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#### Abstract

The pattern of each element in conformal array has a different direction for the curvature of conformal carrier, which results in polarization diversity of conformal array antenna. Polarization parameters of incident signals are considered in snapshot data model in order to describe the polarization diversity of conformal array antenna. It is required that the polarization parameters and direction of arrival (DOA) of incident signals are estimated together. An integrated frequency and DOA estimation method is proposed in this paper for cylindrical conformal array antenna. The frequency estimation of signal source is obtained by constructing state-space matrix. Through well-designed configuration of elements on cylindrical carriers along with estimation of signal parameters via propagator method (PM), the decoupling scheme for DOA and polarization parameters is implemented. A novel parameter pairing method for frequency and DOA of multiple sources utilizing the interpolation technique is given, based on which the fast frequency-DOA estimation algorithm is developed. Effectiveness of the proposed method is demonstrated by simulation experimental results.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Conformal antenna array, i.e., array antennas with antenna elements arranged conformal on a curved surface, will find its potential and promising applications in a variety of fields ranging from spaceborne, airborne, ship-borne, and missile-borne radar, space vehicles,

[^0]wireless communication to sonar [1,2]. Its advantages include dramatic reduction of aerodynamic drag and the weight of arrays, wide angle coverage of $360^{\circ}$, space-saving, reduction of radar cross-section, as well as potential increase in available aperture. Much of the existing research in conformal antenna array has mainly focused on the design of antenna elements [3-5], the pattern synthesis and optimization of conformal antenna [6-10]. The high resolution direction of arrival (DOA) estimation algorithms [11-14] based on conformal antenna array has not been studied as intensively $[15,16]$.

Most high resolution DOA estimation algorithms, such as multiple signal classification (MUSIC)-based and estimation of signal parameters via rotational invariance techniques (ESPRIT)-based algorithms, when used on uniform liner array with omni-direction antenna elements, always have high performances [17-19]. But usually, these algorithms cannot be used for conformal antenna array directly because of the varying curvature. Therefore, conformal antenna array presents many challenges to the high resolution DOA estimation. Firstly, MUSIC algorithm estimates DOA of incident signals for every array geometry. If the steering vector of the array is incomplete (Conformal array has the "shadow effect" due to the metallic cylinder, meaning that only the incident wave from a special angle, rather than all the antenna elements can receive this signal), the performance of MUSIC algorithm deteriorates quickly [15]. Subarray divided MUSIC algorithm could solve this problem efficiently. However, the complexity of the spectral searching may still be too high. The interpolated ESPRIT algorithm has been applied to the conformal antenna array in order to reduce the calculation complexity; nevertheless, the performance is still affected by the interpolated error [16]. Secondly, the element pattern is always defined in the element local coordinates, which leads to a polarization diversity characteristic of the conformal antenna array. In order to describe the polarization diversity characteristic, the polarization parameters of incident signals are considered in the snapshot data model of the conformal antenna array [20]. Thirdly, the mutual coupling between elements becomes more complicated and thus has to be taken into account. These characters restrict the application of conventional DOA algorithms on conformal antenna array for direction finding. The proposed algorithms in [14] could be used when the incident signals have the same frequency, but it could not be used when the incident signals have different frequencies. With the help of state-space and ESPRIT algorithm, the 2-D parameters estimation of joint frequency and angle has been turned into two 1-D parameters estimation [21]. However, polarization diversity characteristic makes it difficult to use
these ESPRIT algorithms on conformal antenna array.
In this paper, state-space and PM algorithm proposed in [23] are integrated to achieve the fast frequency and 2-D angle estimation based on the mathematics model of conformal antenna array proposed in [20]. And a novel parameters pairing method of frequency and DOA using the interpolation technique is proposed. Simulation results indicate the efficiency and accurately of the proposed algorithm. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the design configuration of elements on cylindrical carriers and the signal model of arbitrary conformal array configurations with polarization diversity are introduced. In Section 3, state-space and PM algorithm based on divided sub-array technique is described in detail. The parameters pairing methods are discussed. In Section 4, the Cramer-Rao Bound (CRB) is derived. Section 5 provides some final conclusions.

## 2. THE ELEMENTS CONFIGURATION ON CYLINDRICAL CARRIERS AND THE NARROWBAND DATA MODEL

### 2.1. The Elements Configuration on Cylindrical Carriers

Unlike ordinary circular array, conformal array has a "shadow effect" due to the metallic cylinder, which means that only the incident wave from a special angle, rather than all of the antenna elements can receive this signal. The sub-array divided technique proposed in [16] is adopted in this paper. Three sub-arrays are divided averagely, and each sub-array is in charge of azimuth coverage of $120^{\circ}$. According to the summery in the cylindrical structure, each sub-array has the same structure. Therefore, the parameters estimation method of each subarray is exactly the same. All the analysis and simulation depend on


Figure 1. The sub-array structure of cylindrical conformal antenna array.
sub-array 1 in this paper. The parameters estimation results of three sub-arrays are synthesized in order to estimate the incident signals' parameters for the scope of the entire space. Fig. 1 represents the model of cylindrical conformal array, and the elements configuration is well arranged on cylindrical carriers. The distance of the adjacent element in the same cross section is $\lambda / 4$, the distance of the adjacent cross section is $\lambda / 4$, and the radius of the cylindrical cross section is $5 \lambda, \lambda$ represents the shortest wavenumber of the incident signals.

### 2.2. The Narrowband Data Model of Conformal Array

Assuming that the sampling frequency of the system is $f_{s}=1 / T_{s}$, $T_{s}$ represents the sampling intervals $\left(T_{s}<1 / 2 f_{\max }\right.$, and $f_{\max }$ denotes the highest frequency of incident signals). According to the incident signal based on the assumption of the narrowband and far field, the normalized incident signal has the equation $s_{i}(n+1)=\phi_{i} s_{i}(n)$, where $i(i=1,2, \ldots, r)$ is the $i$ th incident signal, $r$ is source number, and $s(n)$ is the discrete sampling sequence of the incident signal. $\phi_{i}=$ $\exp \left(-j 2 \pi f_{i} / f_{s}\right)$ is the time-shift factor, and $f_{i}$ denotes the frequency of the $i$ th incident signal. In Fig. 2, the model of incident signal is constructed, and $\mathbf{u}$ is the direction vector of the incident signal with elevation $\theta$ and azimuth $\varphi$.

Establishing the model of array to receive data accurately is a prerequisite to parameter estimation. The steering vector captures the essence of array antenna's response to a unit intensity of the incident signal space. For conformal array antenna, the element pattern in different location has different direction because of the varying curvature. In the process of constructing the model for conformal antenna, the impact of each element pattern must be taken into account. In this paper we combine the models constructed in $[15,20]$. The steering vector of the conformal array antenna is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{a}(\theta, \varphi, f)=\left[r_{1} e^{-j 2 \pi c \frac{\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{f}}, \quad r_{2} e^{-j 2 \pi c \frac{\mathbf{p}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{f}}, \quad \ldots, \quad r_{M} e^{-j 2 \pi c \frac{\mathbf{p}_{M} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{f}}\right]^{T}  \tag{1}\\
& r_{i}=\left(g_{i \theta}^{2}+g_{i \varphi}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(k_{\theta}^{2}+k_{\varphi}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \cos \left(\theta_{i g k}\right) \\
& =\left|g_{i}\right|\left|p_{l}\right| \cos \left(\theta_{i g k}\right)=\mathbf{g}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{l}=g_{i \theta} k_{\theta}+g_{i \varphi} k_{\varphi}  \tag{2}\\
& \mathbf{P}_{i}=\sin \left(\theta_{o i}\right) \cos \left(\varphi_{o i}\right) \mathbf{x}+\sin \left(\theta_{o i}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{o i}\right) \mathbf{y}+\cos \left(\theta_{o i}\right) \mathbf{z}  \tag{3}\\
& \mathbf{u}=\sin (\theta) \cos (\varphi) \mathbf{x}+\sin (\theta) \sin (\varphi) \mathbf{y}+\cos (\theta) \mathbf{z}  \tag{4}\\
& \mathbf{g}_{i}=g_{i \theta}(\theta, \varphi) \mathbf{u}_{\theta}+g_{i \varphi}(\theta, \varphi) \mathbf{u}_{\varphi}  \tag{5}\\
& \mathbf{u}_{\theta}=\sin (\theta) \cos (\varphi) \mathbf{x}+\sin (\theta) \sin (\varphi) \mathbf{y}+\cos (\theta) \mathbf{z}  \tag{6}\\
& \mathbf{u}_{\theta}=-\sin (\varphi) \mathbf{x}+\cos (\varphi) \mathbf{y} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{P}_{i}$ is the position vector of the $i$ th element which is in the global

coordinate. $\theta_{o i}$ and $\varphi_{o i}$ are the elevation and azimuth respectively for direction vector of the $i$ th element in the global coordinate. $\mathbf{u}$ is the direction vector of the narrowband far field incident signal with elevation $\theta$ and azimuth $\varphi$ in the global coordinate. $f$ and $c$ represent the frequency and light velocity respectively. As shown in Fig. 3, $k_{\theta}$ and $k_{\varphi}$ are the component products which projected the incident signal polarization vector onto polarization basis vectors $\mathbf{u}_{\theta}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\varphi}$ respectively. $\mathbf{u}_{\theta}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\varphi}$ are orthogonal unit vector. $\mathbf{g}_{i}$ is a unit pattern. $\mathbf{p}_{l}$ is direction vector of electric field. $r_{i}$ is the element's response to the $i$ th unit strength incident signal in the global coordinate. $g_{i \theta}, g_{i \varphi}$ are the component products which projected the $i$ th element pattern onto $\mathbf{u}_{\theta}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\varphi}$ respectively. $\theta_{i g k}$ is the angle between the vector $\mathbf{g}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{l}$, and $(\cdot)^{T}$ denotes the transpose.

The narrowband snapshot data model of conformal array antenna is expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{X}(n) & =\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{A S}(n)+\mathbf{N}(n) \\
& =\left(\mathbf{G}_{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\theta} \mathbf{K}_{\theta}+\mathbf{G _ { \varphi }} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\varphi} \mathbf{K}_{\varphi}\right) \mathbf{S}(n)+\mathbf{N}(n)=\mathbf{B S}(n)+\mathbf{N}(n)  \tag{8}\\
\mathbf{S}(n) & =\left[s_{1}(n), s_{2}(n) \ldots, s_{r}(n)\right]^{T}  \tag{9}\\
\mathbf{N}(n) & =\left[n_{1}(n), n_{2}(n) \ldots, n_{r}(n)\right]^{T}  \tag{10}\\
\mathbf{G}_{\theta} & =\left[\mathbf{g}_{\theta}\left(\theta_{1}, \varphi_{1}, f_{1}\right), \mathbf{g}_{\theta}\left(\theta_{2}, \varphi_{2}, f_{2}\right), \ldots, \mathbf{g}_{\theta}\left(\theta_{r}, \varphi_{r}, f_{r}\right)\right]  \tag{11}\\
\mathbf{G}_{\varphi} & =\left[\mathbf{g}_{\varphi}\left(\theta_{1}, \varphi_{1}, f_{1}\right), \mathbf{g}_{\varphi}\left(\theta_{2}, \varphi_{2}, f_{2}\right), \ldots, \mathbf{g}_{\varphi}\left(\theta_{r}, \varphi_{r}, f_{r}\right)\right]  \tag{12}\\
\mathbf{A}_{\theta} & =\left[\mathbf{a}_{\theta}\left(\theta_{1}, \varphi_{1}, f_{1}\right), \mathbf{a}_{\theta}\left(\theta_{2}, \varphi_{2}, f_{2}\right), \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{\theta}\left(\theta_{r}, \varphi_{r}, f_{r}\right)\right]  \tag{13}\\
\mathbf{A}_{\varphi} & =\left[\mathbf{a}_{\varphi}\left(\theta_{1}, \varphi_{1}, f_{1}\right), \mathbf{a}_{\varphi}\left(\theta_{2}, \varphi_{2}, f_{2}\right), \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{\varphi}\left(\theta_{r}, \varphi_{r}, f_{r}\right)\right]  \tag{14}\\
\mathbf{K}_{\theta} & =\operatorname{diag}\left(k_{1 \theta}, k_{2 \theta}, \ldots, k_{r \theta}\right)  \tag{15}\\
\mathbf{K}_{\varphi} & =\operatorname{diag}\left(k_{1 \varphi}, k_{2 \varphi}, \ldots, k_{r \varphi}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{G}$ is the $M \times r$ pattern matrix, and $\mathbf{A}$ is the $M \times r$ manifold matrix. $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{A}$ includes the incident signal information of DOA and
polarization status. $\mathbf{S}(n)$ is the $r \times 1$ signal vector. $\mathbf{S}(n+1)=\boldsymbol{\Phi} \cdot \mathbf{S}(n)$, $\boldsymbol{\Phi}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{r}\right]$ is the time-shift rotational invariance matrix which is used to obtain the frequencies of incident signals. $\mathbf{N}(n)$ is the $M \times 1$ additive Gaussian white noise. $\mathbf{K}=\operatorname{diag}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{r}\right)$ means that $\mathbf{K}$ is a diagonal matrix, and the main diagonal elements are $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots k_{r} . \theta_{i}$ and $\varphi_{i}$ represent elevation and azimuth in the global coordinate which respond to the $i$ th incident signal. $k_{i \theta}$ and $k_{i \varphi}$ are the component products which projected the $i$ th incident signal polarization vectors onto $\mathbf{u}_{\theta}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\varphi}$ respectively.

The snapshot data model of conformal array antenna must take into account the fact that the definition and design of each element refer to its local coordinate. A spatial rotation transformation is always necessary to find the element pattern in the array global coordinate. The data received by array is determined by the DOA and polarization parameters of the incident signal. While estimating the DOA of the cylindrical conformal array antenna, the decoupling between the DOA and the polarization must be completed. The pairing of frequency and DOA has also been a challenging problem caused by different element patterns.

## 3. JOINT FREQUENCY AND ANGLE ESTIMATION

### 3.1. The Array Structure and the Model of the Receive Data

The array geometry structure used in the proposed algorithm is shown in Fig. 1. $1 \sim m$ is array $1,2 \sim m+1$ is array $2, m+2 \sim 2 m+1$ is array 3 , and $2 m+2 \sim 3 m+1$ is array 4 . The first pair of sub-array 1 consists of array 1 and array 2 , the distance vector is $\Delta \mathbf{P}_{1}$, and $\left|\Delta \mathbf{P}_{1}\right|=\mathbf{d}_{1}=\lambda / 4$ is shown in Fig. 4(a). From Fig. 4(b), it can be seen that the second pair of sub-array 2 consists of array 1 and array 3 , the distance vector is $\Delta \mathbf{P}_{2}$. The third pair of sub-array 3 consists of array 1 and array 4 , the distance vector is $\Delta \mathbf{P}_{3},\left|\Delta \mathbf{P}_{2}\right|=\mathbf{d}_{2}=\left|\Delta \mathbf{P}_{3}\right|=\mathbf{d}_{3}=\lambda / 4$. The elements which share the same busbar have the same pattern direction, therefore the elements from 1 to $m+1$ have the same pattern $\mathbf{g}_{1}$, the elements from $m+2$ to $2 m+1$ have the same pattern $\mathbf{g}_{2}$, and the elements from $2 m+2$ to $3 m+1$ have the same pattern $\mathbf{g}_{3}$. If we make full use of the characteristics of the array structure, the coupling between the DOA and the polarization could be solved.

Assuming that $\mathbf{X}_{1}, \mathbf{X}_{2}, \mathbf{X}_{3}, \mathbf{X}_{4}$ stand for array 1 , array 2 , array 3 , array 4 respectively, and the coordinate origin is regarded as a reference point of the received signals. The signal that each array receives can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{X}_{1}=\mathbf{B S}+\mathbf{N}_{1} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$


(a)

(b)

Figure 4. (a) The distance vector $\Delta \mathbf{P}_{1}$ between array 1 and array 2. (b) The distance vector $\Delta \mathbf{P}_{2}$ between array 1 and array 3 , and the distance vector $\Delta \mathbf{P}_{3}$ between array 1 and array 4 .

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{X}_{2}=\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{1} \mathbf{S}+\mathbf{N}_{2}  \tag{18}\\
& \mathbf{X}_{3}=\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{2} \mathbf{S}+\mathbf{N}_{3}  \tag{19}\\
& \mathbf{X}_{4}=\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{3} \mathbf{S}+\mathbf{N}_{4} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{\Psi}_{1}= & \operatorname{diag}\left[\exp \left(-j \omega_{11}\right), \exp \left(-j \omega_{12}\right), \ldots, \exp \left(-j \omega_{1 r}\right)\right]  \tag{21}\\
\mathbf{\Psi}_{2}= & \operatorname{diag}\left[\frac{r_{3}\left(\theta_{1}, \varphi_{1}, f_{1}\right)}{r_{1}\left(\theta_{1}, \varphi_{1}, f_{1}\right)} \exp \left(-j \omega_{21}\right),\right. \\
& \left.\frac{r_{3}\left(\theta_{2}, \varphi_{2}, f_{2}\right)}{r_{1}\left(\theta_{2}, \varphi_{2}, f_{2}\right)} \exp \left(-j \omega_{22}\right), \ldots, \frac{r_{3}\left(\theta_{r}, \varphi_{r}, f_{r}\right)}{r_{1}\left(\theta_{r}, \varphi_{r}, f_{r}\right)} \exp \left(-j \omega_{2 r}\right)\right]  \tag{22}\\
\mathbf{\Psi}_{3}= & \operatorname{diag}\left[\frac{r_{4}\left(\theta_{1}, \varphi_{1}, f_{1}\right)}{r_{1}\left(\theta_{1}, \varphi_{1}, f_{1}\right)} \exp \left(-j \omega_{21}\right),\right. \\
& \left.\frac{r_{4}\left(\theta_{2}, \varphi_{2}, f_{2}\right)}{r_{1}\left(\theta_{2}, \varphi_{2}, f_{2}\right)} \exp \left(-j \omega_{22}\right), \ldots, \frac{r_{4}\left(\theta_{r}, \varphi_{r}, f_{r}\right)}{r_{1}\left(\theta_{r}, \varphi_{r}, f_{r}\right)} \exp \left(-j \omega_{2 r}\right)\right](  \tag{23}\\
\omega_{1 i}= & \left(2 \pi f_{i} / c\right) d_{1} \Delta \mathbf{P}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{i} \\
= & \left(2 \pi d_{1} f_{i} / c\right)\left[\operatorname { s i n } ( \theta _ { \Delta \mathbf { P } _ { 1 } ) } ) \operatorname { c o s } \left(\varphi_{\left.\Delta \mathbf{P}_{1}\right) \sin \left(\theta_{i}\right) \cos \left(\varphi_{i}\right)}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\sin \left(\theta_{\left.\Delta \mathbf{P}_{1}\right)}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{\left.\Delta \mathbf{P}_{1}\right)}\right) \sin \left(\theta_{i}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{i}\right)+\cos \left(\theta_{\left.\Delta \mathbf{P}_{1}\right)}\right) \cos \left(\theta_{i}\right)\right]  \tag{24}\\
\omega_{2 i}= & \left(2 \pi f_{i} / c\right) d_{2} \Delta \mathbf{P}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{i} \\
= & \left(2 \pi d_{2} f_{i} / c\right)\left[\sin \left(\theta_{\Delta \mathbf{P}_{2}}\right) \cos \left(\varphi_{\Delta \mathbf{P}_{2}}\right) \sin \left(\theta_{i}\right) \cos \left(\varphi_{i}\right)\right. \\
& +\sin \left(\theta_{\left.\left.\Delta \mathbf{P}_{2}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{\Delta \mathbf{P}_{2}}\right) \sin \left(\theta_{i}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{i}\right)+\cos \left(\theta_{\Delta \mathbf{P}_{2}}\right) \cos \left(\theta_{i}\right)\right]( }^{\omega_{3 i}=}\right.  \tag{25}\\
= & \left(2 \pi f_{i} / c\right) d_{3} \Delta \mathbf{P}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{i} \\
& \left(2 \pi d_{3} f_{i} / c\right)\left[\sin \left(\theta_{\Delta \mathbf{P}_{3}}\right) \cos \left(\varphi_{\Delta \mathbf{P}_{3}}\right) \sin \left(\theta_{i}\right) \cos \left(\varphi_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sin \left(\theta_{\Delta \mathbf{P}_{3}}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{\Delta \mathbf{P}_{3}}\right) \sin \left(\theta_{i}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{i}\right)+\cos \left(\theta_{\Delta \mathbf{P}_{3}}\right) \cos \left(\theta_{i}\right)\right]( \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

$\theta_{\Delta \mathbf{P}_{i}}$ and $\varphi_{\Delta \mathbf{P}_{i}}$ represent elevation and azimuth in the global coordinate which respond to the distance vector $\Delta \mathbf{P}_{i} . r_{i}(i=1,2$,
3) is the pattern of each array element. The received data matrix can be reconstructed as:

$$
\mathbf{X}_{4 m \times r}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{X}_{1}^{T} & \mathbf{X}_{2}^{T} & \mathbf{X}_{3}^{T} & \mathbf{X}_{4}^{T} \tag{27}
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

### 3.2. The Frequency Estimation Based on State-space

In the observation time window, $L+1$ points are sampled. From $\mathbf{S}(n+1)=\boldsymbol{\Phi} \cdot \mathbf{S}(n)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{X}(k) & =\mathbf{B S}(k)+\mathbf{N}(k) \\
& =\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{S}(k-1)+\mathbf{N}(k)=\ldots, \ldots \mathbf{B} \Phi^{k} \mathbf{S}(k)+\mathbf{N}(k) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

$4 N m \times(L-N+1)$ matrix is constructed as:

$$
\mathbf{X}_{N}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{X}(1) & \mathbf{X}(2) & \ldots & \mathbf{X}(L-N+1)  \tag{29}\\
\mathbf{X}(2) & \mathbf{X}(3) & \ldots & \mathbf{X}(L-N+2) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{X}(N) & \mathbf{X}(N+1) & \ldots & \mathbf{X}(L+1)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $N$ is the temporal smoothing parameter. The temporal smoothing matrix $\mathbf{X}_{N}$ can be constructed by delaying the row $[\mathbf{X}(1), \mathbf{X}(2), \ldots, \mathbf{X}(L-N+1)]$. Assuming that all the incident signals have different frequencies (here, $m>r$ ). The signals are divided into $r$ groups (each group has the same DOA). Suppose $p_{i}$ is the number of signal source in the $i$ th group. $\mathbf{X}_{N}$ is full rank if and only if $N \geq{ }_{i}^{\max } p_{i}$. The temporal smoothing approach can distinguish the signals which have the same DOA but different frequencies, more details can be found in [19].

When $N \ll f_{s}$, we take (28) into (29), then (29) is written in another form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{X}_{N} & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{S}(1) & \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{S}(2) & \ldots & \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{S}(L-N+1) \\
\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{S}(2) & \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{2} \mathbf{S}(3) & \ldots & \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{2} \mathbf{S}(L-N+2) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{N} \mathbf{S}(N) & \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{N} \mathbf{S}(N+1) & \ldots & \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{N} \mathbf{S}(L+1)
\end{array}\right]+\mathbf{N}_{N} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \\
\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{N}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{s}(1) & \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{2} \mathbf{s}(2) & \ldots \\
\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{N} \mathbf{s}(L-N+1)
\end{array}\right]+\mathbf{N}_{N} \\
& =(\mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{K})\left(\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{T}} \odot \mathbf{s}\right)+\mathbf{N}_{N}=\mathbf{B}_{N} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}}+\mathbf{N}_{N} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{K}$ denotes $\left[\boldsymbol{\Phi} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{2} \ldots \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{N}\right]^{\mathbf{T}}$, and $\mathbf{s}$ denotes $\left[s_{1}, s_{2} \ldots, s_{r}\right]^{T} . \otimes$ is the left Kronecker product and $\odot$ the Hadamard product. It can be
seen that (30) and (8) have a similar expression form. B is merely replaced by $\mathbf{B}_{N}$. The eigenvalue decomposition of the covariance matrix of $\mathbf{X}_{N}$ is represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R}=\sum_{k=1}^{4 N m} \sigma_{k}^{2} \mathbf{u}_{k} \mathbf{u}_{k}^{H} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $r$ eigenvectors are response to the $r$ larger eigenvalues. $\mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{r}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{N}$ span the same space

$$
\operatorname{span}\left(\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{u}_{1} & \mathbf{u}_{2} & , \ldots, & \mathbf{u}_{r} \tag{32}
\end{array}\right]\right) \simeq \operatorname{span}\left(\mathbf{B}_{N}\right)
$$

The estimation of signal subspace $\hat{\mathbf{U}}$ is

$$
\hat{\mathbf{U}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{u}_{1} & \mathbf{u}_{2} & , \ldots, & \mathbf{u}_{r} \tag{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

There exists a unknown $r \times r$ matrix $\mathbf{T}$, then the transform matrices $\mathbf{B}_{T}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}$ are represented as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{T}=\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{T}=(\hat{\mathbf{U}})_{1: 1} \\
& \hat{\mathbf{\Phi}}_{T}=\mathbf{T}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{T}=(\hat{\mathbf{U}})_{1: 4 m-1}^{\dagger}(\hat{\mathbf{U}})_{2: 4 m} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(\cdot)^{\dagger}$ denotes the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse, and $(\hat{\mathbf{U}})_{k: l}$ is the sub-array from the $k$ th to the $l$ th (the sub-array is $4 m \times r) . \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ have the same eigenvalues. The eigenvalue decomposition of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{\Phi}_{T}=\mathbf{E} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{E}^{-1} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{\Phi}_{T}$ correspond to the eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$, therefore, the estimation of frequencies for the incident signals can be obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}=\left[\operatorname{angle}\left(\phi_{i}\right) \times f_{s}\right] / 2 \pi, \quad i=1,2, \ldots r \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

A diagonalization of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}$ is completed by $\mathbf{E}$, which provides a estimation of transform matrix $\mathbf{T}^{-1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathbf{B}}=\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{T} \mathbf{E} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3. The DOA Estimation Based on PM Algorithm

Since the steering vector has column full rank and $r$ rows of $\mathbf{B}$ are linearly independent, other rows can be represented by these $r$ rows. Assuming that the former $r$ rows are linearly independent, the steering vector $\mathbf{B}$ is divided into 2 blocks

$$
\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{B}_{1}^{T} & \mathbf{B}_{2}^{T} \tag{38}
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

$\mathbf{B}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ are $r \times r$ and $(m-r) \times r$ matrices.
The propagator $\mathbf{V}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{V}^{H} \mathbf{B}_{1}=\mathbf{B}_{2} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $4 m \times r$ matrix can be constructed as

$$
\mathbf{C}_{4 m \times r}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{B}^{T} & \left(\mathbf{B} \Psi_{1}\right)^{T} & \left(\mathbf{B} \Psi_{2}\right)^{T} & \left(\mathbf{B} \Psi_{3}\right)^{T} \tag{40}
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

The matrix $\mathbf{C}$ is also divided into 2 blocks

$$
\mathbf{C}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{B}_{1}^{T} & \mathbf{C}_{1}^{T} \tag{41}
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{1}=\left[\mathbf{B}_{2}^{T}\left(\mathbf{B}_{1} \Psi_{1}\right)^{T}\left(\mathbf{B}_{2} \Psi_{1}\right)^{T}\left(\mathbf{B}_{1} \Psi_{2}\right)^{T}\left(\mathbf{B}_{2} \Psi_{2}\right)^{T}\left(\mathbf{B}_{1} \Psi_{3}\right)^{T}\left(\mathbf{B}_{2} \Psi_{3}\right)^{T}\right] \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{C}_{1}=\overline{\mathbf{V}}^{H} \mathbf{B}_{1}$, and $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ is the propagator whose dimension is $r \times[4 m-r]$. From (37), the matrix $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ is divided into 2 blocks

$$
\hat{\mathbf{B}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{1}^{T} & \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{2}^{T} \tag{43}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$w h e r e, \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{1}$ is $r \times r$ matrix, and $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{2}$ is $(4 m-r) \times r$ matrix. The propagator $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathbf{V}}=\left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{2} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{1}^{-1}\right)^{H} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ is divided into 7 blocks, which are equal to the 7 blocks of the matrix $\mathbf{C}_{1}$ respectively. When $r \leq[4 m-r]$, i.e., $r \leq 2 m$, the right inverse matrix $\overline{\mathbf{V}}{ }^{\#}$ of $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathbf{V}}^{\#}=\left(\overline{\mathbf{V}}^{H} \overline{\mathbf{V}}\right)^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{V}}^{H} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation is obtained by combining (42) and (45)

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\mathbf{V}}_{1}^{\#} \overline{\mathbf{V}}_{3} \mathbf{B}_{1} & =\mathbf{B}_{1} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{1}  \tag{46}\\
\overline{\mathbf{V}}_{1}^{\#} \overline{\mathbf{V}}_{5} \mathbf{B}_{1} & =\mathbf{B}_{1} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{2}  \tag{47}\\
\overline{\mathbf{V}}_{1}^{\#} \overline{\mathbf{V}}_{7} \mathbf{B}_{1} & =\mathbf{B}_{1} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{3} \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be seen that the eigenvalues $\left[\begin{array}{llll}\lambda_{11} & \lambda_{12} & \ldots & \lambda_{1 r}\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{llll}\lambda_{21} & \lambda_{22} & \ldots & \lambda_{2 r}\end{array}\right]$, $\left[\begin{array}{llll}\lambda_{31} & \lambda_{32} & \ldots & \lambda_{3 r}\end{array}\right]$ of $\overline{\mathbf{V}}_{1}^{\#} \overline{\mathbf{V}}_{3}, \overline{\mathbf{V}}_{1}^{\#} \overline{\mathbf{V}}_{5}, \overline{\mathbf{V}}_{1}^{\#} \overline{\mathbf{V}}_{7}$ are corresponding to the diagonal elements of $\mathbf{\Psi}_{1}, \mathbf{\Psi}_{2}, \mathbf{\Psi}_{3}$. The DOA estimation of signals is acquired under the condition that the frequencies of incident signals are already known.

Because $r_{1}, r_{3}$ and $r_{4}$ are real numbers, the phase ambiguous problem caused by the positive and negative inconsistent of $r_{1}, r_{3}$ and
$r_{4}$, is solved by eigenvalues $\lambda_{2 i}$ and $\lambda_{3 i}$ squared.

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{1 i} & =-\operatorname{angle}\left(\lambda_{1 i}\right)  \tag{49}\\
\omega_{2 i} & =-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{angle}\left(\left[\frac{r_{3}\left(\theta_{i}, \varphi_{i}, f_{i}\right)}{r_{1}\left(\theta_{i}, \varphi_{i}, f_{i}\right)} \exp \left(-j \omega_{2 i}\right)\right]^{2}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{angle}\left(\exp \left(-j \omega_{2 i}\right)^{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{angle}\left(\left(\lambda_{2 i}\right)^{2}\right)  \tag{50}\\
\omega_{3 i} & =-\frac{1}{2} \text { angle }\left(\left(\lambda_{3 i}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming that $\Delta p_{12}=\sin \left(\theta_{\Delta \mathbf{P}_{1}}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{\Delta \mathbf{P}_{1}}\right), \Delta p_{13}=\cos \left(\theta_{\Delta \mathbf{P}_{1}}\right)$, $\Delta p_{2 i}, \Delta p_{3 i}(i=1,2,3) ; \gamma_{1 i}=\sin \left(\theta_{i}\right) \cos \left(\varphi_{i}\right), \gamma_{2 i}=\sin \left(\theta_{i}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{i}\right)$, $\gamma_{3 i}=\cos \left(\theta_{i}\right)$. The equation is determined by solving (24), (25), (26) and (49), (50), (51) simultaneously

$$
-\frac{c}{2 \pi}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\operatorname{angle}\left(\lambda_{1 i}\right)}{\mathbf{d}_{1} f_{i}}  \tag{52}\\
\frac{\operatorname{angle}\left(\left(\lambda_{2 i}\right)^{2}\right)}{2 \mathbf{d}_{2} f_{i}} \\
\frac{\operatorname{angle}\left(\left(\lambda_{3 i}\right)^{2}\right)}{2 \mathbf{d}_{3} f_{i}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\Delta p_{11} & \Delta p_{11} & \Delta p_{11} \\
\Delta p_{11} & \Delta p_{11} & \Delta p_{11} \\
\Delta p_{11} & \Delta p_{11} & \Delta p_{11}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{1 i} \\
\gamma_{2 i} \\
\gamma_{3 i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The solution of (52) is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{1 i}  \tag{53}\\
\gamma_{2 i} \\
\gamma_{3 i}
\end{array}\right]=-\frac{c}{2 \pi}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\Delta p_{11} & \Delta p_{11} & \Delta p_{11} \\
\Delta p_{11} & \Delta p_{11} & \Delta p_{11} \\
\Delta p_{11} & \Delta p_{11} & \Delta p_{11}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\operatorname{angle}\left(\lambda_{1 i}\right)}{\mathbf{d}_{1} f_{i}} \\
\frac{\operatorname{angle}\left(\left(\lambda_{2 i}\right)^{2}\right)}{2 \mathbf{d}_{2} f_{i}} \\
\frac{\left.\operatorname{angle}\left(\lambda_{3 i}\right)^{2}\right)}{2 \mathbf{d}_{3} f_{i}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

There are two ways to solve the angle $\theta_{i}$ and $\varphi_{i}$.
One way is

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{i} & =\arccos \gamma_{3 i} \\
\varphi_{i} & =\arcsin \left(\frac{\gamma_{1 i}}{\cos \left(\theta_{i}\right)}\right) \quad \text { or } \varphi_{i}=\arcsin \left(\frac{\gamma_{2 i}}{\sin \left(\theta_{i}\right)}\right) \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

The other way is

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{i} & =\arctan \left(\frac{\gamma_{2 i}}{\gamma_{1 i}}\right) \\
\theta_{i} & =\arccos \left(\frac{\gamma_{1 i}}{\cos \left(\varphi_{i}\right)}\right) \quad \text { or } \theta_{i}=\arcsin \left(\frac{\gamma_{2 i}}{\sin \left(\varphi_{i}\right)}\right) \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

The joint frequency and angle estimation for the cylindrical conformal array antenna are completed. However, under the condition that the signal source number $r \geq 2$, the eigenvalues of the 2D-DOA cannot be paired accurately, and the frequency and angle cannot be paired appropriately either due to the varying curvature.

### 3.4. The Pairing Method

In practical calculations the eigenvalue decomposition of $\mathbf{\Psi}_{1}, \mathbf{\Psi}_{2}, \mathbf{\Psi}_{3}$ is done independently. As a result, the sequence of eigenvector may be different from each other. The sequence of eigenvector should be adjusted in order to obtain the accurate parameters. In this paper, the eigenvalue and eigenvector matrices $\mathbf{T}_{1}, \mathbf{T}_{2}, \mathbf{T}_{3}$ are obtained through eigenvalue decomposition of $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{2}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{3}$. Since the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{T}_{1}, \mathbf{T}_{2}, \mathbf{T}_{3}$ are not relevant to each other, let $\mathbf{G}_{1}=\mathbf{T}_{1}^{H} \mathbf{T}_{2}$, the largest element value in each row of matrix $\mathbf{G}_{1}$ can be utilized to achieve the eigenvalue pairing of $\mathbf{\Psi}_{1}, \mathbf{\Psi}_{2}$. The eigenvalue pairing of $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{3}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{2}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{3}$ be achieved using the same approach.

Since the conventional parameter pairing methods could not be used under the varying curvature situation, the 2-D interpolation technique is adopted to achieve the pairing of frequency and angle. It is necessary for the 2-D interpolation algorithm to interpolate values at the direction of $\varphi$ and $\theta$ simultaneously. The impact of different frequencies on the interpolation values should be taken into account. In practice, the 3-D interpolation method is used here. Interpolation of frequency value is carried out at first. According to the order of magnitude for the frequency estimation (e.g., $\mathrm{kHz}, \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{GHz}$ ), the interpolation value is one thousandth of the order of frequency magnitude. In this paper, Assuming that frequency ranges from $f_{a}$ to $f_{b}$ (the order of magnitude is GHz ), one interpolation value is taken at each MHz intervals. The 2-D interpolation value from $f_{a}$ to $f_{b}$ can be represented as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ccc}
{\left[\Phi_{a}, \Theta_{a}\right]} & =\left[(\varphi, \theta)_{a 1},(\varphi, \theta)_{a 2}, \ldots,(\varphi, \theta)_{a K}\right] \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots  \tag{56}\\
{\left[\Phi_{j}, \Theta_{j}\right]} & =\left[(\varphi, \theta)_{j 1},(\varphi, \theta)_{j 2}, \ldots,(\varphi, \theta)_{j K}\right] \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $K=K_{1} \times K_{2}, K_{1}$ is the number of interpolation values at the direction $\varphi$, and $K_{2}$ is the number of interpolation values at the direction $\theta$. For instance, at $f_{a}$, the real array manifold via interpolation is transformed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{G}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{a}= & {\left[\mathbf{f}(\varphi, \theta)_{a 1} \mathbf{a}(\varphi, \theta)_{a 1}, \mathbf{f}(\varphi, \theta)_{a 2} \mathbf{a}(\varphi, \theta)_{a 2}, \ldots,\right.} \\
& \left.\mathbf{f}(\varphi, \theta)_{a K} \mathbf{a}(\varphi, \theta)_{a K}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{M N \times K} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M N$ is the total number of virtual array elements. After
interpolation, the manifold for virtual array $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{a}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{a}=\left[\overline{\mathbf{a}}(\varphi, \theta)_{a 1}, \overline{\mathbf{a}}(\varphi, \theta)_{a 2}, \ldots, \overline{\mathbf{a}}(\varphi, \theta)_{a K}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{M N \times K} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformation matrix $\mathbf{B}_{a}$ can be found to satisfy (59)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\frac{\left\|\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{a}-\mathbf{B}_{a}^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathbf{G}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{a}\right)\right\|_{F}}{\left\|\mathbf{G}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{a}\right\|_{F}} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\|\cdot\|_{F}$ denotes the Frobenius norm. If $\tau$ is small enough, for example, 0.001 , then accept $\mathbf{B}_{a}$. The position of elements is known exactly, and the matrix $\mathbf{A}_{a}$ is determined. Using $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{a}=\mathbf{B}_{a}^{\mathbf{H}}\left(\mathbf{G}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{a}\right)$, the pattern matrix $\mathbf{G}_{a}$ is obtained. The pattern matrix for other frequency point can be obtained in the same way. More details about interpolation technique can be found in [24-26]. Although the virtual transformation is computationally intensive, it could be done off-line as a preprocessing step. The matrix $\mathbf{B}_{a}$ needs to computed only once and stored in the system for any given array. We can find the pattern corresponding to the frequency and angle after the frequency and angle are estimated.

The first $m$ rows in $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{1}$ are extracted from the matrix $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$. The frequencies and angles are used to construct the matrix $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{1}$, the pattern matrix $\mathbf{g}_{1}$ is found in the system simultaneously. If the frequency and angle are paired successfully, the Equation (60) should be satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\|\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{1}-\mathbf{g}_{1} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}_{1}}\right\|_{F}, \theta_{i}, \varphi_{i}, f_{i} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, r) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\min \|\cdot\|$ is the minimum of the Frobenius norm.
The algorithm is summarized in the following steps:

1) Divide the whole array into 3 sub-arrays using the method illustrated in [15];
2) For (31), the estimation matrix $\hat{R}_{X_{N}}$ of covariance matrix $\mathbf{R}$ is achieved by using the limited length sampling data.
3) The eigenvalue decomposition of $\hat{R}_{X_{N}}$ is done, and the signal subspace $\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{s}$ corresponding to $\hat{R}_{X_{N}}$ is obtained.
4) According to (36), the frequency estimation $\hat{f}_{i}$ of the incident signal is obtained.
5) According to (44), the propagator $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ is acquired. The matrix $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ is divided into into 7 blocks from $\overline{\mathbf{V}}_{1}$ to $\overline{\mathbf{V}}_{7}$ corresponding to the 7 blocks of $\mathbf{C}_{1}$.
6) According to (54) or (55), the DOA estimation is completed estimation of frequencies.
7) The pairing of 2-D DOA is done, at first and the pairing of frequency and angle using (60) is completed at last. The integrated joint frequency and angle estimation for cylindrical conformal antenna array is accomplished.

## 4. CRAMER-RAO LOWER BOUND

Setting a lower bound proves to be extremely useful for any estimator. It provides a benchmark against which we can compare the performance of any unbiased estimator. Moreover, it tells us the impossibility of finding an unbiased estimator whose variance is less than the bound. One such bound is the CRB [27]. We derive the CRB for the algorithm proposed in this paper. The derivation process approximates the method proposed in [22].

Assuming a $P \times 1$ deterministic signal vector $\mathbf{s}(k ; \mathbf{u})$ with unknown parameter vector is

$$
\mathbf{u}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{u}_{1} & \mathbf{u}_{2} & \ldots & \mathbf{u}_{q} \tag{61}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The observation vector with additive noise is

$$
\mathbf{x}(k)=\mathbf{s}(k ; \mathbf{u})+\mathbf{n}(k)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{s}_{1}(k ; \mathbf{u})  \tag{62}\\
\mathbf{s}_{2}(k ; \mathbf{u}) \\
\not(\dddot{2}) \\
\mathbf{s}_{p}(k ; \mathbf{u})
\end{array}\right]+\mathbf{n}(k) \in \mathbb{C}^{p}
$$

where $\mathbf{n}(k)$ is a $P \times 1$ noise vector. Assume that $\mathbf{n}(k)$ is a white Gaussian noise (WGN) with $\sigma^{2}$ variance and we have collected $M$ time samples of the signal $\mathbf{x}(k)$. Then, the log likelihood function of the signal can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
L(\mathbf{x} ; \mathbf{u})= & -\frac{M P}{2} \ln \left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{M}[\mathbf{x}(k)-\mathbf{s}(k ; \mathbf{u})]^{\mathbf{H}}[\mathbf{x}(k)-\mathbf{s}(k ; \mathbf{u})] \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

The gradient of the signal vector $\mathbf{s}(k ; \mathbf{u})$ with respect to $\mathbf{u}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{D}_{k}(\mathbf{u})$, i.e.,

$$
\mathbf{D}_{k}(\mathbf{u})=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{s}(k ; \mathbf{u})}{\partial u_{1}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{s}(k ; \mathbf{u})}{\partial u_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \mathbf{s}(k ; \mathbf{u})}{\partial u_{q}} \tag{64}
\end{array}\right]
$$

then the so-called Fisher information matrix is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{u})=\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbf{D}_{k}^{H}(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{D}_{k}(\mathbf{u})\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

The CRB for estimating the $i$ th parameter $u_{i}$ is obtained from the inverse of the Fisher information matrix as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{CRB}\left(u_{i}\right)=\left[\mathbf{I}^{-1}(u)\right]_{i i} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to simplify the derivation process, the source correlation matrix is assumed to be known. So the covariance matrix $\mathbf{R}$
contains $5 r$ unknown parameters, i.e., $r$ frequency parameters, $2 r$ angle parameters, and $2 r$ polarization parameters. Since the decoupling between the angle and the polarization has been done, only $3 r$ parameters need to be estimated, which can be expressed as a vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}=\left[f_{1}, \theta_{1}, \varphi_{1}, \quad f_{2}, \theta_{2}, \varphi_{2}, \quad \ldots, \quad f_{3 r}, \theta_{3 r}, \varphi_{3 r}\right] \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The model in (28) is simplified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}(k)=\mathbf{B}(\theta, \varphi) \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{k}+\mathbf{n}(k) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

The unknown $3 r \times 1$ parameter vector is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}=[\mathbf{f} \boldsymbol{\theta} \varphi]^{\mathbf{T}} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) & =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{b}_{1}\left(\theta_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right)}{\partial \theta_{1}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{b}_{2}\left(\theta_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right)}{\partial \theta_{2}} & \ldots & \frac{\partial \mathbf{b}_{r}\left(\theta_{r}, \varphi_{r}\right)}{\partial \theta_{r}}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{70}\\
\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{k} & =\operatorname{diag}\left\{\varphi^{k}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Evaluating the derivative of $\mathbf{s}(k ; \mathbf{u})$ with respect to each parameter, we get the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \mathbf{s}(k ; \mathbf{u})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}=\mathbf{D}_{\theta} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{k}=: \mathbf{D}_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\
& \frac{\partial \mathbf{s}(k ; \mathbf{u})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}}=\mathbf{D}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{k}=: \mathbf{D}_{k}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})  \tag{71}\\
& \frac{\partial \mathbf{s}(k ; \mathbf{u})}{\partial \mathbf{f}}=\mathbf{k} \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{k-1}=: \mathbf{D}_{k}(\mathbf{f})
\end{align*}
$$

The $\mathbf{I}_{k}(\mathbf{u})$ be defined as

$$
\mathbf{I}_{k}(\mathbf{u})=\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^{\mathbf{H}}  \tag{72}\\
\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})^{\mathbf{H}} \\
\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{f})^{\mathbf{H}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^{\mathbf{H}} \\
\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})^{\mathbf{H}} \\
\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{f})^{\mathbf{H}}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathbf{H}}
$$

So the Fisher information matrix is

$$
\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{u})=\sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbf{I}_{k}(\mathbf{u})=\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\boldsymbol{\Delta} & \mathbf{P}^{H} & \mathbf{Q}^{H}  \tag{73}\\
\mathbf{P} & \boldsymbol{\Lambda} & \mathbf{R}^{H} \\
\mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{R} & \boldsymbol{\Gamma}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $M$ is the number of time samples, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{\Delta} & =\sum_{k=1}^{M} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-k} \mathbf{D}_{\theta}^{H} \mathbf{D}_{\theta} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{k}  \tag{74}\\
\boldsymbol{\Lambda} & =\sum_{k=1}^{M} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-k} \mathbf{D}_{\varphi}^{H} \mathbf{D}_{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{k} \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{\Gamma}=\sum_{k=1}^{M} k^{2} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{1-k} \mathbf{B}^{H} \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{k-1}  \tag{76}\\
& \mathbf{P}=\sum_{k=1}^{M} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-k} \mathbf{D}_{\varphi}^{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{D}_{\theta} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{k}  \tag{77}\\
& \mathbf{Q}=\sum_{k=1}^{M} k \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{1-k} \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{D}_{\theta} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{k}  \tag{78}\\
& \mathbf{R}=\sum_{k=1}^{M} k \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{1-k} \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{D}_{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{k} \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

## 5. SIMULATION RESULTS

Simulations experiments are conducted to evaluate the performance of the proposed method. In this section, the model of the cylindrical conformal antenna array is shown in Fig. 1(a). We assume that two far field, equal power signals $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are impinging on the antenna array. The DOA and center frequency of $s_{1}$ are $\theta_{1}=60^{\circ}, \varphi_{1}=100^{\circ}$ and $f_{1}=1 \mathrm{GHz}$, and those of $s_{2}$ are $\theta_{1}=50^{\circ}, \varphi_{1}=95^{\circ}$ and $f_{2}=2 \mathrm{GHz}$, respectively. The data is sampled at a rate of 5 GHz , and the snapshot number is 200 . The number of elements is 25 , i.e., each sub-array has 8 elements. $k_{1 \theta}=0.5, k_{1 \varphi}=0.5 ; k_{2 \theta}=0.3, k_{2 \varphi}=0.7$, the element pattern is $g_{i \theta}=\sin \left(\theta_{j}^{\prime}-\varphi_{j}^{\prime}\right), g_{i \varphi}=\cos \left(\theta_{j}^{\prime}-\varphi_{j}^{\prime}\right), \theta_{j}^{\prime}$ and $\varphi_{j}^{\prime}$ are the elevation and azimuth respectively for direction vector of the $i$ th element in the local coordinate. The spatial rotation transformation of the element pattern from element local coordinates to array global coordinates could be found in [20]. All simulation results are based on 100 Monte Carlo simulation experiments (a computation method based on "random number". The main idea is that the "frequency" of the events determines the "probability" of the events). A successful experiment is defined as the experiment with estimation error of less than 2 degree. The successful rate is defined as the proportion of the number of the successful experiments to the total number of the experiments.

An important part of frequency estimation is selecting the temporal smoothing parameter $N$. For frequency estimation, the primary computational cost concentrate on the eigendecomposition of a $4 N m \times 4 N m$ matrix, which has a complexity about $O\left(16 N^{2} L m^{2}\right)+$ $O\left(64 N^{2} L m^{2}\right)$, meaning that the $N$ should not be too large, because the computation cost could increase tremendously. Fig. 5 shows how temporal smoothing parameter $N$ affects the frequency estimation
errors. From the curve, it is shown that the estimation performance of frequency gets better as parameter $N$ increases. The extension of array manifold dimension is based on the time delay. The time aperture gets larger with the increasing temporal smoothing, which leads to higher estimation accuracy of frequency. The steering vector is a joint function of frequency and DOA. The estimation performance of DOA gets better as the estimation accuracy of frequency increases. In general condition, we should notice that the length of the observation time window is fixed, and the interval of sampling data depends on the sampling frequency of the system, so the total number of snapshot is deterministic. Increasing $N$ too much will also result in the reduction of number of columns in the matrix $\mathbf{X}_{N}$ (The number of the columns is $L-N+1$ ). The sampling covariance matrix $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{X}_{N}}$ is the asymptotic estimation of $\mathbf{R}$. The reduction of number of columns in $\mathbf{X}_{N}$ will lead


Figure 5. The frequency estimation error versus SNR.


Figure 6. The DOA estimation error versus SNR. (a) $\mathrm{DOA}=\left(100^{\circ}\right.$, $\left.60^{\circ}\right)$, (b) DOA $=\left(95^{\circ}, 55^{\circ}\right)$.
to larger estimation error between the $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{X}_{N}}$ and $\mathbf{R}$, which reduces the overall estimation accuracy of signal and noise subspace, so $N$ should not be made too large in order to improve the system stability. The computation complexity of the proposed algorithm is related to $L$ and $N$. So we must consider the trade-off between the computational complexity and estimation accuracy.

The effect of SNR (signal to noise ratio) on the DOA estimation error is shown in Fig. 6. In order to confirm the real-time processing ability of the proposed algorithm and reduce the effect of smoothing parameter on the performance of DOAs for two signals estimation, the smoothing parameter $N=5$ is adopted in this paper. As shown in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b), DOAs are estimated accurately. When SNR $>7 \mathrm{~dB}$, the root mean square error (RMSE) is almost less than 0.1 degree. The difference in estimation performance between azimuth angles and elevation angles has been caused by the different radiation patterns in these two directions. As the SNR increase, the DOA estimation error would approach the CRB for the proposed algorithm.

In Fig. 7(a), it is shown that, apart from the estimation error, the success rate is also improved by increasing SNR. When $\mathrm{SNR}>5 \mathrm{~dB}$, the success rate would reach $100 \%$ in the condition that the snapshot number is 200 (In the simulation experiment, the snapshot is that all the elements of the array sample the data at the same time for just one time. the snapshot number is the number of the sampling snapshot which is used for simulation.). It is shown in Fig. 7(b) that when SNR $>0 \mathrm{~dB}$, the success rate would reach $100 \%$ in the condition that the snapshot number is 1000 . Thus increasing the snapshot number could improve the success rate just as increasing SNR.


Figure 7. (a) The success rate versus SNR at snapshot number 200. (b) The success rate versus SNR at snapshot number 1000.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a novel integrated frequency and DOA estimation method is proposed for cylindrical conformal antenna array. The pattern of each element in conformal array has a different direction because of the varying curvature of conformal carrier, which makes most modeling or parameter estimation techniques used for planar array unsuitable in this condition. Three key techniques are developed in this paper. Firstly, the frequencies estimation of signal sources is obtained by constructing state-space matrix. Secondly, the decoupling method for DOA and polarization parameters is implemented via PM algorithm. Thirdly, the interpolation technique is utilized to complete the pairing between frequencies and DOAs. Based on the above techniques, the parameters of combined frequency and DOA estimation could be computed efficiently and accurately. The pairing approach based on interpolation technique could be used for any arbitrary conformal arrays. Numerical results indicate that the proposed algorithm is effective and accurate for the combined frequency and DOA estimation on cylindrical conformal antenna array.
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