $B(z)$, $C(z)$, $\kappa(z)$, $\bar{t}(z)$ and $\theta(z)$ respectively represent the soliton amplitude, the inverse width of the pulse, chirp, frequency, the center of the pulse and the phase of the pulse.

3.1. Integrals of Motion

Equation (12) does not contain an infinite number of integrals of motion as in the case of NLSE that gives classical solitons. In fact, there are as few as two of them. They are energy (E), also known as the L_2 norm, and linear momentum (M) that are respectively given by [2, 3]

$$E = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q|^2 dt = \frac{A^2}{B} I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} \quad (17)$$

and

$$M = \frac{i}{2} D(z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (q^* q_t - q q_t^*) dt = -\kappa D(z) \frac{A^2}{B} I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} \quad (18)$$

where these conserved quantities are evaluated by using the pulse form that is given by (16). Also, the following notation is introduced for nonnegative integers p_j for $1 \leq j \leq 8$.

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6, p_7, p_8} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau^{p_1} f^{p_2}(\tau) \left(\frac{df}{d\tau} \right)^{p_3} \left(\frac{d^2 f}{d\tau^2} \right)^{p_4} \left(\frac{d^3 f}{d\tau^3} \right)^{p_5} \left(\frac{d^4 f}{d\tau^4} \right)^{p_6} \\ & \quad \left(\frac{d^5 f}{d\tau^5} \right)^{p_7} \left(\frac{d^6 f}{d\tau^6} \right)^{p_8} d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The Hamiltonian that is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (D(z)|q_t|^2 - g(z)|q|^4) dt \\ &= \frac{D(z)}{2} \left(A^2 B I_{0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0} + 4 \frac{A^2 C^2}{B^3} I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} + \frac{\kappa^2 A^2}{B} I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} \right) \\ & \quad - \frac{g(z)}{2} \frac{A^4}{B} I_{0,4,0,0,0,0,0,0} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

is however not a conserved quantity unless $D(z)$ and $g(z)$ are constants in which case one encounters infinitely many conserved quantities. However, in the context of optical solitons, Hamiltonian plays an important role and is therefore studied [28].

3.2. Parameter Dynamics

The soliton parameters that were introduced in the previous subsection are now defined as [28]

$$\kappa(z) = \frac{i}{2} D(z) \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (qq_t^* - q^* q_t) dt}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q|^2 dt} \quad (21)$$

$$C(z) = \frac{i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t (qq_t^* - q^* q_t) dt}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^2 |q|^2 dt} \quad (22)$$

$$\bar{B}(z) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^2 |q|^2 dt}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q|^2 dt} \quad (23)$$

$$\bar{t}(z) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t |q|^2 dt}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q|^2 dt} \quad (24)$$

where \bar{B} is defined as the root-mean-square (RMS) width of the soliton. In case of DM solitons, the alternately varying dispersion as seen in (2) and (5), makes the soliton breathe periodically. Thus, the soliton width does not stay constant although the energy of the soliton does. Hence, the RMS width is used in the analytical study of DM solitons. From these definitions, one can derive the variations of the soliton frequency, chirp, RMS-width as

$$\frac{d\kappa}{dz} = 0 \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dC}{dz} = D(z) & \left(\frac{I_{0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0}}{I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}} B^4 - 12C^2 + \frac{I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}}{I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}} \kappa^2 B^2 \right) \\ & - g(z) A^2 B^2 \frac{I_{0,4,0,0,0,0,0,0}}{I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{d\bar{B}}{dz} = -4D(z) \frac{C}{B} \frac{I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}}{I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}} \quad (27)$$

Also, the velocity of the soliton is given by given by

$$v = \frac{d\bar{t}}{dz} = -\kappa D(z) \quad (28)$$

4. PULSE TYPES

In this section, Equation (12) will be studied based on the observation that it supports well-defined chirped soliton solution whose shape is close to that of a Gaussian [1, 3]. These pulses deviate from classical solitons. However, Gaussian pulses have relatively broad leading and trailing edges. As one may expect, dispersion-induced broadening is sensitive to steepness of soliton edges. In general, a soliton with leading and trailing edges broadens more rapidly as it propagates since such a pulse has a wider spectrum to start with. Pulses emitted by directly modulated semiconductor lasers fall in this category and cannot generally be approximated by a Gaussian soliton. A hyper-Gaussian, also known as a super-Gaussian (SG) soliton can be used to model the effects of steep leading and trailing edges on dispersion-induced pulse broadening [5].

4.1. Gaussian Pulses

For a pulse of Gaussian type, $f(\tau) = e^{-\tau^2/2}$. Then, the conserved quantities respectively reduce to

$$E = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q|^2 dt = \frac{A^2}{B} \sqrt{\pi} \quad (29)$$

$$M = \frac{i}{2} D(z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (q^* q_t - q q_t^*) dt = -\kappa D(z) \frac{A^2}{B} \sqrt{\pi} \quad (30)$$

while the Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [D(z) |q_t|^2 - g(z) |q|^4] dt \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi} A^2}{4B^3} \left\{ D(z) (B^4 - 2\kappa^2 B^2 + 4C^2) - \sqrt{2} g(z) A^2 B^2 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The variations of the chirp and the RMS-width reduce to

$$\frac{dC}{dz} = D(z) (B^4 + \kappa^2 B^2 - 12C^4) - \sqrt{2} g(z) A^2 B^2 \quad (32)$$

and

$$\frac{d\bar{B}}{dz} = -\frac{2CD(z)}{B} \quad (33)$$




Figure 2. Breathing Gaussian soliton.




Figure 3. RMS-width variation of a Gaussian soliton.

In Figure 2, the breathing Gaussian soliton is seen, while in Figure 3, the variation of the RMS width of a Gaussian soliton is plotted.

4.2. Super-Gaussian Pulses

For SG pulses, $f(\tau) = e^{-\tau^{2m}/2}$ with $m \geq 1$ where the parameter m controls the degree of edge sharpness. With $m = 1$, the case of a chirped Gaussian pulse is recovered while for larger values of m the pulse gradually becomes square shaped with sharper leading and trailing edges [5]. In Figure 4 below, one can see the shapes of the pulses as the parameter m varies.




Figure 4. SG solitons for various values of “ m ”.

For a SG pulse the integrals of motion respectively are

$$E = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q|^2 dt = \frac{A^2}{mB} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \quad (34)$$

$$M = \frac{i}{2} D(z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (q^* q_t - q q_t^*) dt = -\kappa D(z) \frac{A^2}{mB} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \quad (35)$$

while the Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [D(z) |q_t|^2 - g(z) |q|^4] dt \\ &= \frac{A^2}{B} \left[D(z) \left\{ \frac{2C^2}{mB^2} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) + \frac{mB^2}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) - \frac{\kappa^2}{2m} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - g(z) \frac{A^2}{2m2^{\frac{1}{2m}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

The variations of the chirp and the RMS width in this case are given by

$$\frac{dC}{dz} = D(z) (m^2 B^4 - 12C^4 + m^2 \kappa^2 B^2) - g(z) \frac{A^2 B^2}{2^{\frac{1}{2m}}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right)} \quad (37)$$

and

$$\frac{d\bar{B}}{dz} = -\frac{4CD(z)}{B} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right)} \quad (38)$$



In Figures 5 and 6, one can see the profile of a breathing SG soliton and the variation of the RMS width of the SG soliton.

5. SOLITON PERTURBATION

In presence of perturbation terms, the perturbed NLSE is given by

$$iq_z + \frac{D(z)}{2} q_{tt} + g(z) |q|^2 q = i\epsilon R[q, q^*] \quad (39)$$

where R represents the spatio-differential operator, although sometimes it could, very well, represent an integral operator. Also, the

**Figure 5.** Breathing SG soliton.**Figure 6.** RMS-width variation of SG soliton.

perturbation parameter ϵ is the relative width of the spectrum and $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ by virtue of quasi-monochromaticity. In presence of these perturbation terms given by (39), the adiabatic variation of soliton parameters are given by

$$\frac{dE}{dz} = \epsilon \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (q^* R + q R^*) dt \quad (40)$$

From (21)–(23), one can obtain

$$\frac{d\kappa}{dz} = \frac{\epsilon D(z)}{E} \left[i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (q_t^* R - q_t R^*) dt - \kappa D(z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (q^* R + q R^*) dx \right] \quad (41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dC}{dz} = D(z) & \left(\frac{I_{0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0}}{I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}} B^4 - 12C^2 + \frac{I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}}{I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}} \kappa^2 B^2 \right) \\ & - g(z) A^2 B^2 \frac{I_{0,4,0,0,0,0,0,0}}{I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}} + \frac{4\epsilon}{I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}} \frac{CB^3}{A^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^2 (q^* R + q R^*) dx \\ & + \frac{i\epsilon}{I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}} \frac{B^3}{A^2} \left[2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t (q_t^* R - q_t R^*) dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (q^* R - q R^*) dx \right] \quad (42) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d\bar{B}}{dz} = -4D(z) \frac{C}{B} \frac{I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}}{I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}} + \frac{\epsilon}{E} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^2 (q^* R + q R^*) dx \quad (43)$$

Using the definitions given by (24) for the perturbed DM-NLSE (39), one gets the velocity change for the perturbed soliton as

$$v = \frac{d\bar{t}}{dz} = \kappa D(z) + \frac{\epsilon}{E} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t (q^* R + q R^*) dt \quad (44)$$

5.1. Examples

In this paper, the following perturbation terms that are considered, are all exhaustively studied in the context of fiber optics and optical solitons [2–4, 9, 12, 16, 17, 21, 28–30].

$$\begin{aligned}
 R = & \delta |q|^{2p} q + \alpha q_t + \beta q_{tt} - \gamma q_{ttt} + \lambda (|q|^2 q)_t + \nu (|q|^2)_t q \\
 & + \rho |q_t|^2 q - i\xi (q^2 q_t^*)_t - i\eta q_t^2 q^* - i\zeta q^* (q^2)_{tt} - i\mu (|q|^2)_t q \\
 & - i\chi q_{tttt} - i\psi q_{ttttt} + (\sigma_1 q + \sigma_2 q_t) \int_{-\infty}^t |q|^2 ds
 \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

In (45), δ is the coefficient of nonlinear damping or amplification [5] depending on its sign and p could be 0, 1, 2. For $p = 0$, δ is the linear amplification or attenuation according to δ being positive or negative. For $p = 1$, δ represents the two-photon absorption (or a nonlinear gain if $\delta > 0$). If $p = 2$, δ gives a higher order correction (saturation or loss) to the nonlinear amplification-absorption. Also, β is the bandpass filtering term [6]. In (45), λ is the self-steepening coefficient for short pulses [5] (typically ≤ 100 femto seconds), ν is the higher order dispersion coefficient [5]. Here μ is the coefficient of Raman scattering [5, 6] and α is the frequency separation between the soliton carrier and the frequency at the peak of EDFA gain [6]. Moreover, ρ represents the coefficient of nonlinear dissipation induced by Raman scattering [7]. The coefficients of ξ , η and ζ arise due to quasi-solitons [9]. The integro-differential perturbation terms with σ_1 and σ_2 are due to saturable amplifiers [5, 6].

The coefficients of the higher order dispersion terms are respectively given by γ , χ and ψ . It is known that the NLSE, as given by (1), does not give correct prediction for pulse widths smaller than 1 picosecond. For example, in solid state solitary lasers, where pulses as short as 10 femtoseconds are generated, the approximation breaks down. Thus, quasi-monochromaticity is no longer valid and so higher order dispersion terms come in. If the group velocity dispersion is close to zero, one needs to consider the third and higher order dispersion for performance enhancement along trans-oceanic and trans-continental distances. Also, for short pulse widths where group velocity dispersion changes, within the spectral bandwidth of the signal cannot be neglected, one needs to take into account the presence of higher order dispersion terms. This reasoning leads to the inclusion of the fourth and sixth order dispersion terms that are respectively given by the coefficients of χ and ψ . For these perturbation terms, the adiabatic

parameter dynamics are given as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dE}{dz} = & \frac{2\epsilon A^2}{B^5} \left[\delta A^{2p} B^4 I_{0,2p,0,0,0,0,0,0} \right. \\
& - \beta B^4 (4C^2 I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} + \kappa^2 B^2 I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} + B^4 I_{0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& + A^2 B^4 (4C^2 I_{2,4,0,0,0,0,0,0} + \kappa^2 B^4 I_{0,4,0,0,0,0,0,0} + B^4 I_{0,2,2,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& - 2\xi A^2 B^4 C (I_{0,4,0,0,0,0,0,0} + 2I_{1,3,1,0,0,0,0,0}) + \eta A^2 B^4 C I_{1,3,1,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& - 2\zeta A^2 B^4 C (2I_{0,4,0,0,0,0,0,0} - 5I_{1,3,1,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& + 4\chi C \{ B^6 (2I_{1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0} + 3I_{0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0}) \\
& - 3\kappa^2 B^4 (2I_{1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& - 4B^2 C^2 (2I_{3,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + 3I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \} \\
& + 4\psi C \{ 6B^8 I_{1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0} + 15B^6 I_{0,1,0,0,0,0,1,0} - 4B^8 I_{1,0,0,1,1,0,0,0} \\
& - 9B^6 I_{0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0} - 9B^8 I_{0,0,0,2,0,0,0,0} \\
& - 3\kappa^2 B^7 (11I_{1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0} + 63I_{0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0}) \\
& + 4B^4 C^2 (9I_{1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0} - 11I_{3,1,0,0,1,0,0,0} - 63I_{2,1,0,1,0,0,0,0}) \\
& + 30B^4 (\kappa^4 - 12C^2) I_{1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + 15B^4 (\kappa^4 - 4C^2) I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& - 120\kappa^2 B^2 C^2 (2I_{3,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + 3I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& + 48C^4 (2I_{5,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + 5I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \} \\
& \left. + \sigma_2 A^2 B^4 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f \frac{df}{d\tau} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} f^2(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \right] \quad (46)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\kappa}{dz} = & \frac{2\epsilon D(z)}{B^4 I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}} \left[\beta \kappa \{ B^6 (I_{0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0} - I_{0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0}) \right. \\
& + 8B^2 C^2 I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} \} + 2\gamma C \{ B^6 (3I_{0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0} + I_{0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0} \\
& - I_{1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0}) + 2\kappa^2 B^4 (3I_{0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0} + I_{0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0}) \\
& + 4B^2 C^2 (2I_{3,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + 3I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \} - 4\xi \kappa A^2 B^4 C I_{0,4,0,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& - 8\rho \kappa A^2 B^2 C^2 I_{2,4,0,0,0,0,0,0} + 2\mu A^2 B^6 I_{0,2,2,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& + 4(\lambda + \nu) A^2 B^4 C I_{1,3,1,0,0,0,0,0} - (8\xi - \eta + 6\zeta) \kappa A^2 B^4 C I_{1,3,1,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& + 4\chi \kappa C \{ 2B^6 (3I_{1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0} + 3I_{0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0} - I_{1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0}) \\
& - B^4 (2I_{1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& - 8B^2 C^2 (2I_{3,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + 3I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \} \\
& + 2\psi \kappa C \{ B^8 (2I_{0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0} - 9I_{0,0,0,2,0,0,0,0} - 6I_{1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0} \\
& + 4I_{1,0,0,1,1,0,0,0} + 53I_{0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0} - 40I_{1,0,1,0,0,1,0,0}) \}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\kappa^2 B^6 (13I_{1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0} + 180I_{1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0} \\
& + 216I_{0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0} + 6I_{0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& + 36B^4 C^2 (I_{3,1,0,0,1,0,0,0} - 20I_{3,0,1,1,0,0,0,0} + I_{1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0} \\
& - 17I_{2,1,0,1,0,0,0,0} - 10I_{2,0,2,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& + 15B^4 (\kappa^4 - C^2) (2I_{1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& - 80\kappa^2 B^2 C^2 (2I_{3,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + 3I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& - 192C^4 (2I_{5,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + 5I_{4,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \} \\
& + \sigma_2 \kappa A^2 B^4 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f \frac{df}{d\tau} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} f^2 d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \Big] \quad (47)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dC}{dz} = & \frac{D(z)}{I_{0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0}} (B^4 I_{0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0} - 12C^4 + \kappa^4 B^2 I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& - g(z) A^2 B^2 \frac{I_{0,4,0,0,0,0,0,0}}{I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}} + \frac{2\epsilon B^3}{I_{2,2,0}} \left[\frac{8\delta A^{2p} C}{B^3} I_{0,2p+2,0,0,0,0,0,0} \right. \\
& + A^2 B (\xi + 2\eta) (2I_{1,2,1,1,0,0,0,0} + I_{0,3,0,1,0,0,0,0}) \\
& + 2A^2 B (2\xi + \eta + 2\zeta) I_{1,1,3,0,0,0,0,0} + A^2 B (4\xi + \eta + 2\zeta) I_{0,2,2,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& - \frac{\kappa A^2}{B} (4\lambda + 4\nu + \xi\kappa - \eta\kappa + 3\zeta\kappa) I_{1,3,1,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& + \frac{\kappa A^2}{B} (\lambda + 3\xi\kappa - \kappa\eta) I_{0,4,0,0,0,0,0,0} - \frac{8A^2 C}{B^3} (2\xi - 2\eta - 3\zeta) I_{3,3,1,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& + A^2 (7\rho\kappa^2 - \eta C + 6\zeta C) I_{2,4,0,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& + \frac{\rho A^2 C}{B^5} (8B^4 I_{2,4,0,0,0,0,0,0} + 3C^2 I_{4,4,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& + \gamma\kappa (2BI_{1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0} + 6I_{1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0} - 3I_{0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0}) \\
& + \frac{8\beta C}{B} (I_{2,1,0,1,0,0,0,0} - I_{2,0,2,0,0,0,0} - I_{1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& + \frac{1}{B} (\alpha\kappa I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} - 4\gamma\kappa^3 I_{1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} - 2\beta C I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& + \frac{\gamma}{B} (\kappa^3 + 6\kappa^2 C - 4C^2) I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& - \frac{2\gamma\kappa C}{B^3} (5I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} + 28C I_{3,1,1,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& - \frac{4\beta C}{B^5} (8C^2 I_{4,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} + \kappa^2 B^2 C I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} + 3\kappa^2 B^2 I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& + \frac{\chi}{B^5} \{ 2B^8 I_{1,0,1,0,0,1,0,0} + B^8 I_{0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0} + 2B^3 I_{0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0} \\
& + 2\kappa^2 B^6 I_{1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0} - 6\kappa^2 B^5 I_{1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0} - 3\kappa^2 B^5 I_{0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +B^4 (64C^2 I_{3,1,0,0,1,0,0,0} - 48C^2 I_{3,0,1,1,0,0,0,0} \\
& +72C^2 I_{2,1,0,1,0,0,0,0} + 96C^2 I_{2,0,2,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& -6B^4 (\kappa^4 + 12C^2) I_{1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + B^4 (\kappa^4 - 12C^2) I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& -240\kappa^2 B^2 C^2 I_{3,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} - 168\kappa^2 B^2 C^2 I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& -16C^4 (8I_{5,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + 23I_{4,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \} \\
& +\frac{\psi}{B^7} \{ 2I_{1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1} + I_{0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1} + 12\kappa^2 B^{10} I_{1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0} \\
& -30\kappa B^{10} I_{1,0,1,0,0,1,0,0} - 15\kappa^2 B^{10} I_{0,1,1,0,0,0,1,0} + 96B^8 C^2 I_{3,1,0,0,0,0,1,0} \\
& -120B^8 C^2 I_{3,0,1,0,0,1,0,0} + 180B^8 C^2 I_{2,1,0,0,0,1,0,0} + 160B^8 C^2 I_{2,0,1,0,1,0,0,0} \\
& -40B^8 (\kappa^4 + 6C^2) I_{1,1,0,0,0,1,0,0} + 712B^8 C^2 I_{2,0,0,2,0,0,0,0} \\
& +8B^8 (15\kappa^4 - 2C^2) I_{1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0} - 15B^8 (\kappa^4 + 12C^2) I_{0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0} \\
& -288B^7 (\kappa^4 + 32C^2) I_{0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0} - 1440\kappa^2 B^6 C^2 I_{3,1,0,0,1,0,0,0} \\
& -2880\kappa^2 B^6 C^2 I_{3,0,1,1,0,0,0,0} - 2520\kappa^2 B^6 C^2 I_{2,1,0,1,0,0,0,0} \\
& +2\kappa^2 B^6 (5\kappa^4 - 252C^2) I_{1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0} + 360\kappa^2 B^6 C^2 I_{2,0,2,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& -\kappa^2 B^6 (\kappa^4 - 36C^2) I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} + 4320\kappa^2 B^2 C^4 I_{5,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& +7440\kappa^2 B^2 C^4 I_{4,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} + 64C^6 (22I_{7,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + 59I_{6,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& +4B^4 C^2 (480C^2 I_{5,0,1,1,0,0,0,0} - 320C^2 I_{5,1,0,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& -1380C^2 I_{4,1,0,1,0,0,0,0} + 165\kappa^4 I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} + 1164C^2 I_{4,0,2,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& +210\kappa^4 I_{3,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} - 1128C^2 I_{3,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} - 276C^2 I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \} \\
& -\frac{2\sigma_1 \kappa A^2}{B^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau f^2(\tau) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} f^2(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \\
& -\frac{2\sigma_2 A^2 C}{B^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau f^2(\tau) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} f^2(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \Big] \quad (48)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\bar{B}}{dz} = & -4D(z) \frac{C}{B} \frac{I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}}{I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}} + \frac{2\epsilon}{I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} B^6} [A^{2p} B^4 I_{2,2p+2,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& +\beta B^2 (B^4 I_{2,1,0,1,0,0,0,0} - \kappa^2 B^2 C I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} - 4C^2 I_{4,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& +\rho A^2 B^2 (4C^2 I_{4,4,0,0,0,0,0,0} + B^4 I_{2,2,2,0,0,0,0,0} + \kappa^2 B^2 I_{2,4,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& -\gamma \kappa B^4 C (I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} + 2I_{3,1,1,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& +A^2 B^4 C \{ 2(\eta - \xi) I_{3,3,1,0,0,0,0,0} + (2\zeta - \xi) I_{2,4,0,0,0,0,0,0} \} \\
& +4\chi \{ B^6 C (2I_{3,1,0,0,1,0,0,0} + 3I_{2,1,0,1,0,0,0,0}) - 2\kappa B^7 I_{1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0} \\
& -3\kappa^2 B^6 (2I_{3,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& -4B^2 C^3 (2I_{5,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + 3I_{4,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2\psi \{3B^8C(2I_{3,1,0,0,0,0,1,0} + 5I_{2,1,0,0,0,1,0,0} + 3I_{2,0,0,2,0,0,0,0}) \\
& -30\kappa^2B^6C(2I_{3,1,0,0,1,0,0,0} - 3I_{2,1,0,1,0,0,0,0}) \\
& -40B^4C^3(2I_{5,1,0,0,1,0,0,0} + 9I_{4,1,0,1,0,0,0,0}) \\
& +15B^4C(\kappa^4 - 4C^2)I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} + 30B^4C(\kappa^4 - 12C^2)I_{3,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& +48C^5(2I_{7,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + 5I_{6,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& +40\kappa^2(C^3I_{5,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + 9B^2I_{6,2,0,0,0,0,0,0})\} \\
& +\sigma_2A^2B^5 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau^2 f \frac{df}{d\tau} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} f^2 d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \Big] \tag{49}
\end{aligned}$$

while, the velocity change is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
v = & -\kappa D(z) + \frac{2\epsilon}{B^4 I_{0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}} [\alpha B^4 I_{1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + 4\beta \kappa B^2 C I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& +\gamma B^2 \{12C^2(I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} + I_{3,1,1,0,0,0,0,0}) - B^4 I_{1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0}\} \\
& -4\rho \kappa A^2 B^2 C I_{2,4,0,0,0,0,0,0} + 2\kappa A^2 B^4 (\xi - \eta + 4\zeta) I_{3,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& +A^2 B^4 (3\lambda + 2\nu) I_{3,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + 4\chi B^2 \{\kappa^3 B^2 I_{1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& -\kappa B^4 I_{1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0} + 12\kappa C^2 (I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0} + I_{3,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} + I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0})\} \\
& +2\psi \kappa \{10\kappa^2 B^6 I_{1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0} - 3B^8 I_{1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0} - 3\kappa^4 I_{1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& +12B^5 C^2 (10I_{3,1,0,0,1,0,0,0} + 21I_{2,1,0,1,0,0,0,0} + 6I_{2,0,2,0,0,0,0,0} \\
& +21I_{1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0}) - 120\kappa^2 B^2 C^2 (I_{1,3,1,1,0,0,0,0} + I_{2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0}) \\
& -240C^4 (I_{5,1,1,1,0,0,0,0} + 2I_{4,2,0,0,0,0,0,0})\} \\
& +\sigma_1 A^2 B^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau f^2(\tau) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} f^2(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \Big] \tag{50}
\end{aligned}$$

5.2. Gaussian Pulses

For a pulse of Gaussian type, the adiabatic parameter dynamics reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dE}{dz} = & \frac{\epsilon\sqrt{2\pi}A^2}{4B^3} \left[\frac{4\sqrt{2}\delta A^{2p}B^3}{\sqrt{p+1}} \right. \\
& +6\sqrt{2}\psi B^2C(2B^4 - 93\kappa^2B^2 + 42C^2) - A^2B^2C(4\xi + \eta + 26\zeta) \\
& +\rho A^2(B^4 + 4\kappa^2B^2 + 4C^2) - 2\sqrt{2}\beta(B^4 + 2\kappa^2B^2 + 4C^2) \\
& \left. -4\sqrt{2}\sigma_2 A^2B^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau e^{-\tau^2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\tau_1^2} d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \right] \tag{51}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\kappa}{dz} = & -\frac{\epsilon D(z)}{4B^2} \left[8\beta\kappa B (B^4 + 4C^2) + 2\sqrt{2}\mu A^2 B^4 \right. \\ & - 8\sqrt{2}\rho\kappa A^2 C^2 - 4\sqrt{2}(\lambda + \nu) A^2 B^2 C - (8\xi + \eta - 6\zeta)\sqrt{2}\kappa A^2 B^2 C \\ & + 4\psi\kappa C (1566B^3 C^2 + 561\kappa^2 B^4 - 321B^6) \\ & \left. - 8\sqrt{\pi}\sigma_2\kappa A^2 B^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau e^{-\tau^2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\tau_1^2} d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \right] \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dC}{dz} = & D(z) (B^4 + \kappa^2 B^2 - 12C^4) - \sqrt{2}g(z) A^2 B^2 \\ & + 4\epsilon B^3 \left[\frac{4\delta A^{2p} C}{B^3(p+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{\sqrt{2}A^2 B}{16} (3\xi + \eta + 12\zeta) + \frac{9\sqrt{2}\rho A^2 C^3}{4B^5} \right. \\ & + \frac{\sqrt{2}A^2}{8B} (8\lambda\kappa + 4\nu\kappa + 6\rho C + 13\xi\kappa^2 - 5\eta\kappa^2 - 3\zeta\kappa^2) \\ & + \frac{\alpha\kappa}{B} + \frac{\sqrt{2}A^2 C}{4B^3} (7\rho\kappa^2 + 6\xi C - 8\eta C + 3\zeta C) \\ & - \frac{\beta}{B^5} \{2B^5 C + 24C^3 - 2\kappa^2 B^2 C(C+3)\} \\ & + \frac{\gamma}{B^3} \{22\kappa C + B^3 (3\kappa^3 + 6\kappa^2 C - 4C^2)\} \\ & + \frac{\chi}{2B^5} (3B^8 + 36\kappa^2 B^6 + 384B^4 C^2 + 8\kappa^4 B^4 + 192\kappa^2 B^2 C^2 - 72C^4) \\ & - \frac{\psi}{4B^7} (45B^{12} + 210\kappa^2 B^{10} + 288B^8 C^2 + 228\kappa^4 B^8 + 15408\kappa^2 B^6 C^2 \\ & + 24\kappa^6 B^6 + 9792B^4 C^4 + 1200\kappa^4 B^4 C^2 + 10080\kappa^2 B^2 C^4 + 8640C^6) \\ & - \frac{2\sigma_1\kappa A^2}{\sqrt{\pi}B^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau e^{-\tau^2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\tau_1^2} d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \\ & \left. - \frac{2\sigma_2 A^2 C}{\sqrt{\pi}B^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau e^{-\tau^2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\tau_1^2} d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \right] \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\bar{B}}{dz} = & -\frac{2CD(z)}{B} + \frac{\epsilon}{8B^6} \left[\frac{8\delta A^{2p} B^4}{(p+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right. \\ & + 4\beta B^2 (B^4 - 2\kappa^2 B^2 C - 12C^2) + 96\chi BC (B^5 + 2\kappa^2 B^3 + 4C^2) \\ & + \frac{3\rho A^2 B}{\sqrt{2}} (B^5 + C^2) + A^2 B^4 C \sqrt{2} (\xi - 3\eta + 4\zeta) + 16\gamma B^4 C (\kappa - B^2) \\ & - 12\psi C (9B^6 + 120\kappa^2 B^7 + 720B^4 C^2 + 450\kappa^4 B^4 + 480\kappa^2 B^2 C^2 + 480C^4) \\ & \left. - \frac{16\sigma_2 A^2 B^4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau^3 e^{-\tau^2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\tau_1^2} d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \right] \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

while the velocity change is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 v = & -\kappa D(z) + \frac{\epsilon}{4B^4} \left[16\beta\kappa B^2 C - 8\alpha B^4 \right. \\
 & + \rho\sqrt{2}\kappa A^2 BC - 6\gamma B^2 (B^4 + 4C^2) - \sqrt{2}(3\lambda + 2\nu)A^2 B^4 \\
 & - 2\sqrt{2}(\xi - \eta + 4\zeta)\kappa A^2 B^4 - 8\chi\kappa B^2 (3B^4 + 2\kappa^2 B^2 + 12C^2) \\
 & + 6\psi\kappa (15B^8 + 12\kappa^2 B^6 + 96B^4 C^2 + 4\kappa^4 B^4 + 80\kappa^2 B^2 C^2 + 240C^4) \\
 & \left. + 8\sqrt{\pi}\sigma_1 A^2 B^3 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau e^{-\tau^2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\tau_1^2} d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \right] \quad (55)
 \end{aligned}$$

5.3. Super-Gaussian Pulses

For SG pulses, the adiabatic parameter dynamics reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{dE}{dz} = & \frac{2\epsilon A^2}{B^5} \left[\frac{\delta A^{2p} B^4}{m(p+1)^{\frac{1}{2m}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) - \frac{\beta B^2}{m} \right. \\
 & \left\{ (m^2 B^4 + 4C^2) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) + \kappa^2 B^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \right\} + \frac{2\gamma\kappa B^4 C}{m} \\
 & \left\{ 2m\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \right\} + \frac{2\xi A^2 B^5 C}{m 2^{\frac{3}{2m}}} \left\{ 2m\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) - 2^{\frac{1}{m}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \right\} \\
 & + \frac{\eta A^2 B^5 C}{2^{\frac{3}{2m}}} - \frac{2\zeta A^2 B^5 C}{m 2^{\frac{3}{2m}}} \left\{ 5m\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) + 2\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \right\} \\
 & + \frac{\rho A^2 B^2}{m 2^{\frac{3}{2m}}} \left\{ (m^2 B^4 + 4C^2) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) + 2^{\frac{1}{m}} \kappa^2 B^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \right\} \\
 & + \frac{4\chi C}{m} \left\{ 8mB^2 C^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) - (2m-3)\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) + \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \right\} \\
 & - \frac{2\psi B^5 C}{m} \left\{ 2m(m^4 B^3 + 22m^2 B^2 C^2 - 48C^4) \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2m}\right) \right. \\
 & - (240C^4 - 240m\kappa^2 B^2 C^2 - 12m^2 B^4 C^2 (3m+32) - 33m^3 \kappa^2 B^6 - 41m^4) \\
 & \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) - B^2 (360\kappa^2 C^2 - 30mB^3 (\kappa^4 - 12C^2) \\
 & + 36mB^2 C^2 (m+7) - 278m^2 \kappa^2 B^4 - 123m^3 B) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \\
 & \left. - 3(5B^5 (\kappa^4 - 4C^2) + 63m\kappa^2 B^6 + 12m^2) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -m\sigma_2 A^2 B^3 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau e^{-\tau^{2m}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\tau_1^{2m}} d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \Big] \quad (56) \\
\frac{d\kappa}{dz} = & \frac{2\epsilon D(z)}{B^4 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right)} \left[\beta \kappa B^2 \left\{ 8C^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) + mB^4 \left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \right\} \right. \\
& + 2\gamma C \left\{ m^2 B^6 \left(3\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) - 2m\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) \right) \right. \\
& - 2\kappa^2 B^4 \left(2m\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \right) \\
& - 4B^2 C^2 \left(2m\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) - 3\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \right) \Big\} \\
& + \frac{2m^2 \mu A^2 B^6}{2^{\frac{3}{2m}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) - \frac{8m\rho\kappa A^2 B^2 C^2}{2^{\frac{3}{2m}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \\
& - \frac{4m(\lambda + \nu) A^2 B^4 C}{2^{\frac{3}{2m}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) + 4\chi\kappa C \left\{ 2m^2 B^6 \left(3\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \right. \right. \\
& - 2m\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) \Big) + B^4 \left(2m\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \right) \\
& + 8B^2 C^2 \left(2m\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) - 3\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \right) \Big\} \\
& + 2\psi\kappa C \left\{ m^2 B^8 \left(42m^3 \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2m}\right) \right. \right. \\
& - 238m^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) + 45m\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) - 3\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \Big) \\
& + m\kappa^2 B^6 \left(193m^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) - 441m\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) + 216\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \right) \\
& + 36mB^4 C^2 \left(19m^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2m}\right) - m(m+44)\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) + (m+17)\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \right) \\
& + 15B^4 C^2 (\kappa^4 - C^2) \left(2m\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \right) \\
& + 80\kappa^2 B^2 C^2 \left(2m\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) - 3\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \right) + 192C^4 \left(2m\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2m}\right) \right. \\
& \left. \left. - 5\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) \right) \right\} - \frac{m^2 \sigma_2 \kappa A^2}{B} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau e^{-\tau^{2m}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\tau_1^{2m}} d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \Big] \quad (57)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dC}{dz} = & D(z) (m^2 B^4 - 12C^4 + m^2 \kappa^2 B^2) - g(z) \frac{A^2 B^2}{2^{\frac{1}{2m}}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right)} \\
& \frac{2\epsilon m B^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right)} \left[\frac{8A^{2p}C}{mB^3(p+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) + \frac{8\rho C}{B} \left(\frac{m}{2^{\frac{2}{m}}} + \frac{12C^3}{m^3 B^8 2^{\frac{3}{2m}}} \right) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) \right. \\
& + \frac{\alpha\kappa}{mB} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) - \frac{2\beta C}{m^2 B^8} \left\{ mB^7 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) + 2m\kappa^2 B^5 (C+3) \right. \\
& \left. \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) + 64C^3 \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) \right\} + \gamma \left\{ \frac{4\kappa}{B^3} (m^2 B^4 + 14C^2) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) \right. \\
& + \frac{\kappa}{B} (3mB - 4\kappa^2) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) + \frac{1}{mB} (\kappa^3 + 6\kappa C - 4C^2 + 3m\kappa B) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \left. \right\} \\
& + \frac{mA^2 B}{2^{\frac{3}{2m}}} (\xi + 2\zeta) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) - \frac{2m^2 A^2 B}{2^{\frac{5}{2m}}} (3\xi + \eta + 4\zeta) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) \\
& + \frac{mA^2 B}{2^{\frac{3}{2m}}} (7\xi + \eta + 8\zeta) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) + \frac{\kappa}{2^{\frac{1}{2m}} B} (\lambda + \nu + \xi\kappa + \eta\kappa + 3\zeta\kappa) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \\
& + \frac{\kappa}{mB} (\lambda + 3\xi\kappa - \eta\kappa) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) + \frac{8C^2}{mB^4 2^{\frac{2}{2m}}} (2\xi - 2\eta - 3\zeta) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) \\
& + \frac{4C}{m^2 B^3 2^{\frac{1}{2m}}} (7\rho\kappa^2 - \eta C + 6\zeta C) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \\
& \frac{\chi}{mB^5} \left\{ (2m^5 B^8 + 16m^3 B^4 C^2 - 128m^2 C^4) \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2m}\right) \right. \\
& - (15m^4 B^8 + 2m\kappa^2 B^6 + 312mB^4 C^2 + 240m\kappa^2 B^2 C^2 - 368mC^4) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) \\
& + (18m^3 B^8 - 3m^2 \kappa^2 B^5 - 6m\kappa^4 B^4 + 168m\kappa^2 B^2 C^2) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \\
& - (3m^2 B^8 + 3m\kappa^2 B^5 - 12mB^4 C^2 + \kappa^4 B^4) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \left. \right\} \\
& + \frac{\psi}{mB^7} \left\{ (24m^5 B^8 C^2 - 2m^7 B^{12} - 640m^3 B^4 C^4 - 1408mC^6) \Gamma\left(\frac{9}{2m}\right) \right. \\
& + (31m^6 B^{12} + 129m^4 B^8 C^2 - 1440m^3 \kappa^2 B^6 C^2 \\
& - 2784m^2 B^4 C^4 - 4320m\kappa^2 B^2 C^4 + 3776C^6) \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2m}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (105m^5 B^{12} + 80m\kappa^4 B^8 + 360m^2 \kappa^2 B^6 C^2 - 10032m B^4 C^4 \\
& - 7440\kappa^2 B^4 C^4) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) + (75m^4 B^{12} + 48m^2 B^8 C^2 + 3024m\kappa^2 B^6 C^2 \\
& - 10m\kappa^6 B^6 + 660m\kappa^2 B^4 C^2 - 1104m B^4 C^4) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \\
& - (15m^3 B^{12} + 45m\kappa^2 B^7 - 180m B^8 C^2 - 15m\kappa^4 B^8 \\
& + 36m\kappa^2 B^6 C^2 - \kappa^6 B^6) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \Big\} - \frac{2\sigma_1 \kappa A^2}{B^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau e^{-\tau^{2m}} \\
& \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\tau_1^{2m}} d\tau_1 \right) d\tau - \frac{2\sigma_2 A^2 C}{B^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau e^{-\tau^{2m}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\tau_1^{2m}} d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \Big] \quad (58)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\bar{B}}{dz} = & -\frac{4CD(z)}{B} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right)} + \frac{2\epsilon m}{B^6 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right)} \left[\frac{\delta A^{2p} B^4}{m(p+1)^{\frac{3}{2m}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \right. \\
& + \frac{\beta B^2}{m} \left\{ (m^2 B^4 - 4C^2) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) - B^2 (mB^2 + \kappa^2 C) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \right\} \\
& + \rho B^2 \left\{ \frac{mA^2 B^4}{2^{\frac{5}{2m}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) + \frac{4C^2}{m2^{\frac{2}{m}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) + \frac{\kappa^2 B^4}{m2^{\frac{1}{2m}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \right\} \\
& + \frac{B^4 C}{m2^{\frac{1}{m}}} (2\zeta - \xi) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) - \frac{2B^4 C}{2^{\frac{2}{m}}} (\eta - \xi) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) \\
& + \frac{\gamma B^4 C}{m} \left\{ 2m\kappa \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) - \kappa \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) - B^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \right\} \\
& + 4\chi \left\{ 2B^2 C (4C^2 - m^2 B^4) \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2m}\right) \right. \\
& + \frac{3B^2 C}{m} (3m^2 B^4 + 2m\kappa^2 B^2 - 4C^2) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) \\
& + \frac{3B^4 C}{m} (mB^2 + \kappa^2) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) - 3B^7 C \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) \Big\} \\
& + 2\psi \left\{ 2C (40m^2 B^4 C^2 - 6m^4 B^7 - 96C^4) \Gamma\left(\frac{9}{2m}\right) + \frac{4C}{m} \right. \\
& (14m^4 B^7 - 15m^3 \kappa^2 B^6 - 150m^2 B^4 C^2 - 60m\kappa^2 B^2 C^2 + 60C^4) \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2m}\right) \\
& \left. - \frac{2B^2 C}{m} (66m^3 B^5 + 135m^2 \kappa^2 B^4 - 15mB^2 (\kappa^2 - 24C^2) + 180\kappa^2 C^2) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) + 3(30m\kappa^2 B^7 C - 12mB^7 C + \kappa^4 - 4C^2) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \left\{ -m\sigma_2 A^2 B^4 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau^3 e^{-\tau^{2m}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\tau_1^{2m}} d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \right\} \quad (59)$$

while the velocity change is given by

$$\begin{aligned} v = & -\kappa D(z) + \frac{2\epsilon}{B^4 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2m}\right)} \left[B^2 (4\beta\kappa C - m\alpha B^2) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \right. \\ & + \gamma \left\{ mB^2 (m^2 B^4 - 12C^2) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) - 3B^2 (m^2 B^4 - 4C^2) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \right\} \\ & - \frac{A^2 B^2}{2^{\frac{3}{2m}}} \{ 4\rho\kappa C + 2(\xi - \eta + 4\zeta)m\kappa B^2 + (3\lambda + 2\nu)mB^2 \} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \\ & + 4\chi \left\{ m\kappa B^2 (m^2 B^4 - 12C^2) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) \right. \\ & \left. + m\kappa B^2 (12C^2 - \kappa^2 B^2 - 3mB^4) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \right\} \\ & + 2\psi \left\{ 3m (m^4 B^8 - 40m^2 \kappa B^4 C^2 + 80\kappa C^4) \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2m}\right) \right. \\ & - \kappa (m\kappa^2 B^6 - 684mB^4 C^2 - 120m\kappa^3 B^2 C^2 + 480C^4) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2m}\right) \\ & \left. + 3\kappa B^2 (25m^3 B^3 + m^2 \kappa B^4 - 168mB^2 C^2 + m\kappa^4 B^2 - 40\kappa^3 C^2) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2m}\right) \right\} \\ & \left. + m\sigma_1 A^2 B^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau e^{-\tau^{2m}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\tau_1^{2m}} d\tau_1 \right) d\tau \right] \quad (60) \end{aligned}$$

These adiabatic parameter dynamics of optical solitons, in presence of the perturbation terms, are very useful in studying the various aspects of solitons in nonlinear fiber optics. The collision induced timing jitter can be studied using these parameter dynamics. Also, the four-wave mixing problem can be studied and suppressed due to these perturbation terms. The quasi-particle theory to suppress the intra-channel collision of optical solitons can be developed by using the soliton perturbation theory. In fact, these parameter dynamics is absolutely essential to formulate this theory. The theory of ghost pulses is also studied using these soliton parameter dynamics. Thus, these adiabatic parameter dynamics is very essential to study the optical to increase performance enhancement across trans-oceanic and trans-continental distances.

6. CONCLUSIONS

This paper talks about the adiabatic parameter dynamics of dispersion-managed optical solitons in presence of perturbation terms that are both local as well as non-local. The adiabatic variation of the energy and the frequency of the solitons are obtained in this paper along with the change in the velocity of the soliton. Both Gaussian as well as super-Gaussian type solitons are considered in this paper.

These results are going to be used for further study of dispersion-managed solitons. One immediate application of this is in the study of intra-channel collision of solitons by virtue of quasi-particle theory. Another application of this is in the issue of Gabitov-Turitsyn equation in presence of perturbation terms. Such applications will be ventured in future and the results of those research will be reported in future publications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The research work of first and second author was fully supported by NSF Grant No: HRD-0630388 and the support is genuinely and sincerely appreciated.

The research work of the fourth author (EZ) is financially supported by the Army Research Office (ARO) under the award number W911NF-05-1-0451 and this support is thankfully appreciated.

REFERENCES

1. Ballav, M. and A. R. Chowdhury, "On a study of diffraction and dispersion-managed soliton in a cylindrical media," *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, PIER 63, 33–50, 2006.
2. Biswas, A., "Dispersion-managed solitons in optical fibres," *Journal of Optics A*, Vol 4, No. 1, 84–97, 2002.
3. Biswas, A. and S. Konar, *Introduction to Non-Kerr Law Optical Solitons*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.
4. Biswas, A., "Stochastic perturbation of parabolic law optical solitons," *Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications*, Vol. 21, No. 11, 1479–1488, 2007.
5. Faghihi, F. and H. Heydari, "A combination of time-domain finite element boundary integral and with time domain physical optics for calculation of electromagnetic scattering of 3D structures," *Progress in Electromagnetics Research*, PIER 79, 463–474, 2008.

6. Gangwar, R., S. P. Singh, and N. Singh, "Soliton based optical communications," *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, PIER 74, 157–166, 2007.
7. Hashimoto, M., "Geometrical optics of guided waves in waveguides," *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, Vol. 13, 115–147, 1996.
8. Hemon, R., P. Pouliguen, H. He, J. Saillard, and J.-F. Damiens, "Computation of EM field scattered by an open-ended cavity and by a cavity under radome using the iterative physical optics," *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, PIER 80, 77–105, 2008.
9. Hirooka, T. and S. Wabnitz, "Nonlinear gain control of dispersion-managed soliton amplitude and collisions," *Optical Fiber Technology*, Vol. 6, No. 2, 109–121, 2000.
10. Ibrahim, A. B. M. A. and P. K. Choudhury, "Relative power distributions in omniguiding photonic band-gap fibers," *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, PIER 72, 269–278, 2007.
11. Jovanoski, Z. and D. R. Rowland, "Variational analysis of solitary waves in a homogenous cubic-quintic nonlinear medium," *Journal of Modern Optics*, Vol. 48, No. 7, 1179–1193, 2001.
12. Kohl, R., A. Biswas, D. Milovic, and E. Zerrad, "Optical soliton perturbation in a non-Kerr law media," *Optics and Laser Technology*, Vol. 40, Issue 4, 647–662, 2008.
13. Lim, M. H., S. C. Yeow, P. K. Choudhury, and D. Kumar, "Towards the dispersion characteristics of tapered core dielectric optical fibers," *Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications*, Vol. 20, No. 12, 1597–1609, 2006.
14. Mandal, B. and A. R. Chowdhury, "Spatial soliton scattering in a quasi phase matched quadratic media in presence of cubic nonlinearity," *Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 123–135, 2007.
15. Maurya, S. N., V. Singh, B. Prasad, and S. P. Ojha, "Modal analysis and waveguide dispersion of an optical waveguide having a cross-section of the shape of a cardioid," *Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications*, Vol. 20, No. 8, 1021–1035, 2006.
16. Mishra, M. and S. Konar, "Interaction of solitons in a dispersion-managed optical communication system with asymmetric dispersion map," *Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications*, Vol. 21, No. 4, 2049–2058, 2007.
17. Mishra, M. and S. Konar, "High bit rate dense dispersion-managed optical communication systems with distributed ampli-

- fication,” *Progress in Electromagnetics Research*, PIER 78, 301–320, 2008.
18. Rostami, A. and A. Andalib, “A principal investigation of the group velocity dispersion (GVD) profile for optimum dispersion compensation in optical fibers: A theoretical study,” *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, PIER 75, 209–224, 2007.
 19. Rostami, A. and A. Yazdanpanah-Goharrizi, “A new method for classification and identification of complex fiber Bragg grating using the genetic algorithm,” *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, PIER 75, 329–356, 2007.
 20. Safavi-Naeini, S. and Y. L. Chow, “A physical optics method of analysis for junctions in dielectric waveguides,” *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, PIER 11, 199–253, 1995.
 21. Shwetanshumala, S. J. and S. Konar, “Propagation of a mixture of modes of a laser beam in a medium with saturable nonlinearity,” *Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 65–77, 2006.
 22. Shwetanshumala, S., “Temporal solitons of modified complex Ginzburg-Landau equation,” *Progress In Electromagnetics Research Letters*, Vol. 3, 17–24, 2008.
 23. Singh, S. P., R. Gangwar, and N. Singh, “Nonlinear scattering effects in optical fibers,” *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, PIER 74, 379–405, 2007.
 24. Singh, S. P. and N. Singh, “Nonlinear effects in optical fibers: Origin, management and applications,” *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, PIER 73, 249–275, 2007.
 25. Singh, V., S. N. Maurya, B. Prasad, and S. P. Ojha, “Conducting sheath helical winding on the core-cladding interface of a lightguide having a Piet Hein super-elliptical core cross-section and a standard optical fiber of circular cross-section. A comparative modal analysis,” *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, PIER 59, 231–249, 2006.
 26. Tan, K. B., Z. L. Liu, L. Li, and C. H. Liang, “Coherent analysis for electromagnetic solitons in PBG structure of square lattice,” *Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications*, Vol. 20, No. 14, 1903–1910, 2006.
 27. Tripathi, R., R. Gangwar, and N. Singh, “Reduction of cross-talk in wavelength division multiplexed fiber optic communication systems,” *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, PIER 77, 367–378, 2007.
 28. Turitsyn, S. K., E. A. Shapiro, S. B. Medvedev, M. P. Fedoruk,

- and V. K. Mezentsev, "Physics and mathematics of dispersion-managed optical solitons," *Comptes Rendus Physique*, Vol. 4, 145–161, 2003.
29. Wu, J.-W. and H.-B. Bao, "Amplification, compression and shaping of picosecond super-Gaussian optical pulse using MZI-SOAS configuration," *Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications*, Vol. 21, No. 15, 2215–2228, 2007.
30. Xu, C. L. and W. P. Huang, "Finite difference beam propagation method for guide-wave optics," *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, PIER 11, 1–49, 1995.