# Localization for Mixed Near-Field and Far-Field Sources by Interlaced Nested Array

Sheng Liu<sup>\*</sup>, Jing Zhao, Ziqing Yuan, Ren Zhou, Min Xiao, and Chunyan Lu

Abstract—In this paper, a localization algorithm for mixed near-field and far-field sources by an interlaced nested array is proposed. The fourth-order cumulants (FOCs) of the received data are used to construct a FOC matrix, by which the angles of all signals can be estimated. Then, an effective method is driven to separate the directions of arrival (DOAs) of near-field and far-field sources without extreme value search. The ranges of the near-field sources can be estimated by one-dimensional (1D) search. Compared with existing nested array-based algorithms, the proposed algorithm can distinguish more sources and has higher estimation accuracy. Some simulation results are shown to certify the superiority of proposed algorithm.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Localization of space signals is a key technology in radar, mobile communication, etc. Far-field signal wave is seen as a plane, so we localize the far-field source only by estimating its direction of arrival (DOA). There are many classic DOA estimation algorithms for far-field sources such as MUSIC algorithms [1, 2], ESPRIT [3], and propagate method (PM) [4].

For a near-field signal, the hypothesis of plane wave does not hold, and it propagates as spherical wave. The estimations of DOA and range are needed to locate the near-field signals. Twodimensional (2D) MUSIC algorithm [5] gets estimations of the DOA and range by 2D searching, but the computational complexity of this algorithm is too high. In order to avoid 2D search, many methods [6– 8] first estimate DOA separately. Then, by using the estimated DOA, the range can be estimated by several times of one-dimensional (1D) search. A generalized ESPRIT is proposed for the DOA estimation of near-field source via symmetric array in [6]. In [7], Jiang et al. estimate the DOAs of all far-field and near-field signals by separating the angle information from the steering vector. However, for methods [6, 7], the angles search procedure involves repetitive computations of determinant, which is also a high complexity process. In [8], He et al. use partial elements of a covariance matrix to construct a new cross-covariance matrix which is only related to the angle information. However, in order to separate the angle information, both the algorithms [7, 8] can cause aperture loss, which reduces the accuracy of locating and cuts down the number of identifiable signals to some extent.

In order to remedy the aperture loss, some FOC-based algorithms [9–12] have been proposed to increase the virtual sensors. In [10, 11], Zheng et al. exploit second order statistic (SOS) to estimate DOAs of far-field sources, and a FOC matrix is exploited to estimate the DOAs of near-field sources after removing the information of far-field sources. In [12], a FOC-based PM algorithm is proposed for the localization of near-field sources. In fact, besides FOC, using sparse array is also an effective way to reduce aperture loss. Recently, many efficient sparse array architectures such as co-prime array [13] and nested array [14, 15] have been proposed, and many localization algorithms [16–18] have been proposed based on these sparse arrays. In [16], a localization algorithm for near-field sources based

Received 25 April 2019, Accepted 5 June 2019, Scheduled 26 June 2019

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Sheng Liu (dshls@gztrc.edu.cn).

The authors are with the School of Data Science, Tongren University, Tongren 554300, China.

on the symmetric co-prime array is proposed. The symmetric nested array and symmetric interlaced nested array are proposed for the localization of mixed near-field and far-field sources in [17] and [18], respectively.

Besides the algorithms mentioned above, many other algorithms including oblique projection method [19] and spatial differencing method [20] are also proposed for the localization of near-field and far-field sources and show advantages in reducing computational complexity or improving the precision of localization. In [21], an algorithm based on rank reduction is presented for the localization of near-field and far-field non-circular sources. For this algorithm, a non-circular property of source is utilized to improve the performance of the parameter estimation.

In this paper, a localization algorithm for mixed near-field and far-field sources by an improved interlaced nested array is proposed. The FOCs of the received data from an improved interlaced nested array are used to construct a FOC matrix. The angles of all signals can be estimated by dealing with the FOC matrix. Then, a fast method is driven to separate the DOAs of near-field and far-field sources. Compared with the nested array-based algorithms [17, 18], the proposed algorithm shows three advantages: (1) the proposed algorithm can distinguish more signals than [17, 18]; (2) the proposed algorithm shows more estimation accuracy than [17, 18]; (3) the proposed angle separation method has lower complexity than [17, 18].

**Notation:**  $[\bullet]^T$ ,  $[\bullet]^*$ ,  $[\bullet]^H$ , and  $E[\bullet]$  stand for transpose, conjugate, conjugate transpose, and statistical expectation, respectively.  $\mathbf{c}[i:j]$  denotes the vector consists of the *i*th component to the *j*th component of vector  $\mathbf{c}$ .  $\mathbf{J}$  represents a matrix with 1 on the back diagonal and 0 on the other positions.

### 2. RECEIVED MODEL

Consider an interlaced nested array consisting of two nested arrays [15] shown in Fig. 1. The positions of the sensors are  $\{-[M(2N+2)+N-1], -[(M-1)(2N+2)+N], \cdots, -[(2N+2)+N], \cdots, -[(2N+2)+N], -N, -N, -N + 1, \cdots, 1, 0, 1, \cdots, N - 1, N, (2N+2) + N, \cdots, (M-1)(2N+2) + N, M(2N+2) + N - 1\}d$ , where d is the unit element spacing with  $(d = \frac{\lambda}{4})$ . Suppose that K signals impinge on the array. Denote the received data vector  $\mathbf{x} \in C^{(2M+2N+1)\times 1}$  as  $\mathbf{x}(t) = [x_{-(M+N)}(t), x_{-(M+N-1)}(t), \cdots, x_{-(N+1)}(t), x_{-(N-1)}(t), \cdots, x_{-1}(t), x_0(t), x_1(t), \cdots, x_{N-1}(t), x_N(t), x_N(t), x_{N+1}(t), \cdots, x_{M+N-1}(t), x_{M+N}(t)]^T \in C^{2M+2N+1}$  and

$$x_i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} s_k(t) e^{j(\mu_k l_i + \varphi_k l_i^2)} + n_i(t)$$
(1)

where  $\mu_k = -2\pi \frac{d}{\lambda} \sin \theta_k$ ,  $\varphi_k = \pi \frac{d^2}{\lambda r_k} \cos^2 \theta_k$ ,  $\theta_k$  is the DOA of the *k*th signal,  $l_i$  the distance between the *i*th sensor to the reference sensor, and  $r_k$  the distance between the *k*th signal to the array. When



Figure 1. Proposed interlaced nested array.

#### Progress In Electromagnetics Research M, Vol. 82, 2019

109

 $s_k(t)$  is far-field signal,  $r_k = +\infty$ , thus  $\varphi_k = 0$ . When  $s_k(t)$  is near-field signal, we suppose that  $0.62(D^3/\lambda)^{1/2} < r_k < 2D^2/\lambda.$ Let  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = [\theta_1, \theta_2, \cdots, \theta_K]$  and  $\mathbf{r} = [r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_K]$ , then  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{r})\mathbf{s}(t) + \mathbf{n}(t)$$
(2)

where  $\mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{r}) = [\mathbf{a}(\theta_1, r_1), \mathbf{a}(\theta_2, r_2), \cdots, \mathbf{a}(\theta_K, r_K)]$  is manifold matrix, and  $\mathbf{a}(\theta_k, r_k)$  can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{a}(\theta_{k}, r_{k}) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{j[-\mu_{k}[M(2N+2)+N-1]+\varphi_{k}[M(2N+2)+N-1]^{2}]} \\ \vdots \\ e^{j[-\mu_{k}[(2N+2)+N]+\varphi_{k}[(2N+2)+N]^{2}]} \\ e^{j[-\mu_{k}N+\varphi_{k}N^{2}]} \\ \vdots \\ e^{j[\mu_{k}N+\varphi_{k}N^{2}]} \\ e^{j[\mu_{k}[(2N+2)+N]+\varphi_{k}[(2N+2)+N]^{2}]} \\ \vdots \\ e^{j[\mu_{k}[M(2N+2)+N-1]+\varphi_{k}[M(2N+2)+N-1]^{2}]} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

### 3. DOA ESTIMATION OF ALL SIGNALS

We first construct a FOC vector  $\mathbf{c}_1 \in C^{(2N+1)(M+1) \times 1}$  with

$$\mathbf{c}_{1}(l) = \operatorname{cum}\left(x_{N+t}(t), x_{N+1-l}^{*}(t), x_{-(N+t)}^{*}(t), x_{-(N+1-l)}(t)\right)$$
(4)

where  $l = k + t(2N + 1), 1 \le k \le 2N + 1, 0 \le t \le M$ .

Then, we denote a FOC vector  $\mathbf{c}_2 \in C^{(M-1)\times 1}$  with

$$\mathbf{c}_{2}(l) = \operatorname{cum}\left(x_{N+M}(t), x_{N+M-l}^{*}(t), x_{-(N+M)}^{*}(t), x_{-(N+M-l)}(t)\right)$$
(5)

where  $l = 1, 2, \dots, M - 1$ .

Denote two vectors  $\mathbf{e}_{2N+2} = [0, 0, \dots, 1]^T \in C^{(2N+2)\times 1}$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{M-1} = [1, 1, \dots, 1]^T \in C^{(M-1)\times 1}$ , which can be used to construct three FOC vectors  $\mathbf{c}_3$ ,  $\mathbf{c}_4$  and  $\mathbf{c}_5$  as

$$\mathbf{c}_{3} = \mathbf{c}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{2N+2} + \left(\mathbf{1}_{M-1} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{2N+1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \mathbf{c}_{1}[1:(M-1)(2N+1)]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_{3} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(6)$$

$$\mathbf{c}_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_{3} \\ \mathbf{c}_{1}[(M-1)(2N+1)+1:(M+1)(2N+1)] \end{bmatrix}$$
(7)

$$\mathbf{c}_5 = \mathbf{c}_4[2:(2N+2)(M+1)-2] \tag{8}$$

Utilizing  $\mathbf{c}_5$ , we can construct a vector  $\mathbf{c} \in C^{[2(2N+2)(M+1)-5]\times 1}$  as

$$\mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}\mathbf{c}_5^* \\ \mathbf{c}_4 \end{bmatrix} \tag{9}$$

Using the vector **c**, we can construct a FOC matrix  $\mathbf{R} \in C^{[(2N+2)(M+1)-2] \times [(2N+2)(M+1)-2]}$  by

$$\mathbf{R}[:,i] = \mathbf{c}[(2N+2)(M+1) - 1 - i : 2(2N+2)(M+1) - 4 - i]$$
(10)

where  $\mathbf{R}[:, i]$  is the *i*th column of the matrix  $\mathbf{R}$ .

Because the FOC of Gauss noise is equal to 0, after denoting  $q_x = \operatorname{cum}\{s_k, s_k^*, s_k, s_k^*\}$ , we can get that

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}_s\mathbf{B}^H \tag{11}$$

where  $\mathbf{C}_s = \text{diag}\{q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_K\}$  and  $\mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{r}) = [\mathbf{b}(\theta_1, r_1), \mathbf{b}(\theta_2, r_2), \cdots, \mathbf{b}(\theta_K, r_K)]$  with

$$\mathbf{b}(\theta_k, r_k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ e^{j2\mu_k} \\ \vdots \\ e^{j2\mu_k[(M+1)(2N+2)-2]} \end{pmatrix}$$
(12)

Performing eigenvalue decomposition (EVD) of  $\mathbf{R}$ , we can estimate the DOAs of all far-field and far-field signals by MUSIC [1].

### 4. CLASSIFICATION OF SOURCE

Construct an SOS covariance matrix

$$\mathbf{R}_{x} = E\left[\mathbf{x}(t)\mathbf{x}^{H}(t)\right] = \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{r})\mathbf{R}_{s}\mathbf{A}^{H}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{n}(t)$$
(13)

Performing EVD of  $\mathbf{R}_x$ , we can get the noise subspace  $\mathbf{U}_n$  as [1], then denote  $v(\theta, r) = a^H(\theta, r) \mathbf{U}_n \mathbf{U}_n^H a(\theta, r)$ .

It is easy to know that if  $\theta_k$  is the DOA of a far-field signal, we have  $|v(\theta_k, +\infty)| = 0$  and  $|v(\theta_k, r)| \neq 0$  for any r > 0.

On the other hand, if  $\theta_k$  is the DOA of a near-field signal, we have  $v(\theta_k, +\infty) \neq 0$  and  $v(\theta_k, r_k) = 0$ . We can also find that  $|v(\theta_k, r)|$  increases with r changing from  $r_k$  to max $\{r\}$ .

According to these, we can distinguish the near-field signals and far-field signals by

$$\begin{cases} v(\theta_k, +\infty) < v(\theta_k, \max(r)), & \theta_k \text{ is the near-field angle} \\ v(\theta_k, +\infty) > v(\theta_k, \max(r)), & \theta_k \text{ is the far-field angle} \end{cases}$$
(14)

where  $\max\{r\} = 2D^2/\lambda$ .

**Remark:** In [17,18], the authors use the estimated  $\hat{\theta}_k$  to search the minimum value of  $a^H(\hat{\theta}_k, r)\mathbf{U}_n\mathbf{U}_n^Ha(\hat{\theta}_k, r)$ . So, it needs to take K times global searching to distinguish the near-field signals and far-field signals. For the proposed algorithm, we only need compute 2K values. So the computational complexity will be reduced.

### 5. ESTIMATION OF RANGE FOR NEAR-FIELD SIGNALS

Using noise subspace  $\mathbf{U}_n$ , we can get the function

$$f_{\text{MUSIC}}^{k}(r) = \frac{1}{a^{H}\left(\hat{\theta}_{k}, r\right) \mathbf{U}_{n} \mathbf{U}_{n}^{H} a\left(\hat{\theta}_{k}, r\right)}$$
(15)

Searching the maximum of  $f_{\text{MUSIC}}^k(r)$ , we can get the ranges for near-field signals as [16, 17].

#### 6. SIMULATION

In this section, we present some simulations to test the effectiveness of proposed localization algorithm based on interlaced nested array. Because the proposed array can be seen as the improved construction nested arrays [17, 18], we compare the proposed algorithm with the methods in [17] and [18]. We use 13-element nested array with N = 2, M = 4 for the three methods. For all simulation experiments, the searching range of angle is from  $-90^{\circ}$  to  $90^{\circ}$  with the grid of  $0.1^{\circ}$ . In order to ensure the fairness of

#### Progress In Electromagnetics Research M, Vol. 82, 2019

comparison, we use the same method to search range. The root-mean-square errors (RMSEs) of DOA estimation and range estimation are given as

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{KJ} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(\hat{\theta}_{kj} - \theta_k\right)^2}$$
(16)

and

RMSE = 
$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{KJ} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{r}_{kj} - r_k)^2}$$
 (17)

where J = 200, and  $\theta_{kj}$  and  $\hat{r}_{kj}$  are the estimations of  $\theta_k$  and  $r_k$  in the *j*th Monte Carlo trial, respectively. The comparisons of RMSE for three algorithms are given in the case that the pairing is accurate.

#### 6.1. Experiment 1

Firstly, we compare the space spectra of three methods for all sources. Suppose that SNR is 5 dB and that the number of snapshots is 500. Consider two near-field signals  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$  with  $\theta_1 = 20^\circ$ ,  $r_1 = 40\lambda$ ,  $\theta_2 = 30^\circ$ ,  $r_2 = 45\lambda$  and a far-field source  $s_3$  with  $\theta_3 = 40^\circ$ . Fig. 2 shows the space spectra of 3 methods for three signals with 10° interval. Then, we change the DOAs of three sources into  $\theta_1 = 25^\circ$ ,  $\theta_2 = 30^\circ$ , and  $\theta_3 = 35^\circ$ . Fig. 3 shows the space spectra of 3 methods for three signals with 5° interval. From the two figures, we can see clearly that the algorithm based on the proposed interlaced nested array shows higher angular resolution.



Figure 2. Space spectra of three methods for three sources with  $10^{\circ}$  interval.

#### 6.2. Experiment 2

In this experiment, we consider two near-field signals  $s_1, s_2$  with  $\theta_1 = 20^\circ$ ,  $r_1 = 40\lambda$ ,  $\theta_2 = 30^\circ$ ,  $r_2 = 45\lambda$ and a far-field source  $s_3$  with  $\theta_3 = 40^\circ$ . Firstly, the number of snapshots is fixed at 500, and SNR changes from  $-5 \,\mathrm{dB}$  to 10 dB. Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 show the RMSEs of near-field angles and far-field angle versus SNR, respectively. Fig. 6 shows the RMSEs of ranges of near-field signals versus SNR. Then, we fix SNR at 5 dB, and the number of snapshots changes from 500 to 1000. Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 show the RMSEs of near-field angles and far-field angle versus snapshots, respectively. Fig. 9 shows the RMSEs of ranges of near-field signals versus snapshots. From Fig. 4 to Fig. 9, we can find that the proposed method shows higher accuracy than the other two methods in the estimation of DOA and range.



Figure 3. Space spectra of three methods for three sources with  $5^{\circ}$  interval.



Figure 4. RMSEs of near-field angles versus SNR.



Figure 5. RMSEs of far-field angles versus SNR.



Figure 6. RMSEs of ranges of near-field signals versus SNR.



Figure 7. RMSEs of near-field angles versus snapshots.



Figure 8. RMSEs of far-field angles versus snapshots.



Figure 9. RMSEs of ranges of near-field signals versus snapshots.

# 7. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a localization algorithm for mixed near-field and far-field sources by using an interlaced nested array is proposed. Both the FOC and SOS of the received data from the array are used to estimate the DOAs of all signals and the range of near-field sources. Meanwhile, the near-field and far-field sources can be separated by a low-complexity process. Some simulation results prove that the proposed method shows higher angular resolution and estimation accuracy of DOA and range than some existing nested array-based algorithms.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51877015, 51877179), the Cooperation Agreement Foundation by the Department of Science and Technology of Guizhou Province of China (LH[2017]7320, LH[2017]7321), the Innovation Group Major Research Program Funded by Guizhou Provincial Education Department (KY [2016] 051), the Foundation of Top-notch Talents by Education Department of Guizhou Province of China (KY [2018]075) and PhD Research Startup Foundation of Tongren University (trxyDH1710).

### REFERENCES

- 1. Schmidt, R. O., "Multiple emitter location and signal parameter estimation," *IEEE Transactions* on Antennas Propagation, Vol. 34, No. 3, 276–280, 1986.
- Rao, B. D. and K. V. S. Hari, "Performance analysis of root-MUSIC," *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics Speech and Signal Processing*, Vol. 37, No. 12, 1939–1949, 1989.
- Roy, R. and T. Kailath, "ESPRIT-estimation of signal parameters via rotational invariance techniques," *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics Speech and Signal Processing*, Vol. 37, No. 7, 984–995, 1989.
- Marcos, S., A. Marsal, and M. Benidir, "The propagator method for source bearing estimation," Signal Processing, Vol. 42, No. 2, 121–138, 1995.
- Huang, Y. D. and M. Barkat, "Near-field multiple sources localization by passive sensor array," IEEE Transactions on Antennas Propagation, Vol. 39, No. 7, 968–975, 1991.
- Zhi, W. and Y. M. Chia, "Near-field source localization via symmetric subarrays," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, Vol. 14, No. 6, 409–412, 2007.

#### Progress In Electromagnetics Research M, Vol. 82, 2019

- Jiang, J. J., F. J. Duan, J. Chen, et al., "Algorithm to classify and locate near-field and far-field mixed sources," *Journal of Tianjin University (Science and Technology)*, Vol. 41, No. 4, 46–50, 2013.
- He, J., M. N. Swamy, and M. O. Ahmad, "Efficient application of MUSIC algorithm under the coexistence of far-field and near-field sources," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, Vol. 60, No. 4, 2066–2070, 2012.
- 9. Liang, J. and D. Liu, "Passive localization of mixed near-field and far-field sources using two-stage MUSIC algorithm," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, Vol. 58, No. 1, 108–120, 2010.
- Zheng, Z., J. Sun, W. Q. Wang, et al., "Classification and localization of mixed near-field and far-field sources using mixed-order statistics," *Signal Processing*, Vol. 143, 134–139, 2018.
- 11. Zheng, Z., M. Fu, D. Jiang, et al., "Localization of mixed far-field and near-field sources via cumulant matrix reconstruction," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, Vol. 18, No. 18, 7671–7680, 2018.
- Li, J., Y. Wang, C. Le Bastard, et al., "Low-complexity high-order propagator method for near-field source localization," *Sensors*, Vol. 19, No. 1, 54, 2019.
- Vaidyanathan, P. P. and P. Pal, "Sparse sensing with co-prime samplers and arrays," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, Vol. 59, No. 2, 573–586, 2011.
- 14. Pal, P. and P. P. Vaidyanathan, "Nested arrays: A novel approach to array processing with enhanced degrees of freedom," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, Vol. 58, No. 8, 4167–4181, 2011.
- Yang, M., L. Sun, X. Yuan, and B. Chen, "Improved nested array with hole-free DCA and more degrees of freedom," *Electron. Lett.*, Vol. 52, 2068–2070, 2016.
- Liang, G. L. and B. Han, "Near-field sources localization based on co-prime symmetric array," Journal of Electronics & Information Technology, Vol. 36, No. 1, 135–139, 2014.
- Wang, B., Y. Zhao, and J. Liu, "Mixed-order MUSIC algorithm for localization of far-field and near-field sources," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, Vol. 20, No. 4, 311–314, 2013.
- Ebrahimi, A. A., H. R. Abutalebi, and M. Karimi, "Localisation of mixed near-field and far-field sources using the largest aperture sparse linear array," *IET Signal Processing*, Vol. 12, No. 2, 155–162, 2017.
- Si, W., X. Li, Y. Jiang, and L. Liang, "A novel method based on oblique projection technology for mixed sources estimation," *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, Vol. 2014, 1–12, 2014.
- Liu, G. and X. Sun, "Spatial differencing method for mixed far-field and near-field sources localization," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, Vol. 21, No. 11, 1331–1335, 2014.
- Chen, H., W. P. Zhu, W. Liu, M. N. S. Swamyb, Y. Li, and Q. Wang, "RARE-based localization for near-field and far-field rectilinear sources," *Digital Signal Processing*, Vol. 85, 54–61, 2019.