1. INTRODUCTION

Frequency diverse array (FDA) is a new type of radar system first proposed by Antonik et al. in 2006 [1]. The beam pattern obtained by a traditional phased array (PA) is angle-dependent but distance-independent [2]. The essence of FDA is to introduce a small frequency increment between adjacent array elements of traditional phased array radar. This characteristic makes the radar pattern change from the angle coupling characteristic of phased array to the two-dimensional coupling characteristic of distance-angle, so it can form a space beam pattern with dual dependence of distance-angle dimension. FDA has obtained the degree of freedom of distance control, which makes signal processing more flexible. FDA has extensive research value in beamforming and interference suppression [3–5].

In recent years, FDA has received extensive attention and in-depth research from many researchers. In terms of beamforming methods, in [6], the influence of large frequency offset on FDA beam characteristics was studied. In [7], a linear frequency diversity array based on logarithmic function increasing frequency offset is proposed. By destroying the mutual coupling period characteristics of FDA, the false main lobes other than the target main lobe are effectively suppressed. Subsequently, scholars have done a lot of exploration on nonlinear frequency offset design, such as applying square and cubic frequency offset [8], sinusoidal frequency offset [9], arctangent frequency offset [10], Taylor window frequency offset [11], and random logarithm frequency offset [12] to FDA. These frequency offset design methods have improved the beam energy focusing and positioning performance in the range-angle dimension target azimuth. This idea provides a certain reference value for the frequency offset optimization theory. In addition, many scholars have studied clutter interference suppression. A method of using simulated annealing algorithm to optimize the frequency offset parameters of linear frequency diversity array was proposed in [13] to achieve the range-angle low sidelobe comprehensive beam effect. In [14], the adaptive beamforming is studied by using the angle-distance two-dimensional coupling relationship of FDA, which improves the anti-interference performance of the system. The use of convex optimization to optimize the optimal weight of each array element transmitting waveform is proposed in [15], which not only focuses energy on the target point, but also reduces the sidelobe. In [16], a range angle localization algorithm based on Cramer-Rao lower bound (CRLB) minimization for FDA and multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) radar is proposed. In [17], an FDA symmetric beam pattern with nonuniform frequency offset and density weighted algorithm is proposed, which reduces the sidelobe level in both distance and angle dimensions. A deterministic method for three-dimensional synthesis of antenna arrays considering far-field pattern reconfiguration, polarization setting, dynamic range ratio reduction, and near-field control is proposed in [18]. In [19], an anti-jamming method based on non-adaptive beamforming for FDA-MIMO radar system is proposed, which can effectively suppress the main-lobe deception jamming problem. In order to effectively improve the isolation and null depth at low elevation angles, in [20], a design of an arc antenna array with high isolation was proposed. However, with the continuous research on the traditional FDA, it is found that the traditional FDA scanning range has certain limitations. In [21], the structure of the traditional FDA was innovated, and the concept of frequency diversity arc array (FDAA) was proposed. FDAA designs the array structure as an arc, which not only inherits the advantages of FDA, but also achieves the purpose of wide coverage and all-round beam...
Although FDAA can achieve large-angle, all-round 360° beam scanning, the array elements on the arc are arranged at equal angle intervals, which will lead to the problem of inverse density weighting, resulting in relatively high sidelobes. Side-lobe suppression of FDAA at a fixed distance is the main trend of future research on FDAA. In this paper, by analyzing the beam pattern of FDAA, it is found that the sidelobes are 'X'-shaped and mainly concentrated in a certain area. In order to suppress the sidelobes at a fixed position, an angle-widened null steering FDAA is proposed for sidelobe suppression. The method broadens the nulls in any interference direction in the angle domain to reduce the influence of the interference signal on the main lobe beam and suppress the sidelobe level.

There are five sections in this paper. The second section introduces the geometric structure model of FDAA and designs the nonlinear frequency offset by applying the symmetric logarithm of different coefficients to FDAA. In the third section, the sidelobe characteristics of the beam pattern of FDAA are analyzed, and the linear constrained minimum variance (LCMV) criterion is used to suppress the sidelobe of the fixed interference position of FDAA based on the symmetric logarithmic frequency offset. In the fourth section, the influence of different coefficients on the FDAA spot beam is analyzed by Matlab simulation, and the sidelobe suppression effect of FDAA’s angle domain widening null shaping based on symmetrical logarithmic frequency offset design is verified. The fifth section summarizes the full text.

2. FDAA BEAM SYNTHESIS

2.1. FDAA Structure Model

Figure 1 shows the structure model of FDAA. Figure 1(a) is the planar diagram of FDAA, and Figure 1(b) is the equivalent linear array diagram of FDAA. FDAA is a circular structure composed of \( K \) array elements arranged evenly at equal angles, and the radius is represented by \( R \). According to the scanning characteristics of each array element in FDAA, a single array element can only play a role in the gain of the main beam of the array within a certain range. Therefore, for targets in different directions, it is necessary to use the feed network system to select the appropriate array elements to form an activated array for beam scanning. Assuming that the aperture angle of the activated working array elements in FDAA is \( \beta \) and that the angle between adjacent array elements in FDAA is represented by \( \Delta \beta \), the number of activated array elements \( K_A \) can be expressed as:

\[
K_A = 2 \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{\beta}{2 \cdot \Delta \beta} \right\rfloor + 1 = 2N + 1
\]

where \( \left\lfloor \cdot \right\rfloor \) is the rounding operation, and \( K_A \) is an odd number.

2.2. FDAA Beam Pattern Synthesis Analysis with Nonlinear Frequency Offset

As shown in Figure 1, the activated working array elements are \( 2N + 1 \). It is assumed that \( \alpha \) is the angle between the target point \( Q \) and the north direction, and the value range of \( \alpha \) is \((0°, 360°)\). The array element in the north direction is selected as the reference array element \( f_0 \). The phase compensation between a single activated array element forming an equal phase plane and the reference array element \( f_0 \) is:

\[
\Delta \beta_{1,n} = \frac{2 \pi f_c R}{c} \cdot A_n = \frac{2 \pi f_c R}{c} \cdot [1 - \cos (n \cdot \Delta \beta)]
\]

Here, \( A_n \) denotes the spatial distance difference between the \( n \)th array element and the reference array element \( f_0 \); \( c \) denotes the speed of light; and \( f_c \) denotes the carrier frequency.

Due to the coupling problem between the range-angle domain of FDAA, it is necessary to design a nonuniform frequency increment to make the beam pattern of FDAA approximately present as a point beam pattern. In this paper, the sym-
metric logarithmic function is used to design the nonlinear frequency offset. Assuming that the carrier frequency is expressed by \( f_c \), the radiation frequency of the \( n \)th array element is expressed as:

\[
 f_n = f_c + \Delta f_n \quad n = -N, \cdots, 0, \cdots, N
\]  

(3)

The frequency offset \( \Delta f_n \) of the \( n \)th array element is represented by the symmetric logarithm of different coefficients, then \( \Delta f_n \) can be expressed as:

\[
\Delta f_n = \Delta f \cdot \ln (|n| + 1)
\]

(4)

Here \( q \) represents the scale coefficient, and the different values of \( q \) represent the different frequency offset \( \Delta f_n \) of the design. In order to make the beamwidth formed by FDAA consistent, it is necessary to equal the frequency offset when \( n \) takes the maximum value. In this paper, different scale coefficients \( q = 1 \) and \( q = 10 \) are analyzed, and the corresponding fixed frequency offset constant \( \Delta f \) is two different values represented by \( \Delta f^1 \) and \( \Delta f^{10} \). Then, \( \Delta f_n \) can be expressed as:

\[
\Delta f_n = \begin{cases} 
\Delta f^1 \cdot \ln (|n| + 1) \\
\Delta f^{10} \cdot \ln (10 \cdot |n| + 1)
\end{cases}
\]

(5)

At the far-field target point \( Q \), the transmitted signal \( W_n(t) \) of the \( n \)th array element is expressed as:

\[
W_n (t) = a_n \exp (j 2 \pi f_n t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
\]

(6)

Here, \( a_n \) represents the complex weighting vector of the \( n \)th transmitted signal. The horizontal distance between the far-field target point \( Q \) and the \( n \)th array element can be approximately expressed as follows:

\[
r_n \approx r_0 - x_n \sin \alpha_0 = r_0 - R \sin (n \Delta \beta) \cdot \sin \alpha_0
\]

(7)

where \( r_0 \) represents the target distance; \( \alpha_0 \) represents the target angle; \( f_c \gg \Delta f_n \); then the signal at the target point \( Q \) can be expressed as:

\[
W(t, r_0, \alpha) = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} W_n \left( t - \frac{r_n}{c} \right)
\]

\[
\approx \exp \left[ j 2 \pi f_c \left( t - \frac{r_0}{c} \right) \right] \sum_{n=-N}^{N} a_n
\]

\[
\exp \left[ j 2 \pi \Delta f_n \left( t - \frac{r_0}{c} \right) \right]
\]

\[
\exp \left[ j 2 \pi f_c R \sin (n \cdot \Delta \beta) \sin \alpha \frac{\sin \alpha_0}{c} \right]
\]

(8)

At the desired position \( (r_0, \alpha_0) \), the complex weighted vector \( a_n \) is:

\[
a_n = \exp \left[ j 2 \pi \left( \frac{\Delta f_n r_n}{c} - \frac{f_c R \sin (n \cdot \Delta \beta) \sin \alpha}{c} \right) \right]
\]

\[
- \frac{f_c R \left( 1 - \cos (n \cdot \Delta \beta) \right)}{c}
\]

(9)

The array factor (AF) of FDAA after applying a symmetric logarithmic nonlinear frequency offset is:

\[
AF (t, r_0, \alpha) = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} a_n \exp \left[ j \frac{2 \pi \Delta f_n}{c} \left( t - \frac{r_n}{c} \right) \right]
\]

\[
\exp \left[ j \frac{2 \pi f_c R \sin (n \cdot \Delta \beta) \sin \alpha}{c} \right]
\]

(10)

3. SIDELOBE SUPPRESSION

3.1. Analysis of Sidelobe Distribution of FDAA Beam Pattern

As shown in Figure 2, when the total number of activated array elements \( K = 33 \), and the FDAA beam pattern with the nonlinear frequency offset scale coefficient \( q = 1 \), it can be seen that the center position of the formed FDAA beam pattern forms a clear point beam pattern, and the side lobes of the FDAA are mainly distributed in the angle-distance domain. There is a correspondence between any position in the distance domain and the position in the angle domain.

\[
FIGURE 2. FDAA beam pattern with nonlinear frequency offset scale factor \( q = 1 \).
\]

3.2. Angle Broadening Null Beamforming Method for FDAA

In this section, the linear constrained minimum variance criterion is used to form a null in a fixed direction to suppress the interference signal and reduce the sidelobe level. According to the linear constraint minimum variance criterion, it is known that the expected signal position is at \( \alpha_0 \), and it is assumed that the null position is set at \( \alpha_i \), where \( \alpha_i \) is an arbitrary value that is not equal to \( \alpha_0 \), and the number of nulls \( I < 2N \). The obtained constraint matrix and constraints are:

\[
S = [\theta (\alpha_0) \theta (\alpha_i)]
\]

(11)

\[
\begin{align*}
 w^H \theta (\alpha_0) &= 1 \\
 w^H \theta (\alpha_i) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

(12)

Here,

\[
w^H = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} a_n \exp \left[ j \frac{2 \pi \Delta f \cdot \ln (|n| + 1)}{c} \left( t - \frac{r_n}{c} \right) \right]
\]

(13)
TABLE 1. Simulation parameter design.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency offset</td>
<td>$\Delta f$</td>
<td>30 kHz/16.73 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier frequency</td>
<td>$f_c$</td>
<td>10 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Array element spacing</td>
<td>$l_c$</td>
<td>0.015 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element amount</td>
<td>$K$</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of activated array elements</td>
<td>$K_A$</td>
<td>33/67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of array</td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>$5\pi/12$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Array radius</td>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>0.378 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target distance</td>
<td>$r_0$</td>
<td>25 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target angle</td>
<td>$\alpha_0$</td>
<td>0°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 3. When $K_A = 33$, the influence of the scale coefficient $q$ of the Sym-Log on the FDAA beam pattern.

The array factor AF of FDAA based on symmetric logarithm of nonlinear frequency and angular broadening null is:

$$ AF(t, r, \alpha) = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} a_n' \exp \left[ j2\pi f_c \frac{R \sin (n \cdot \Delta \beta) \sin \alpha_i}{c} \right] $$

The array factor AF of FDAA based on symmetric logarithm of nonlinear frequency and angular broadening null is:

$$ AF(t, r, \alpha) = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} a_n' \exp \left[ j2\pi f_c \frac{R \sin (|n| + 1) \left( t - \frac{r}{c} \right)}{c} \right] \exp \left[ j2\pi f_c \frac{R \sin (n \cdot \Delta \beta) \sin \alpha_i}{c} \right] $$

4. SIMULATION RESULTS

4.1. Simulation Results of X-shaped Sidelobe Analysis of FDAA Beam Pattern

Assuming that the far-field target point is at $Q(r_0, \alpha_0)$, the simulation parameters are designed as shown in Table 1. According to the simulation parameters set in Table 1, the nonlinear frequency offset of FDAA beam pattern is designed. As shown in Figure 3, when the total number of activated array elements $K_A$ is 33, the influence of the scale coefficient $q$ of the symmetric logarithm in the frequency offset design on the FDAA beam pattern is shown. Figure 3(a) is the FDAA beam pattern with $K_A = 33$ and the scale coefficient $q = 1$. Figure 3(b) is the FDAA beam pattern with the scale coefficient $q = 10$. By comparing Figure 3(a) with Figure 3(b), it is found that both of them form a point-like beam pattern, and the beam presents an ‘X’-type crossover in the distance-angle.
When $K_A = 67$, the influence of the scale coefficient $q$ of the Sym-Log on the FDAA beam pattern.

When $K_A = 33$, the curve of the beam slope $k_1$ changing with the scale coefficient $q$ of the Sym-Log.

When $K_A = 67$, the curve of the beam slope $k_1$ changing with the scale coefficient $q$ of the Sym-Log.

two-dimensional diagram. It can be seen that the angle formed by Figure 3(b) in the angle direction of the distance-angle two-dimensional diagram is smaller than that of Figure 3(a). As shown in Figure 4, when the total number of activated array elements $K_A$ is 67, the influence of the scale coefficient $q$ of the symmetric logarithm on the FDAA beam pattern is the same as that in Figure 4(a) and Figure 4(b). The beam also exhibits an ‘X’-type crossover in the distance-angle two-dimensional diagram, and the angle formed in the angle direction of Figure 4(b) in the distance-angle two-dimensional diagram is smaller than that in Figure 4(a).

In order to analyze the influence of the scale coefficient $q$ of the symmetric logarithm on the angle size, taking Figure 3(a) as an example, when the number of active array elements $K_A = 33$, two variables $k_1$ and $k_2$ are introduced to represent the relationship between the angle domain and the distance domain at any position of the strong sidelobe in the beam. $k_1$ represents the beam slope of the straight line $L_1$ downward along the beam direction; $k_2$ represents the beam slope of the straight line $L_3$ upward along the beam direction; $k_1$ and $k_2$ are opposite to each other, which will change with the change of the scale coefficient $q$. The line $L_2$ is parallel to $L_1$, and $L_4$ is parallel to $L_3$. It can be seen that the strong sidelobe position is mainly between $L_1$ and $L_2$, and between $L_3$ and $L_4$. The distance between $L_1$ and $L_2$ is about $1/4$ beamwidth. Therefore, it is better to suppress the interference position when the null width is set to $1/4$ beamwidth.

Assuming that the position of any interference signal on the beam between lines $L_1$ and $L_2$ is $P_1(\alpha_p, r_p)$, the beam slope $k_1$ can be expressed as:

$$k_1 = \frac{\alpha_p - \alpha_0}{r_p - r_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

After calculation, as shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6, the curves of the beam slope $k_1$ change with the scale coefficient $q$ of the symmetric logarithm when the number of activated array elements is $K_A = 33$ and $K_A = 67$, respectively. It can be seen that as the scale coefficient $q$ of the symmetric logarithm gradually increases, the beam slope $k_1$ gradually increases and gradually approaches $0$. Therefore, in the design of symmetrical logarithmic frequency offset, the scale coefficient $q$ of symmetrical logarithm will have a certain influence on the beam pattern of FDAA in both range domain and angle domain. According to the relationship between the interference position and the expected target position in Formula (19), it can be seen that there is a certain relationship between the angle domain and distance domain at the interference position. The null set in the fixed direction is the null set at the interference position, so the null set at the fixed position in the distance domain can be calculated by Formula (19).
When $K_A = 33$, the angular domain broadened null FDAA beam pattern with the scale coefficient $q = 1$ in the frequency offset design is obtained.

**FIGURE 7.** When $K_A = 33$, the angular domain broadened null FDAA beam pattern with the scale coefficient $q = 1$ in the frequency offset design is obtained.

When $K_A = 33$, the angular domain broadened null FDAA beam pattern with the scale coefficient $q = 10$ in the frequency offset design is obtained.

**FIGURE 8.** When $K_A = 33$, the angular domain broadened null FDAA beam pattern with the scale coefficient $q = 10$ in the frequency offset design is obtained.

### 4.2. The Simulation Results of Angular Broadening Null Beam-forming for FDAA

When the total number of activated array elements $K_A$ is 33, as shown in Figure 7, the angle domain broadened null FDAA beam pattern with the scale coefficient $q = 1$ is designed for the frequency offset. Figure 7(a) is the distance-angle two-dimensional simulation result diagram. It can be seen that a certain width of the notch is generated at the strong sidelobe interference in the fixed angle region. Figure 7(b) is the profile of nulling in the angle domain. Assuming that the null position is set at 36.4 km, 34.7 km, 15.3 km, and 13.6 km in the distance domain, the corresponding positions in the angle domain are calculated according to Formula (19) to be $-15.2^\circ$, $-12.9^\circ$, $12.9^\circ$, and $15.2^\circ$. As shown in Figure 8, the null beamforming simulation diagram of symmetric logarithmic frequency offset with scale factor $q = 10$ is applied to FDAA in the angle domain. Supposing that the null position is also set at the distance domain of 36.4 km, 34.7 km, 15.3 km, and 13.6 km, the corresponding positions in the angle domain are calculated according to Formula (19) to be $-6.2^\circ$, $-5.2^\circ$, $5.2^\circ$, and $6.2^\circ$. As shown in Figure 10, a null beamforming simulation diagram of symmetric logarithmic frequency offset with scale factor $q = 10$ is applied to FDAA in the angle domain. Assuming that the null position is set at 36.4 km, 34.7 km, 15.3 km, and 13.6 km in the distance domain, the corresponding positions in the angle domain are calculated according to Formula (19) to be $-5.4^\circ$, $-4.6^\circ$, $4.6^\circ$, and $5.4^\circ$. It can be seen that the nulls with a depth of about $-38$ dB are generated at $-5.4^\circ$, $-4.6^\circ$, $4.6^\circ$, and $5.4^\circ$. In order to generate nulls for fixed-position sidelobes in the dis-
FIGURE 9. When $K_A = 67$, the angular domain broadened null FDAA beam pattern with the scale coefficient $q = 1$ in the frequency offset design is obtained.

FIGURE 10. When $K_A = 67$, the angular domain broadened null FDAA beam pattern with the scale coefficient $q = 10$ in the frequency offset design is obtained.

tance domain, the position of the corresponding angle domain can be calculated by the formula, and null beamforming can be performed in the angle domain. The verification shows that the method can suppress the sidelobes.

5. CONCLUSION

This paper mainly analyzes the distribution of sidelobes after the nonlinear frequency offset design of FDAA. By studying the influence of the scale coefficient of the symmetric logarithm on the beam slope in the FDAA beam pattern, the position of the interference signal in the distance domain can be converted to the corresponding angle domain by the calculation formula and suppressed. In order to solve the interference problem caused by the fixed position sidelobe in FDAA, this paper proposes an FDAA with angular broadening null steering for sidelobe suppression. By setting a null with a certain width at the position of strong sidelobe interference in the angle domain, the strong sidelobe interference signal at any position generated by FDAA can be suppressed; the influence on the main lobe beam is reduced; the target beam is more concentrated; and the target recognition and tracking ability of the radar system is improved, which is conducive to the use of precision equipment such as spaceborne and airborne.
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